

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities
Exchange Act of 1934
(Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant
Filed by a Party other than the
Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
 Confidential, For Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
 Definitive Proxy Statement
 Definitive Additional Materials
 Soliciting Material under Rule 14a-12

Nemus Bioscience, Inc.
(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

n/a
(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
 Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

- Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
 Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the form or schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:



NEMUS BIOSCIENCE, INC.
650 Town Center Drive, Suite 1770
Costa Mesa, CA 92626

**NOTICE OF 2015 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS
TO BE HELD ON JULY 9, 2015**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders ("Annual Meeting") of Nemus Bioscience, Inc., a Nevada corporation (the "Company") will be held on Thursday, July 9, 2015, at 11:00 a.m., local time, at the offices of Latham & Watkins LLP located at 650 Town Center Drive, 20th Floor, Costa Mesa, CA 92626, for the following purposes:

1. To elect five directors to hold office until the next annual meeting of stockholders or until their successors are duly elected and qualified;
2. To ratify the selection of Mayer Hoffman McCann P.C. as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ended December 31, 2015;
3. To approve the Nemus Bioscience, Inc. 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan; and
4. To conduct any other business properly brought before the meeting or any adjournments thereof

These items of business are more fully described in the Proxy Statement accompanying this Notice.

Our Board of Directors has fixed May 1, 2015 as the record date for the determination of stockholders entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Annual Meeting and at any adjournment or postponement of the meeting.

To ensure that your vote is recorded promptly, please vote as soon as possible. If you are a stockholder of record, please complete, sign and mail the proxy card in the enclosed postage-paid envelope. If your shares are held in "street name", that is held for your account by a broker or other nominee, you will receive instructions from the holder of record that you must follow for your shares to be voted.

Our Board of Directors unanimously recommends that you vote "FOR" each of the Annual Meeting proposals, all of which are described in detail in the accompanying Proxy Statement.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Stockholder Meeting to be held on Thursday, July 9, 2015 at 11:00 a.m. local time at the offices of Latham & Watkins LLP located at 650 Town Center Drive, 20th Floor, Costa Mesa, CA 92626.

Our proxy statement and Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014, which is being provided as our Annual Report to Stockholders, are available at <http://www.proxyvote.com>.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "John B. Hollister", is written over a horizontal line.

John B. Hollister
Chief Executive Officer

Costa Mesa, California
June 10, 2015

Your vote is important. Please vote your shares whether or not you plan to attend the meeting.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Voting and Proxy	1
Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management	4
Executive Compensation and Related Information	5
Information about our Board Of Directors, Board Committees and Related Matters	7
Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	9
Section 16(A) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	9
Interest of Certain Persons in Matters to be Acted Upon	9
Proposal No. 1 -- Election of Directors	10
Proposal No. 2 -- Ratification of Selection of Independent Registered Public Accountants	12
Proposal No. 3 – Approval of Nemus Bioscience, Inc. 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan	12
Relationship with Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	18
Householding of Annual Meeting Materials	19
Stockholder Proposals for the 2016 Annual Meeting	19
Other Matters	19
Annual Report and Available Information	19



NEMUS BIOSCIENCE, INC.
650 Town Center Drive, Suite 1770
Costa Mesa, CA 92626

**PROXY STATEMENT
FOR THE 2015 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS
TO BE HELD ON JULY 9, 2015**

VOTING AND PROXY

The Board of Directors of Nemus Bioscience, Inc., a Nevada corporation (the "Company") is soliciting your proxy for the annual meeting of stockholders (the Annual Meeting") to be held at the offices of Latham & Watkins LLP located at 650 Town Center Drive, 20th Floor, Costa Mesa, CA 92626, on Thursday, July 9, 2015 at 11:00 a.m. local time and at any and all adjourned sessions of the Annual Meeting.

We are mailing our annual report for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014, to our stockholders with this notice and proxy statement (including the form of proxy) on or about June 11, 2015.

Record Date and Quorum Requirements

Only stockholders of record at the close of business on May 1, 2015 (the "Record Date") will be entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting. As of the Record Date, we had 16,265,663 outstanding shares of common stock, entitled to be voted at the Annual Meeting, and 250,000 outstanding shares of non-voting Series A Preferred Stock, not entitled to be voted at the Annual Meeting. Each holder of common stock is entitled to one vote per share of common stock held on the Record Date.

A majority of the outstanding shares entitled to vote as of the record date must be present at the meeting, either in person or by properly executed proxy, in order to hold the meeting and conduct business. This is called a "quorum." A stockholder's shares are counted as present at the meeting if the stockholder is present at the meeting and votes in person or a proxy card has been properly submitted by the stockholder or on the stockholder's behalf. Both abstentions and broker non-votes are counted as present for the purpose of determining the presence of a quorum.

"Broker non-votes" are shares of common stock held by brokers or nominees over which the broker or nominee lacks discretionary power to vote and for which the broker or nominee has not received specific voting instructions from the beneficial owner.

Voting Your Shares and Votes Required

Your vote is very important. If you do not vote your shares, you will not have an impact with respect to the issues to be voted on at this Annual Meeting. In addition, banks and brokers cannot vote on their clients' behalf on "non-routine" proposals without your specific voting instructions.

The holders of all outstanding shares of common stock are entitled to one vote for each share of common stock registered in their names on the books of the Company at the close of business on the Record Date.

In order to be elected as directors, each of the nominees for director must receive a plurality of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting. Abstentions and broker non-votes will have no effect on the outcome of the election of directors. Approval of Proposal No. 2 for ratification of the selection of Mayer Hoffman McCann P.C. as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2015, and Approval of Proposal No. 3 for approval of the Nemus Bioscience, Inc. 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan also require a plurality of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting such that the proposals will be approved if the votes cast favoring the proposals exceed the votes cast opposing the proposals.

Submitting Your Proxy

If you complete and submit your proxy, the persons named as proxies will vote the shares represented by your proxy in accordance with your instructions. If you submit a proxy card but do not fill out the voting instructions on the proxy card, the persons named as proxies will vote the shares represented by your proxy as follows:

- FOR the election of the director nominees;
- FOR the ratification of the selection of Mayer Hoffman McCann P.C. as our registered public accounting firm; and
- FOR the proposal approve the Nemus Bioscience, Inc. 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan.

To ensure that your vote is recorded promptly, please vote as soon as possible. To vote by proxy, please complete, sign and mail the proxy card in the enclosed postage-paid envelope (or follow instructions to grant a proxy to vote by means of telephone or Internet).

Stockholders that attend the Annual Meeting and wish to vote in person will be given a ballot at the meeting. If your shares are held in "street name" and you want to attend the Annual Meeting, you must bring an account statement or letter from the brokerage firm or bank holding your shares showing that you were the beneficial owner of the shares on the record date. If you want to vote shares that are held in "street name" or are otherwise not registered in your name, you will need to obtain a "legal proxy" from the holder of record and present it at the Annual Meeting.

Revoking or Changing Your Proxy

You may revoke or change your proxy at any time before it is voted. For a stockholder "of record", meaning one whose shares are registered in his or her own name, to revoke or change a proxy, the stockholder may follow one of the procedures listed below.

- submit another properly signed proxy, which bears a later date;
- deliver a written revocation to our corporate secretary;
- if you voted by telephone or through the Internet, by voting again either by telephone or through the Internet prior to the close of the voting facility; or
- attend the Annual Meeting or any adjourned session thereof and vote in person.

If you are a beneficial owner of our common stock, and not the stockholder of record (for example your common stock is registered in "street name" with a brokerage firm), you must follow the procedures required by the holder of record, which is usually a brokerage firm or bank, to revoke or change a proxy. You should contact the stockholder of record directly for more information on these procedures.

Other Information

We will bear the expense of soliciting proxies. Our officers and certain other employees, without additional remuneration, may solicit proxies personally or by telephone, e-mail or other means.

Our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015, which is not part of the proxy soliciting materials, is included with this Proxy Statement as [Appendix A](#).

Explanatory Note

The Company was incorporated under the laws of the State of Nevada on March 16, 2011, as Load Guard Transportation, Inc., and changed its name to Load Guard Logistics, Inc. on November 6, 2012. On October 31, 2014, the Company closed a reverse merger transaction pursuant to which the Company became the 100% parent of our subsidiary Nemus ("Nemus Sub") and assumed the operations of Nemus Sub. On November 3, 2014, the Company changed its name to Nemus Bioscience, Inc. by merging with Nemus Bioscience, Inc., a subsidiary of the Company.

As used in this proxy statement, unless the context indicates or otherwise requires, "our company," "we," "us," and "our" refer to Nemus Bioscience, Inc., a Nevada corporation, and its consolidated subsidiary, Nemus Sub.

We are an "emerging growth company" as defined in the Jumpstart Our Business Startups Act of 2012, or the JOBS Act. We will remain an emerging growth company until the earlier of (i) the last day of the fiscal year following the fifth anniversary of the date of the first sale of our common stock pursuant to an effective registration statement under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act, which was on October 28, 2013; (ii) the last day of the fiscal year in which we have total annual gross revenues of \$1 billion or more; (iii) the date on which we have issued more than \$1 billion in nonconvertible debt during the previous three years; or (iv) the date on which we are deemed to be a large accelerated filer under applicable SEC rules. We expect that we will remain an emerging growth company for the foreseeable future, but cannot retain our emerging growth company status indefinitely and will no longer qualify as an emerging growth company on or before December 31, 2018. For so long as we remain an emerging growth company, we are permitted and intend to rely on exemptions from specified disclosure requirements that are applicable to other public companies that are not emerging growth companies. These exemptions include:

- being permitted to provide only two years of audited financial statements, in addition to any required unaudited interim financial statements, with correspondingly reduced related disclosure;
- not being required to comply with the requirement of auditor attestation of our internal controls over financial reporting;
- not being required to comply with any requirement that may be adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding mandatory audit firm rotation or a supplement to the auditor's report providing additional information about the audit and the financial statements;
- reduced disclosure obligations regarding executive compensation; and
- not being required to hold a nonbinding advisory vote on executive compensation and shareholder approval of any golden parachute payments not previously approved.

For as long as we continue to be an emerging growth company, we expect that we will take advantage of the reduced disclosure obligations available to us as a result of that classification. We have taken advantage of certain of reduced reporting burdens in this proxy statement. Accordingly, the information contained herein may be different than the information you receive from other public companies in which you hold stock.

We are also a "smaller reporting company" as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, and have elected to take advantage of certain of the scaled disclosure available for smaller reporting companies.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to beneficial ownership of our common stock by:

- Each person known to be the beneficial owner of 5% or more of our outstanding common stock;
- Each executive officer;
- Each director; and
- All of the executive officers and directors as a group.

Beneficial ownership has been determined in accordance with Rule 13d-3 under the Exchange Act. Under this rule, certain shares may be deemed to be beneficially owned by more than one person (if, for example, persons share the power to vote or the power to dispose of the shares). In addition, shares are deemed to be beneficially owned by a person if the person has the right to acquire shares (for example, upon exercise of an option or warrant) within 60 days of the date as of which the information is provided. In computing the percentage ownership of any person, the amount of shares is deemed to include the amount of shares beneficially owned by such person by reason of such acquisition rights. As a result, the percentage of outstanding shares of any person as shown in the following table does not necessarily reflect the person's actual voting power at any particular date.

The information set forth in the table below is based on 16,265,663 shares of our common stock issued and outstanding on May 1, 2015.

To our knowledge, except as indicated in the footnotes to this table and pursuant to applicable community property laws, the persons named in the table have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of common stock shown as beneficially owned by them. Unless otherwise indicated, the address of each beneficial owner listed below is 650 Town Center Drive, Suite 1770, Costa Mesa, California 92626.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Class
Richard D. Squires 2101 Cedar Springs Road, Suite 1525 Dallas, TX 75201	1,875,000 shares (1)	11.27%
Entities affiliated with Brian D. Ladin 2101 Cedar Springs Road, Suite 1525 Dallas, TX 75201	1,064,549 shares (2)	6.54%
Reg Lapham 375 Redondo Ave., #137 Long Beach, CA 90814	5,017,200 shares (3)	28.87%
John B. Hollister	-	-
Dr. Brian S. Murphy	-	-
Elizabeth M. Berecz	-	-
Gerald W. McLaughlin	2,000 shares (4)	*
Thomas A. George	-	-
Cosmas N. Lykos	4,484,400 shares (5)	25.81%
Douglas S. Ingram	20,000 shares (6)	*
All executive officers and directors as a group	4,506,400 shares (4)(5)(6)	25.90%

* Denotes less than 1% of class.

- (1) Based on a Form 3 filed with the SEC on April, 3, 2015 and a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on April 20, 2015, consists of (i) 1,856,250 shares of common stock held by Richard D. Squires, and (ii) 18,750 shares of common stock held by RDS Holdings, Inc. Includes 375,000 shares of common stock underlying warrants held by Richard D. Squires or RDS Holdings, all of which may be exercised within 60 days of May 1, 2015. Richard D. Squires is the President of RDS Holdings.
- (2) Based on a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on April 20, 2015, consists of (i) 515,862 shares of common stock held by TC Global Management LLC, (ii) 299,589 shares of common stock held by Southern Investments I LLC and (iii) 249,098 shares of common stock held by BRL TX-Family LP. Brian D. Ladin is the manager of TC Global, Southern Investments and BRL Family LLC which is the general partner of BRL TX-Family.
- (3) Includes 1,110,000 shares of common stock underlying warrants granted to Reg Lapham, all of which may be exercised within 60 days of May 1, 2015.
- (4) Includes 2,000 shares of common stock underlying warrants granted to Gerald W. McLaughlin, all of which may be exercised within 60 days of May 1, 2015.
- (5) Includes 1,110,000 shares of common stock underlying warrants granted to Cosmas N. Lykos, all of which may be exercised within 60 days of May 1, 2015.
- (6) Includes 20,000 shares of common stock underlying warrants granted to Douglas S. Ingram, all of which may be exercised within 60 days of May 1, 2015.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION AND RELATED INFORMATION

Summary Compensation Table

The following table sets forth information concerning the compensation earned for services rendered to the Company for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 of our principal executive officer and our two most highly compensated executive officers other than our principal executive officer who was serving as an executive officer as of December 31, 2014.

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE									
Name and Principal Position	Year Ended	Salary \$	Bonus \$	Stock Awards \$	Option Awards \$ (1)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation \$	Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings \$	All Other Compensation \$	Total \$
John B. Hollister CEO	2014	76,154	0	0	685,200	0	0	0	761,354
Elizabeth M. Berez, CFO	2014	51,923	0	0	438,500	0	0	0	490,423
Dr. Brian S. Murphy, CMO	2014	63,462	0	0	616,950	0	0	0	680,412

(1) Amounts reflect the full grant date fair value of stock options granted in 2014 computed in accordance with ASC Topic 718, rather than the amounts paid to or realized by the named individual. We provide information regarding the assumptions used to calculate the value of all stock options granted to our executives in Note 1 and 4 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

Employment Agreements

In 2014, we did not have employment agreements or severance or change in control arrangements with any of our executive officers.

In February 2015, we adopted a change in control severance plan, in which our named executive officers participate, that provides for the payment of severance benefits if the executive's service is terminated within twelve months following a change in control, either due to a termination without cause or upon a resignation for good reason (as each term is defined in the plan).

In either such event, and provided the executive timely executes and does not revoke a general release of claims against the Company, he or she will be entitled to receive: (i) a lump sum cash payment equal to at least six months' of the executive's monthly compensation, plus an additional month for each full year of service over six years, (ii) Company-paid premiums for continued health insurance for a period equal to length of the cash severance period or, if earlier, when executive becomes covered under a subsequent employer's healthcare plan, and (iii) full vesting of all then-outstanding unvested stock options and restricted stock awards.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-end. As of December 31, 2014, the named executive officers held the following outstanding stock options:

Option Awards					
Name	Grant Date	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options # Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options # Un-exercisable	Option Exercise Price	Option Expiration Date
John B. Hollister, CEO	10/31/2014	0	480,000	\$ 0.42	10/31/2024
	11/21/2014	0	200,000	\$ 0.42	11/21/2024
Dr. Brian S. Murphy, CMO	10/31/2014	0	480,000	\$ 0.42	10/31/2024
	11/21/2014	0	175,000	\$ 0.42	11/21/2024
Elizabeth M. Berecz, CFO	10/31/2014	0	100,000	\$ 0.42	10/31/2024
	11/21/2014	0	150,000	\$ 0.42	11/21/2024

All of the options specified above vest as follows: 20% of total vests on each anniversary of the grant date over five years. The options granted expire 10 years after the date of grant.

There were no exercises of stock options by our named executive officers during the year ended December 31, 2014.

Director Compensation. Our directors received the following compensation for their service as directors of the Company during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014.

In June 2014 our subsidiary entered into an independent contractor agreement with K2C, Inc. ("K2C"), an entity that is wholly-owned by Mr. Lykos, pursuant to which we pay K2C a monthly fee for services performed by Mr. Lykos for our company. The initial term of this agreement will expire on June 1, 2015, subject to automatic one-year extensions. The monthly fee under the agreement originally was \$5,000; effective October 1, 2014, the monthly fee was increased to \$10,000. Under the agreement, Mr. Lykos is also eligible to participate in our health, death and disability insurance plans. In addition, beginning in 2015 Mr. Lykos is a participant in our change in control severance plan.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION(1)							
Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash	Stock Awards \$	Option Awards \$ (2)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation \$	Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings \$	All Other Compensation \$	Total \$
Cosmas N. Lykos, director	0	0	341,250	0	0	20,000(3)	361,250
Gerald W. McLaughlin, director	20,000	0	5,800	0	0	0	25,800

(1) Does not include compensation received for services provided as executive officers.

(2) Amounts reflect the full grant date fair value of stock options granted in 2014 computed in accordance with ASC Topic 718, rather than the amounts paid to or realized by the named individual. We provide information regarding the assumptions used to calculate the value of all stock options granted to our executives in Notes 1 and 4 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Form 10-K. As of December 31, 2014 Messrs. Hollister, Lykos and McLaughlin held stock options covering 680,000, 125,000 and 20,000 shares of common stock, respectively.

(3) Amount represents consulting fees paid in 2014 to KC2, Inc., which is wholly owned by Mr. Lykos, in respect of services performed by Mr. Lykos.

INFORMATION ABOUT OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS, BOARD COMMITTEES AND RELATED MATTERS

The Board and Board Meetings

The Board of Directors currently consists of five directors. On October 31, 2014, Nemus Acquisition Corp., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Nemus Bioscience, Inc. (formerly known as Load Guard Logistics, Inc.), merged with Nemus with Nemus surviving the merger as a wholly-owned subsidiary of Nemus Bioscience, Inc. During the period from January 1, 2014 through October 31, 2014, the Board of Directors of Nemus Bioscience, Inc. acted by unanimous written consent of the directors seven times. During the period from November 1, 2014 through December 31, 2014, the Board of Directors of Nemus Bioscience, Inc. met one time, and acted by unanimous written consent five times. It is our policy that directors are expected to attend the Annual Meeting of stockholders.

Board Leadership Structure

The Board of Directors does not have a policy as to whether the roles of Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board (the "Chairman") should be separate or combined. Periodically, the Board of Directors assesses these roles and the board leadership structure to ensure the interests of the Company and its stockholders are best served. Currently, our leadership structure separates these roles, with Cosmas N. Lykos serving as our Chairman of the Board and John B. Hollister serving as our Chief Executive Officer. Our board believes that separating these roles provides the appropriate balance between strategy development, flow of information between management and the Board of Directors, and oversight of management, and has determined that such structure is in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders at this time. By segregating the roles of the Chairman and the Chief Executive Officer, we reduce any duplication of effort between the Chief Executive Officer and the Chairman. We believe this provides guidance for the Board of Directors, while also positioning our Chief Executive Officer as the leader of the Company in the eyes of our customers, team members, and other stakeholders. As Chairman, Mr. Lykos, among other responsibilities, presides over regularly scheduled meetings of the Board of Directors, serves as a liaison between the directors, and performs such additional duties as the Board of Directors may otherwise determine and delegate. By having Mr. Lykos serve as Chairman of the Board, Mr. Hollister is better able to focus his attention on running our company.

Board Role in Risk Oversight

The Board of Directors is primarily responsible for overseeing our risk management processes. The Board of Directors, as a whole, determines the appropriate level of risk for our company, assesses the specific risks that we face, and reviews management's strategies for adequately mitigating and managing the identified risks. Although the Board of Directors administers this risk management oversight function, our audit and compensation and compliance committees support our Board of Directors in discharging its oversight duties and address risks inherent in their respective areas. We believe this division of responsibilities is an effective approach for addressing the risks we face and that our board leadership structure supports this approach.

Director Independence

We believe that Gerald W. McLaughlin, Thomas A. George and Douglas S. Ingram are independent members of our Board of Directors as that term is defined by defined in Rule 4200(a)(15) of the Nasdaq Marketplace Rules.

Committees of the Board of Directors

We have two standing committees: the audit committee and the compensation and compliance committee. Each of these committees has a written charter approved by our board of directors. A copy of each charter can be found under the Corporate Governance section of our website at www.nemusbioscience.com. We currently do not have a nominating committee or a committee performing similar functions nor do we have a written nominating committee charter. Due to the present size of our Board of Directors, our Board of Directors believes that it is not necessary to have separate standing nominating committees at this time because the functions of each such committee are adequately performed by our full Board of Directors. However, it is anticipated that our Board of Directors will form separate standing nominating committees, if and when our Board of Directors determines that the establishment of such committees is advisable as we seek to further develop our business and operations and potentially expand the size of our Board of Directors.

Audit Committee and Financial Expert

On February 23, 2015, our board established an audit committee which operates under a written charter that has been approved by our board. The members of our audit committee are Thomas A. George and Gerald W. McLaughlin. Mr. George serves as chairman of the committee and our board has determined that he is an "audit committee financial expert" as defined by applicable SEC rules. Our Audit Committee is responsible for: (1) selection and oversight of our independent accountant; (2) establishing procedures for the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints regarding accounting, internal controls and auditing matters; (3) establishing procedures for the confidential, anonymous submission by our employees of concerns regarding accounting and auditing matters; (4) engaging outside advisors; and, (5) approving fees for the independent auditor and any outside advisors engaged by the audit committee. A copy of our Audit Committee Charter is available on our website at www.nemusbioscience.com.

Compensation and Compliance Committee

On May 31, 2015, our board established a compensation and compliance committee which operates under a written charter that has been approved by our board. The members of our audit committee are Douglas S. Ingram, Thomas A. George and Gerald W. McLaughlin. Mr. Ingram serves as chairman of the committee. Our board of directors has determined that all members of the compensation committee are independent directors, as defined in the Nasdaq qualification standards. Our compensation and compliance committee reviews and approves policies relating to compensation and benefits of our officers and directors, identify individuals qualified to become board members consistent with criteria approved by the Board of Directors and to make recommendations regarding corporate governance matters. A copy of our Compensation and Compliance Committee Charter is available on our website at www.nemusbioscience.com.

Nominations to the Board of Directors

We do not have any defined policy or procedural requirements for shareholders to submit recommendations or nominations for directors. The Board of Directors believes that, given the stage of our development, a specific nominating policy would be premature and of little assistance until our business operations develop to a more advanced level. We do not currently have any specific or minimum criteria for the election of nominees to the Board of Directors and we do not have any specific process or procedure for evaluating such nominees. The Board of Directors will assess all candidates, whether submitted by management or shareholders, and make recommendations for election or appointment.

Stockholder Communications

We do not have a formal policy regarding stockholder communications with our Board of Directors. Stockholders wishing to communicate with the Board of Directors may do so by writing to the Board of Directors, and mailing the correspondence to the Chairman of the Board or our Chief Executive Officer at 650 Town Center Drive, Suite 1770, Costa Mesa, California 92626. All such stockholder communications will be forwarded to the Chairman of the Board or our Chief Executive Officer, as applicable.

Code of Ethics

On October 31, 2014, we adopted a formal code of ethics that applies to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions. A copy of our code of ethics is available on our website at www.nemusbioscience.com.

Report of Audit Committee

Management is responsible for the preparation of the Company's financial statements and the Company's independent registered public accountant are responsible for auditing those statements. In connection with the preparation of the financial statements for the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014, the Audit Committee (i) reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements with management; (ii) discussed with the independent registered public accountant the matters required to be discussed under Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, "Communication with Audit Committees," as amended, and Rule 2-07 "Communication With Audit Committees," of Regulation S-X of the Rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC; and (iii) received the written report, disclosures and the letter from the independent registered public accountants required by Public Company Accounting Oversight Board Rule 3526, "Communication with Audit Committees Concerning Independence," and the Audit Committee has reviewed, evaluated and discussed with that firm the written report and its independence from the Company. The Audit Committee also has discussed with management of the Company and the independent registered public accountants such other matters and received such assurances from them as the Audit Committee deemed appropriate.

Based upon these reviews and discussions, the Audit Committee recommended, and the Board of Directors approved, the inclusion of the Company's audited financial statements in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014, for filing with the SEC.

Submitted by the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors:

Thomas A. George (Chair)

Gerald W. McLaughlin

Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Fees. The following table summarizes the fees for our registered public accounting firms, billed to us for each of the last two fiscal years:

Fee Category	FY 2014	FY 2013
Audit Fees	\$ 108,500	\$ 18,500
Audit-Related Fees	\$ 3,400	\$ 0
Tax Fees	\$ 3,150	\$ 0
All Other Fees	—	—
Total Fees	\$ 115,050	\$ 18,500

Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures. Our Audit Committee has adopted policies and procedures for the pre-approval of audit services, internal control-related services and permitted non-audit services rendered by our independent registered public accounting firm. None of the services described above were pre-approved by the Audit Committee as the Board of Directors established the Audit Committee on February 23, 2015.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Transactions with Related Persons

Except as specified below, there have been no other transactions with related persons since the beginning of our last fiscal year, or any currently proposed transaction, in which we were or are to be a participant and the amount involved exceeds \$120,000, and in which any related person had or will have a direct or indirect material interest.

Nemus Sub was a party to an independent contractor agreement with an entity owned by Reg Lapham, our former officer and director, which provides services to Nemus Sub. The total compensation paid to that entity for the year ended December 31, 2014 was \$348,000, for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$120,000, and the period from inception (July 17, 2012) to December 31, 2012 was \$60,000. This independent contractor's agreement was terminated as of November 7, 2014.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Securities Act of 1934 requires our directors, executive officers, and any persons who own more than 10% of a registered class of our equity securities, to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the SEC. SEC regulation requires executive officers, directors and greater than 10% stockholders to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file. Based solely on our review of the copies of such forms received by us, or written representations from certain reporting persons, we believe that during the year ended December 31, 2014, our executive officers, directors, and greater than 10% stockholders complied with all applicable filing requirements.

INTEREST OF CERTAIN PERSONS IN MATTERS TO BE ACTED UPON

None of the following persons has any substantial or material interest, directly or indirectly, by way of beneficial ownership of securities or otherwise, in any matter to be acted on at the Annual Meeting except for our current and future directors and executive officers inasmuch as they may be granted stock options or stock awards pursuant to our 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan:

1. Each person who has been one of our directors or executive officers at any time since the beginning of our last fiscal year;
2. Each nominee for election as one of our directors; or
3. Any affiliate or associate of any of the foregoing persons.

**PROPOSAL NO. 1
ELECTION OF DIRECTORS**

The first proposal to be voted on is the election of five directors, including the re-election of John B. Hollister, Cosmas N. Lykos, Douglas S. Ingram, Gerald W. McLaughlin, and Thomas A. George, currently all sitting as directors. If elected, each of the nominees will serve a one-year term and will be subject to reelection next year.

Biographical information about each of the nominees is included below. There are no family relationships among any of our directors, nominees for director and executive officers.

The Board of Directors has no reason to believe that any nominee will be unable to serve or decline to serve as a director if elected. If a nominee becomes unable or unwilling to accept nomination or election, the Board of Directors will either select a substitute nominee or will reduce the size of the Board of Directors. If you have submitted a proxy and a substitute nominee is selected, your shares will be voted for the election of the substitute nominee.

Director Nominees

John B. Hollister

Age: 53
Chief Executive Officer and Director since 2014

Mr. Hollister was appointed as our Chief Executive Officer and a member of our Board of Directors in connection with the consummation of the Merger in October 2014. Mr. Hollister was the Chief Executive Officer and a director of Nemus Sub from June 2014 to October 2014. From 2013 to 2014, Mr. Hollister served as a strategic consultant working with early stage healthcare companies. From 2011 to 2013, Mr. Hollister served as Senior Vice President of Marketing for Tethys Bioscience, a diabetes diagnostic company. From 2006 to 2009, Mr. Hollister served as Chief Executive Officer of EEG Spectrum International, a private device company. From 1999 to 2004, Mr. Hollister served in a series of Commercial positions, including the Global Commercial Leader in Oncology at Amgen where he led multiple teams in developing oncology assets from preclinical to phase IV. Prior to Amgen, Mr. Hollister served as the Director of Marketing at Aviron, a vaccine start-up. Mr. Hollister started his pharmaceutical career at SmithKline Beecham from 1989 to 1997. Mr. Hollister has his BA in Economics from Stanford University and his MBA from the Drucker Center at the Claremont Graduate University. Mr. Hollister serves as a Board Member and Secretary of the Brain and Behavior Research Foundation. In making the decision to nominate Mr. Hollister to serve as a director, the Board of Directors considered, in addition to the criteria referred to above, his experience in the healthcare industry, current service as our Chief Executive Officer and his comprehensive knowledge of the Company, its business and operations.

Cosmas N. Lykos

Age: 47
Chairman of the Board and Director since 2014

Mr. Lykos was appointed as our Chairman of the Board and a member of our Board of Directors in connection with the consummation of the Merger in October 2014. Mr. Lykos co-founded Nemus Sub in 2012 and has served as its Chairman of the Board of Directors since August 2014 as well as a strategic advisor since inception. After graduating with Honors from Duke University School of Law in 1993, Mr. Lykos began his career at Gibson Dunn & Crutcher, LLP, an international full-service law firm, as a corporate associate until 1998. From 1998 to 2004, Mr. Lykos served as Vice President of Business Affairs, General Counsel, Secretary and Chief Compliance Officer of RemedyTemp, Inc., a NASDAQ publicly-traded temporary staffing firm with over 250 directly-owned and franchised offices nationwide. From 2004 until 2008, Mr. Lykos served as Vice President of Business Development, Chief Legal Officer, Secretary and Chief Compliance Officer of Oakley, Inc., a NYSE publicly-traded sports and technical eyewear, apparel, accessories and retail company. In January of 2008, he became Co-owner and President of the Optical Shop International, or OSI, a designer and distributor of licensed eyewear brands, including Chrome Hearts and Blinde, through two wholly-owned foreign subsidiaries with a direct and distributor sales network in over 60 countries. Primary responsibilities included developing and implementing OSI's vision and strategies and the management of its foreign subsidiaries, sales, legal, human resources, finance and administrative functions. In January 2011, Mr. Lykos negotiated and consummated the sale of OSI to its primary licensor, Chrome Hearts LLC. From January 2011 through present day, Mr. Lykos has been engaged to provide management and legal advisory services to Chrome Hearts Eyewear LLC and Chrome Hearts LLC. Mr. Lykos has extensive public and private company Board of Directors experience. As Chief Compliance and Legal Officer and Secretary of both Oakley, Inc. and RemedyTemp, Inc., Mr. Lykos attended all Board of Directors' meetings and Board committee meetings. As an angel investor, Mr. Lykos has made minority investments in various private companies and has served on their Board of Directors including Dragon Alliance, LLC, a youth lifestyle action sports brand selling eyewear, goggles and apparel in over 40 countries, and Lookmatic.com, an internet e-commerce eyewear company, selling prescription frames and sunglasses direct to consumers. In making the decision to nominate Mr. Lykos to serve as a director, the Board of Directors considered, in addition to the criteria referred to above, Mr. Lykos' knowledge of the Company and its business and management team; his demonstrated business acumen and leadership skills.

Douglas S. Ingram
Age: 51
Vice Chairman of the Board and Director since 2015

Mr. Ingram was appointed as our Vice Chairman of the Board and a member of our Board of Directors in June 2015. Douglas S. Ingram served as President of Allergan, Inc. (NYSE:AGN) from July 1, 2013 to March 17, 2015, when Allergan, Inc. was acquired by Actavis PLC. With the acquisition, Mr. Ingram assumed the role of special advisor on the executive leadership team at Actavis. He is a board member of The Allergan Foundation. Prior to assuming his role as President, Mr. Ingram served as Allergan's Executive Vice President and President, Europe, Africa and Middle East from August 2010 to June 2013. Prior to that, he served as Executive Vice President, Chief Administrative Officer, and Secretary from October 2006 to July 2010 and led Allergan's Global Legal Affairs, Compliance, Internal Audit and Internal Controls, Human Resources, Regulatory Affairs and Safety, and Global Corporate Affairs and Public Relations departments. Mr. Ingram also served as General Counsel from January 2001 to June 2009 and as Secretary and Chief Ethics Officer from July 2001 to July 2010. During that time, he served as Executive Vice President from October 2003 to October 2006, as Corporate Vice President from July 2001 to October 2003 and as Senior Vice President from January 2001 to July 2001. Prior to that, Mr. Ingram was Associate General Counsel and Assistant Secretary from 1998 and joined Allergan in 1996 as Senior Attorney and Chief Litigation Counsel. Prior to joining Allergan, Mr. Ingram was an attorney at Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP from 1988 to 1996. Mr. Ingram received his Juris Doctorate from the University of Arizona in 1988, graduating *summa cum laude* and Order of the Coif. In making the decision to nominate Mr. Ingram to serve as a director, the Board of Directors considered, in addition to the criteria referred to above, Mr. Ingram's experience in the healthcare industry, his demonstrated business acumen and leadership skills.

Gerald W. McLaughlin
Age: 47
Director since 2014

Mr. McLaughlin was appointed as a member of our Board of Directors in connection with the consummation of the Merger in October 2014. Mr. McLaughlin currently serves as President and Chief Executive Officer of AgeneBio, Inc. a clinical-stage pharmaceutical company developing medicines to restore and preserve patients' cognitive function for a range of debilitating neurodegenerative diseases. From 2007 to 2014, Mr. McLaughlin acted as the lead commercial executive for NuPathe Inc., a specialty pharmaceutical company focused on the development and commercialization of branded therapeutics for diseases of the central nervous system including Zecuity®, the first and only FDA-approved transdermal system for migraine. In his most recent position with NuPathe, Mr. McLaughlin served as Senior Vice President and Chief Commercial Officer where he helped provide corporate strategic direction and led the commercial organization until its acquisition in Q1 2014 by Teva Pharmaceuticals Ltd. From 2001 to 2007, Mr. McLaughlin served in several commercial leadership roles for Endo Pharmaceuticals, a mid-size specialty pharmaceutical company focused the development and commercialization of medicines targeting pain management and diseases of the central nervous system. His roles included Senior Director of Strategic Marketing where he established a strategic roadmap for the organization and performed commercial assessments for new opportunities encompassing all aspects of pain management including neuropathic pain, post-operative and breakthrough pain. From 1990 to 2001, Mr. McLaughlin worked for Merck & Co. Inc. in a variety of commercial roles including marketing leadership roles where he developed and implemented brand strategies for three product launches both for the US and global markets. Mr. McLaughlin received his BA in Economics from Dickinson College and his MBA from Villanova University. In making the decision to nominate Mr. McLaughlin to serve as a director, the Board of Directors considered, in addition to the criteria referred to above, Mr. McLaughlin's experience in the healthcare industry and his experience with clinical-stage pharmaceutical companies.

Thomas A. George
Age: 59
Director since 2015

Thomas A. George has served as a member of our Board of Directors since January 2015. Mr. George has over thirty years of experience in corporate finance and accounting, having served in a number of senior level positions with both public and private companies. Mr. George currently serves as the Chief Financial Officer of Deckers Brands (NYSE: DECK), which he joined in September 2009. Prior to Deckers Brands, Mr. George was with Ophthonix, Inc. where he served as Chief Financial Officer since February 2005. Prior to Ophthonix, Inc., Mr. George spent more than seven years as Chief Financial Officer for publicly held Oakley, Inc., now a division of Luxottica Group S.p.A. (NYSE: LUX). Earlier in his career, Mr. George held positions at Loral Corporation, International Totalizator Systems and Remecc Corporation. He began his career at Coopers & Lybrand where he became a Certified Public Accountant. Mr. George is a graduate of the University of Southern California. In making the decision to nominate Mr. George to serve as a director, the Board of Directors considered, in addition to the criteria referred to above, Mr. George's financial and accounting experience and expertise and his experience with public companies.

OUR BOARD UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE "FOR" EACH OF THE NOMINEES TO THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS SET FORTH IN THIS PROPOSAL NO. 1.

In considering your vote with respect to the election of directors pursuant to Proposal No. 1, you should consider the discussions of "Executive Compensation and Related Information" and "Information about our Board of Directors, Board Committees and Related Matters" and the other discussions contained in this Proxy Statement.

PROPOSAL NO. 2
RATIFICATION OF SELECTION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

The Board of Directors, upon the recommendation of the Audit Committee, has selected the firm of Mayer Hoffman McCann P.C. as our registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2015. Mayer Hoffman McCann P.C. has served as our registered public accounting firm since October 31, 2014. The Board of Directors has directed that management submit the appointment of the independent registered public accounting firm, Mayer Hoffman McCann P.C., for ratification by the stockholders at the Annual Meeting.

Representatives of Mayer Hoffman McCann P.C. are expected to be present at the Annual Meeting. They will have the opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so and will be available to respond to appropriate questions from stockholders.

In considering your vote with respect to the ratification of our selection of Mayer Hoffman McCann P.C. as our registered public accounting firm pursuant to Proposal No. 2, you should consider the discussion of "Relationship with Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm" and the other discussions contained in this Proxy Statement.

OUR BOARD UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE "FOR" THIS PROPOSAL NO. 2, THE APPROVAL OF MAYER HOFFMAN MCCANN P.C. AS OUR REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2015.

PROPOSAL NO. 3
APPROVAL OF THE NEMUS BIOSCIENCE, INC.
2014 OMNIBUS INCENTIVE PLAN

The Board of Directors is submitting for stockholder approval the Nemus Bioscience, Inc. 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan (the "Plan"). Our Board of Directors approved the Plan on October 31, 2014. The Board of Directors believes that the Plan is in our and our stockholders' best interest, as it will allow us to continue to attract and retain talented employees, directors and consultants who can assist us in competing in the marketplace.

Material Terms of the Plan

The Plan permits flexibility in types of awards, and specific terms of awards, which will allow future awards to be based on then-current objectives for aligning compensation with increasing long-term shareholder value.

The Board of Directors, acting as a compensation committee (the "Committee") will generally administer the Plan. The Committee will have full power and authority to determine when and to whom awards will be granted, including the type, amount, form of payment and other terms and conditions of each award, consistent with the provisions of the Plan. In addition, the Committee has the authority to interpret the Plan and the awards granted under the Plan, and establish rules and regulations for the administration of the Plan.

The Committee may delegate certain administrative duties associated with the Plan to our officers, including the maintenance of records of the awards and the interpretation of the terms of the awards. The Committee may also delegate the authority to grant awards to a subcommittee comprised of one or more Board members, or to our executive officers, provided that such subcommittee or executive officers cannot be authorized to grant awards to executive officers.

Awards under the Plan may be granted to any person who is (i) an employee, (ii) a non-employee member of the Board of Directors or the board of directors of any of our subsidiaries, or (iii) a consultant who provides services to us; provided that stock appreciation rights and non-qualified stock options shall be granted only to persons as to which we are the "service recipient," as such term is defined in Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code (the "Code"). The Committee shall consider such factors as it deems pertinent in determining the type and amount of their respective awards. The maximum aggregate number of shares that may be subject to one or more awards granted to any participant under the Plan during any calendar year cannot exceed 1,000,000 shares and the maximum amount that may be paid to a participant in cash during any calendar year with respect to one or more cash based awards under the Plan is \$1,000,000. As of June 9, 2015, there were four non-employee directors and three employees who would have been eligible for awards under the Plan.

The Plan will terminate on October 31, 2024, unless all shares available for issuance have been issued, the Plan is earlier terminated by the Board of Directors or the Committee, or the Plan is extended by an amendment approved by our shareholders. No awards may be made after the termination date. However, unless otherwise expressly provided in an applicable award agreement, any award granted under the Plan prior to the termination date may extend beyond the end of such period through the award's normal expiration date. The maximum term of options granted pursuant to the Plan shall be no more than ten years from the date of grant.

The aggregate number of shares of the common stock authorized for issuance as awards under the Plan is 3,200,000. As of June 9, 2015, there were 1,810,000 shares subject to stock options granted under the Plan, and a total of 1,390,000 shares remained available for issuance under the Plan. The closing price of our common stock on June 9, 2015 is \$2.89.

Under the Plan, the Committee can grant stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, stock units and performance units. Awards may be granted alone, in addition to, or in combination with any other award granted under the Plan. Subject to the limitations set forth in the Plan, the terms and conditions of each award shall generally be governed by the particular document or agreement granting the award. The terms and conditions set forth in an award agreement may include, as appropriate:

- deemed issuance date;
- expiration date;
- number of shares covered by the award;
- acceptable means of payment;
- price per share payable upon exercise;
- applicable vesting schedule;
- individual performance criteria;
- company or group performance criteria;
- continued employment requirement; or
- any other terms or conditions deemed appropriate by the Committee, in each case not inconsistent with the Plan.

Stock Options and Stock Appreciation Rights. The holder of an option will be entitled to purchase a number of shares of common stock at an exercise price not less than 100% of the fair market value of a share on the date of grant during a specified time period, as determined by the Committee. The option exercise price shall be paid in cash or in such other form if and to the extent permitted by the Committee, including without limitation by delivery of already owned shares. Other than in connection with a change in our capitalization, the exercise price of an option may not be reduced without shareholder approval.

The holder of a stock appreciation right will be entitled to receive, in cash or stock (as determined by the Committee), value with respect to a specific number of shares equal to or otherwise based on the excess of the market value of a share at the time of exercise over the exercise price of the right.

Restricted Stock and Stock Units. The holder of restricted stock will own shares of common stock subject to restrictions imposed by the Committee and subject to forfeiture to us if the holder does not satisfy certain requirements (including, for example, continued employment with us) for a specified period of time. The holder of restricted stock units will have the right, subject to any restrictions imposed by the Committee, to receive shares of common stock, or a cash payment equal to the fair market value of those shares, at some future date determined by the Committee, provided that the holder has satisfied certain requirements (including, for example, continued employment with us until such future date).

Performance Awards. Performance stock or cash awards may be granted by the Committee at its sole discretion, upon the attainment of performance goals as set by the Committee.

Unless otherwise provided by the Committee, awards under the Plan may only be transferred by will or the laws of descent and distribution. The Committee may permit further transferability pursuant to conditions and limitations that it may impose, except that no transfers for consideration will be permitted.

In the event of any stock dividend, stock split, combination of shares, extraordinary dividend of cash and/or assets, recapitalization, reorganization or any similar event, the Committee is entitled to appropriately and equitably adjust the number and kind of shares or other securities which are subject to the Plan or subject to any award under the Plan.

Subject to any restrictive terms which may be set forth in award agreements, in the event we are a party to a merger or other reorganization, outstanding awards shall be subject to the agreement of merger or reorganization. Such agreement may provide, without limitation, for the assumption of outstanding awards by the surviving corporation or its parent, for their continuation by us (if we are a surviving corporation), for accelerated vesting and accelerated expiration, or for settlement in cash.

The Board of Directors may generally amend or terminate the Plan as determined to be advisable. Shareholder approval may also be required for certain amendments pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code, the rules of any market in which we participate, or rules of the SEC. No amendment or alteration of the Plan may be made which would impair the rights of any participant under any outstanding award, without such participant's consent, provided that no consent is required with respect to any amendment or alteration if the Committee determines that such amendment or alteration is either:

- required or advisable in order for us, the Plan or the award to satisfy any law or regulation or to meet the requirements of any accounting standard, or
- not reasonably likely to significantly diminish the benefits provided under such award, or that any such diminishment has been adequately compensated.

New Plan Benefits Table

The benefits that will be awarded or paid under the Plan cannot currently be determined. The number of awards that our named executive officers, directors, other executive officers and other employees may receive under the Plan will be determined in the discretion of our Board or a committee of the Board, and neither our Board nor any committee thereof has determined future awards or who might receive them as of the date of this Proxy Statement. Therefore, it is not possible to determine the benefits that will be received in the future by participants in the Plan.

Existing Plan Benefits - Awards to Certain Persons Granted as of June 9, 2015

The table below sets forth summary information concerning the number of shares of our common stock subject to stock options granted to certain persons under the Plan as of June 9, 2015. Certain awards set forth in this table for the executives and non-employee directors were granted in 2014 and therefore also are included in the Director Compensation Table set forth in this Proxy Statement and are not additional awards.

Name and Position	Number of Options
John B. Hollister, Chief Executive Officer	680,000
Elizabeth M. Berez, Chief Financial Officer	250,000
Dr. Brian S. Murphy, Chief Medical Officer	655,000
Cosmas N. Lykos, Chairman of the Board, director	125,000
Douglas S. Ingram, Vice Chairman, director	40,000
Gerald W. McLaughlin, director	20,000
Thomas A. George, director	40,000
Executive Group	1,750,000
Non-Executive Director Group	60,000
Non-Executive Officer Employee Group	0

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The table below includes the information about shares of our common stock that may be issued upon exercise of stock options, conversion of restricted stock units or granting of stock awards under the Nemus Bioscience, Inc. 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan as of December 31, 2014.

Equity Compensation Plan Information			
Plan category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	0	0	0
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	1,730,000	0	1,470,000
Total	1,730,000	0.42	1,470,000

Federal Income Tax Consequences

The material federal income tax consequences of the Plan under current federal income tax law are summarized in the following discussion, which deals with the general tax principles applicable to the Plan. The following discussion is based upon laws, regulations, rulings and decisions now in effect, all of which are subject to change. Foreign, state and local tax laws, and employment, estate and gift tax considerations are not discussed due to the fact that they may vary depending on individual circumstances and from locality to locality.

Stock Options and Stock Appreciation Rights. A Plan participant generally will not recognize taxable income and we generally will not be entitled to a tax deduction upon the grant of a stock option or Stock Appreciation Right, or SAR. The tax consequences of exercising a stock option and the subsequent disposition of the shares received upon exercise will depend upon whether the option qualifies as an incentive stock option, or ISO, as defined in Section 422 of the Code. The Plan permits the grant of options that are intended to qualify as ISOs as well as options that are not intended to so qualify; however, ISOs generally may be granted only to our employees and employees of our parent or subsidiary corporations, if any. Upon exercising an option that does not qualify as an ISO when the fair market value of our stock is higher than the exercise price of the option, a Plan participant generally will recognize taxable income at ordinary income tax rates equal to the excess of the fair market value of the stock on the date of exercise over the purchase price, and we (or our subsidiaries, if applicable) generally will be entitled to a corresponding tax deduction for compensation expense, in the amount equal to the amount by which the fair market value of the shares purchased exceeds the purchase price for the shares. Upon a subsequent sale or other disposition of the shares, the participant will recognize a short-term or long-term capital gain or loss in the amount of the difference between the sales price of the shares and the participant's tax basis in the shares.

Upon exercising an ISO, a Plan participant generally will not recognize taxable income, and we will not be entitled to a tax deduction for compensation expense. However, upon exercise, the amount by which the fair market value of the shares purchased exceeds the purchase price will be an item of adjustment for alternative minimum tax purposes. The participant will recognize taxable income upon a sale or other taxable disposition of the option shares. For federal income tax purposes, dispositions are divided into two categories: qualifying and disqualifying. A qualifying disposition generally occurs if the sale or other disposition is made more than two years after the date the option was granted and more than one year after the date the shares are transferred upon exercise. If the sale or disposition occurs before these two periods are satisfied, then a disqualifying disposition generally will result.

Upon a qualifying disposition of ISO shares, the participant will recognize long-term capital gain in an amount equal to the excess of the amount realized upon the sale or other disposition of the shares over their purchase price. If there is a disqualifying disposition of the shares, then the excess of the amount realized over the purchase price (but not more than the excess of the fair market value of the shares on the exercise date over the exercise price) will be taxable as ordinary income to the participant. If there is a disqualifying disposition in the same year of exercise, it eliminates the item of adjustment for alternative minimum tax purposes. Any remaining gain or loss recognized upon the disposition will be recognized as a capital gain or loss by the participant.

We will not be entitled to any tax deduction if the participant makes a qualifying disposition of ISO shares. If the participant makes a disqualifying disposition of the shares, we should be entitled to a tax deduction for compensation expense in the amount of the ordinary income recognized by the participant.

SARs are generally taxed and deductible in substantially the same manner as nonqualified stock options.

Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Units. A Plan participant generally will not recognize taxable income and we generally will not be entitled to a tax deduction upon the grant of restricted stock or restricted stock units. Upon the termination of restrictions on restricted stock or the payment of restricted stock units, the participant generally will recognize taxable income at ordinary income tax rates, and we should be entitled to a corresponding tax deduction for compensation expense, in the amount paid to the participant or the amount by which the then fair market value of the shares received by the participant exceeds the amount, if any, paid for them. Upon the subsequent disposition of any shares, the participant will recognize a short-term or long-term capital gain or loss in the amount of the difference between the sales price of the shares and the participant's tax basis in the shares. However, a Plan participant granted restricted stock that is subject to forfeiture or repurchase through a vesting schedule such that it is subject to a "risk of forfeiture" (as defined in Section 83 of the Code) may make an election under Section 83(b) of the Code to recognize taxable income at ordinary income tax rates, at the time of the grant, in an amount equal to the fair market value of the shares of common stock on the date of grant, less the amount paid, if any, for such shares. We will be entitled to a corresponding tax deduction for compensation, in the amount recognized as taxable income by the participant. If a timely Section 83(b) election is made, the participant will not recognize any additional ordinary income on the termination of restrictions on restricted stock, and we will not be entitled to any additional tax deduction.

Performance Awards. A Plan participant generally will not recognize taxable income and we will not be entitled to a tax deduction upon the grant of stock payment or cash-based performance awards until cash or shares are paid or distributed to the participant. At that time, any cash payments or the fair market value of shares that the participant receives generally will be taxable to the participant at ordinary income tax rates and we should be entitled to a corresponding tax deduction for compensation expense. Payments in shares typically will be valued at the fair market value of the shares at the time of the payment, and upon the subsequent disposition of the shares, the participant will recognize a short-term or long-term capital gain or loss in the amount of the difference between the sales price of the shares and the participant's tax basis in the shares.

Section 409A of the Code. Certain types of awards under the Plan may constitute, or provide for, a deferral of compensation under Section 409A of the Code ("Section 409A"). Unless certain requirements set forth in Section 409A are complied with, holders of such awards may be taxed earlier than would otherwise be the case (e.g., at the time of vesting instead of the time of payment) and may be subject to an additional 20% federal income tax (and, potentially, certain interest penalties). To the extent applicable, we intend to structure awards granted under the Plan to comply with or be exempt from Section 409A and the Department of Treasury regulations and other interpretive guidance that may be issued pursuant to Section 409A.

Section 162(m) Limitation. In general, under Section 162(m) of the Code, income tax deductions of publicly held corporations may be limited to the extent total compensation (including base salary, annual bonus, stock option exercises and non-qualified benefits paid) for certain executive officers exceeds \$1 million (less the amount of any "excess parachute payments" as defined in Section 280G of the Code) in any one year. However, under Section 162(m), the deduction limit does not apply to certain "performance-based compensation" if an independent compensation committee determines performance goals and if the material terms of the performance-based compensation are disclosed to and approved by our stockholders. In particular, stock options and SARs will satisfy the "performance-based compensation" exception if the awards are made by a qualifying compensation committee, the plan sets the maximum number of shares that can be granted to any person within a specified period and the compensation is based solely on an increase in the stock price after the grant date. Specifically, the option exercise price must be equal to or greater than the fair market value of the stock subject to the award on the grant date. The Plan has been structured with the intent that certain awards granted under the 2014 Plan may, in the discretion of the compensation committee, be structured so as to qualify for the "qualified performance-based compensation" exception to the \$1 million annual deductibility limit of Section 162(m) of the Code. However, awards granted under the Plan will be treated as qualified performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) of the Code only if the awards and the procedures associated with them comply with all requirements of Section 162(m) of the Code. There can be no assurance that compensation attributable to awards granted under the Plan will be treated as qualified performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) of the Code and thus be deductible to us.

Vote Required and Board of Directors' Recommendation. Approval of this Proposal No. 3 will require the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote at the meeting.

OUR BOARD UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE "FOR" THE APPROVAL OF THE NEMUS BIOSCIENCE, INC. 2014 OMNIBUS INCENTIVE PLAN AS SET FORTH IN THIS PROPOSAL NO. 3.

RELATIONSHIP WITH INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

(a) Effective on October 31, 2014 and with the approval of our Board of Directors, we dismissed Messineo & Co, CPAs LLC ("Messineo") as our independent registered public accounting firm engaged to audit our consolidated financial statements.

The report issued by Messineo dated December 23, 2013 relating to its audit of our balance sheet as of October 31, 2013, and the related statements of operations, changes in stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the fiscal year then ended, contained an explanatory paragraph stating that there was substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. Other than as disclosed above, such report did not contain an adverse opinion or disclaimer of opinion and were not qualified as to uncertainty, audit scope or accounting principles.

Our decision to dismiss Messineo is not the result of any disagreement between us and Messineo on matters of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure or auditing scope or procedures. During our two most recent fiscal years through the date of dismissal of Messineo, there were no disagreements with Messineo on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure or auditing scope or procedures, which disagreements, if not resolved to the satisfaction of Messineo, would have caused Messineo to make a reference to the subject matter of the disagreement in connection with its reports. Pursuant to the rules of the SEC applicable to smaller reporting companies, Messineo was not required to provide an attestation as to the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting for any period since our inception.

There were no reportable events (as that term is defined in Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K) during our two most recent fiscal years through the date of dismissal of Messineo. Our Board of Directors discussed the subject matter referred to above with Messineo. We authorized Messineo to respond fully and without limitation to all requests of our successor accountant concerning all matters related to the annual and interim periods audited and reviewed by Messineo, including with respect to the subject matter of any reportable event.

We provided Messineo with a copy of the above disclosures and requested that Messineo furnish a letter addressed to the SEC stating whether or not it agrees with the above statements, and, if not, stating the respects in which it does not agree. A copy of the letter dated November 18, 2014, is filed as Exhibit 16.1 to this Annual Report.

(b) Effective on October 31, 2014 and with the approval of our Board of Directors, we have engaged Mayer Hoffman McCann P.C. ("MHM") as our new independent registered public accounting firm. MHM was engaged by Nemus Sub before it became our wholly owned subsidiary to audit its consolidated financial statements for the six-month period ended June 30, 2014, year ended December 31, 2013, and the period from inception (July 17, 2012) to December 31, 2012 and the related statements of operations, changes in stockholders' deficit and cash flows for the periods then ended, which are filed as Exhibit 99.1 on Form 8-K filed on October 31, 2014.

During our two most recent fiscal years and through the date of our engagement of MHM, neither we nor anyone on our behalf consulted with MHM regarding either (i) the application of accounting principles to a specified transaction, either completed or proposed, or the type of audit opinion that might be rendered with respect to our consolidated financial statements, and no written report or oral advice was provided to us by MHM that was an important factor considered by us in reaching a decision as to any accounting, auditing or financial reporting issue; or (ii) any matter that was the subject of a disagreement (as that term is defined in Item 304(a)(1)(iv) of Regulation S-K promulgated under the Securities Act and the related instructions) or a reportable event (as that term is defined in Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K) relating to our company.

HOUSEHOLDING OF ANNUAL MEETING MATERIALS

Some banks, brokers and other nominee record holders may be participating in the practice of "householding". This means that only one copy of our annual report and proxy statement will be sent to stockholders who share the same last name and address. Householding is designed to reduce duplicate mailings and save significant printing and postage costs.

If you receive a household mailing this year and would like to receive additional copies of our annual report and/or proxy statement, please submit your request in writing to: Nemus Bioscience, Inc., 650 Town Center Drive, Suite 1770, Costa Mesa, CA 92626, Attention: Secretary or by calling Nemus Bioscience, Inc. at (949) 396-0330. Any stockholder who wants to receive separate copies of the proxy statement in the future, or who is currently receiving multiple copies and would like to receive only one copy for his or her household, should contact his or her bank, broker, or other nominee record holder.

STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR THE 2016 ANNUAL MEETING

Any stockholders who wish to submit a proposal or director nomination for inclusion in the proxy materials for our 2016 annual meeting of stockholders must ensure that it is received by our corporate secretary at our corporate headquarters, which are located 650 Town Center Drive, Suite 1770, Costa Mesa, CA 92626. Such proposal or director nomination must be received by us no later than February 11, 2016, which is 120 days prior to the first anniversary of the expected mailing date of this proxy, in order to be included in our proxy statement and form of proxy relating to that meeting. These proposals must comply with the requirements as to form and substance established by the SEC for such proposals in order to be included in the proxy statement. If the stockholder fails to give notice by April 27, 2016, then the persons named as proxies in the proxies solicited by the Board of Directors for the 2016 annual meeting may exercise discretionary voting power regarding any such proposal. If a stockholder gives notice of a proposal or a nomination after the applicable deadline specified above, the notice will not be considered timely, and the stockholder will not be permitted to present the proposal or the nomination to the stockholder for a vote at the meeting.

OTHER MATTERS

The Board of Directors knows of no other matters that will be presented for consideration at the Annual Meeting. If any other matters are properly brought before the meeting, it is the intention of the persons named in the accompanying proxy to vote on such matters in accordance with their best judgment.

ANNUAL REPORT AND AVAILABLE INFORMATION

We file reports, proxy statements and other information with the SEC under the Exchange Act. You may obtain copies of this information by mail from the Public Reference Section of the SEC, 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549, at prescribed rates. The SEC maintains an Internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC. The address of that site is <http://www.sec.gov>.

A copy of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014, is being made available with this proxy statement. The reports described in the preceding paragraph are not incorporated by reference into this proxy statement and are not deemed to be a part of our proxy solicitation materials.

THIS PROXY STATEMENT IS DATED JUNE 10, 2015. YOU SHOULD NOT ASSUME THAT THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS PROXY STATEMENT IS ACCURATE AS OF ANY DATE OTHER THAN SUCH DATE, AND THE MAILING OF THIS PROXY STATEMENT TO THE COMPANY SHAREHOLDERS SHALL NOT CREATE ANY IMPLICATION TO THE CONTRARY.

THE BOARD ENCOURAGES STOCKHOLDERS TO ATTEND THE ANNUAL MEETING. WHETHER OR NOT YOU PLAN TO ATTEND, YOU ARE URGED TO COMPLETE, DATE, SIGN AND RETURN THE ENCLOSED PROXY IN THE ACCOMPANYING ENVELOPE. A PROMPT RESPONSE WILL GREATLY FACILITATE ARRANGEMENTS FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING AND YOUR COOPERATION WILL BE APPRECIATED. STOCKHOLDERS WHO ATTEND THE ANNUAL MEETING MAY VOTE THEIR STOCK PERSONALLY EVEN THOUGH THEY HAVE SENT IN THEIR PROXIES.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

June 10, 2015



John B. Hollister
Chief Executive Officer

NEMUS BIOSCIENCE, INC.
650 TOWN CENTER DRIVE, SUITE 1770
COSTA MESA, CA 92626

Investor Address Line 1
Investor Address Line 2
Investor Address Line 3
Investor Address Line 4
Investor Address Line 5
John Sample
1234 ANYWHERE STREET
ANY CITY, ON A1A 1A1

1 OF 2
1
2

VOTE BY INTERNET - www.proxyvote.com

Use the Internet to transmit your voting instructions and for electronic delivery of information up until 11:59 P.M. Eastern Time the day before the cut-off date or meeting date. Have your proxy card in hand when you access the web site and follow the instructions to obtain your records and to create an electronic voting instruction form.

ELECTRONIC DELIVERY OF FUTURE PROXY MATERIALS

If you would like to reduce the costs incurred by our company in mailing proxy materials, you can consent to receiving all future proxy statements, proxy cards and annual reports electronically via e-mail or the Internet. To sign up for electronic delivery, please follow the instructions above to vote using the Internet and, when prompted, indicate that you agree to receive or access proxy materials electronically in future years.

VOTE BY PHONE - 1-800-690-6903

Use any touch-tone telephone to transmit your voting instructions up until 11:59 P.M. Eastern Time the day before the cut-off date or meeting date. Have your proxy card in hand when you call and then follow the instructions.

VOTE BY MAIL

Mark, sign and date your proxy card and return it in the postage-paid envelope we have provided or return it to Vote Processing, c/o Broadridge, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, NY 11717.

NAME

THE COMPANY NAME INC. - COMMON
THE COMPANY NAME INC. - CLASS A
THE COMPANY NAME INC. - CLASS B
THE COMPANY NAME INC. - CLASS C
THE COMPANY NAME INC. - CLASS D
THE COMPANY NAME INC. - CLASS E
THE COMPANY NAME INC. - CLASS F
THE COMPANY NAME INC. - 401 K

CONTROL # → 0000000000000000

SHARES 123,456,789,012.12345
123,456,789,012.12345
123,456,789,012.12345
123,456,789,012.12345
123,456,789,012.12345
123,456,789,012.12345
123,456,789,012.12345
123,456,789,012.12345

PAGE 1 OF 2

TO VOTE, MARK BLOCKS BELOW IN BLUE OR BLACK INK AS FOLLOWS:

KEEP THIS PORTION FOR YOUR RECORDS

THIS PROXY CARD IS VALID ONLY WHEN SIGNED AND DATED.

DETACH AND RETURN THIS PORTION ONLY

The Board of Directors recommends you vote FOR the following:

1 Election of Directors Nominees

For All Withhold All For All Except

To withhold authority to vote for any individual nominee(s), mark "For All Except" and write the number(s) of the nominee(s) on the line below.

01 John B. Hollister 02 Cosmas N. Lykos 03 Gerald W. McLaughlin 04 Thomas A. George 05 Douglas S. Ingran

The Board of Directors recommends you vote FOR proposals 2 and 3.

2 To ratify the selection of Mayer Hoffman McCann P.C. as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ended December 31, 2015. For Against Abstain

3 To approve the Nemus Bioscience, Inc. 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan. For Against Abstain

NOTE: To conduct any other business properly brought before the meeting or any adjournments thereof.

Please sign exactly as your name(s) appear(s) hereon. When signing as attorney, executor, administrator, or other fiduciary, please give full title as such. Joint owners should each sign personally. All holders must sign. If a corporation or partnership, please sign in full corporate or partnership name, by authorized officer.

Investor Address Line 1
Investor Address Line 2
Investor Address Line 3
Investor Address Line 4
Investor Address Line 5
John Sample
1234 ANYWHERE STREET
ANY CITY, ON A1A 1A1

Signature [PLEASE SIGN WITHIN BOX] Date

JOB #

Signature (Joint Owners) Date


SHARES
CUSIP #
SEQUENCE #

0000250769_1 R1.0.0.51160

02 0000000000



Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting: The Notice & Proxy Statement, Form 10-K is/are available at www.proxyvote.com.



NEMUS BIOSCIENCE, INC.
Annual Meeting of Shareholders
July 9th, 2015 11:00 AM (Pacific Time)
This proxy is solicited by the Board of Directors

The shareholder(s) hereby appoint(s) Cosmos N. Lykos and John B. Hollister, or either of them, as proxies, each with the power to appoint (his/her) substitute, and hereby authorizes them to represent and to vote, as designated on the reverse side of this ballot, all of the shares of Common stock of NEMUS BIOSCIENCE, INC. that the shareholder(s) is/are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting of shareholder(s) to be held at 11:00 AM, Pacific Time on July 9th, 2015, at the offices of Latham & Watkins LLP located at 650 Town Center Drive, 20th Floor, Costa Mesa, CA 92626, and any adjournment or postponement thereof.

This proxy, when properly executed, will be voted in the manner directed herein. If no such direction is made, this proxy will be voted in accordance with the Board of Directors' recommendations.

Continued and to be signed on reverse side

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

[X] ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014**[] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 000-55136

Nemus Bioscience, Inc.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Nevada
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

45-0692882
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

650 Town Center Drive, Suite 1770, Costa Mesa, CA
(Address of principal executive offices)

92626
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (949) 396-0330

Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class registered:
None

Name of each exchange on which registered:
None

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Act:

Common Stock, Par Value \$.001
(Title of Class)

Indicate by check mark if registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes NoIndicate by check mark if registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes NoIndicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes NoIndicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 229.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes NoIndicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Accelerated filer
Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

State the aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was sold, or the average bid and asked price of such common equity, as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter. As of June 30, 2014, approximately \$330,250.

As of March 23, 2015, there were 16,265,663 shares of the issuer's \$.001 par value common stock issued and outstanding.

Documents incorporated by reference. There are no annual reports to security holders, proxy information statements, or any prospectus filed pursuant to Rule 424 of the Securities Act of 1933 incorporated herein by reference.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE
<u>PART I</u>		
Item 1.	Business	3
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	15
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	32
Item 2.	Properties	32
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	32
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	33
<u>PART II</u>		
Item 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	34
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	34
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation	34
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	39
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	39
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	39
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	40
Item 9B.	Other Information	41
<u>PART III</u>		
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	41
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	44
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	46
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	47
Item 14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	48
<u>PART IV</u>		
Item 15.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	49

PART I

As used in this report, unless otherwise indicated, the terms "we," "our," "Company" and "Nemus" refer to Nemus Bioscience, Inc., a Nevada corporation, formerly known as Load Guard Logistics, Inc., together with its wholly-owned subsidiary Nemus, a California corporation.

Item 1. Business.

History

The Company was incorporated in the State of Nevada on March 16, 2011, as Load Guard Transportation, Inc., and changed its name to Load Guard Logistics, Inc. on November 6, 2012. The Company incorporated a wholly owned subsidiary in the State of Florida on March 18, 2011, called LGT, Inc. ("LGT").

On October 31, 2014, the Company closed a reverse merger transaction (the "Merger") pursuant to which the Company became the 100% parent of our subsidiary Nemus ("Nemus Sub") and assumed the operations of Nemus Sub. On November 3, 2014, the Company changed its name to Nemus Bioscience, Inc. by merging with Nemus Bioscience, Inc., a subsidiary of the Company.

On October 31, 2014, the Company entered into an Assignment and Assumption Agreement with LGT, pursuant to which the Company transferred all of its assets and liabilities to LGT immediately prior to the consummation of the Merger.

On October 31, 2014, the Company entered into a Share Repurchase and Cancellation Agreement with LGT, Yosbani Mendez and Francisco Mendez, pursuant to which the Company repurchased 5,431,460 shares of its common stock (the "Repurchased Shares") from Yosbani Mendez and Francisco Mendez for a repurchase price of all of the issued and outstanding shares of LGT. Upon the repurchase, the Company cancelled all of the Repurchased Shares.

Prior to the Merger, we were a transportation and logistics company engaged primarily in hauling truckload shipments of general commodities in both interstate and intrastate commerce ("Former Business"). Nemus Sub was incorporated in the State of California on July 17, 2012.

Business Overview

We are a biopharmaceutical company focused on the discovery, development, and the commercialization of therapeutics based on naturally-derived or synthetically manufactured cannabis compounds through our partnership with the University of Mississippi, or UM. UM has held the only contract to cultivate cannabis for research purposes on behalf of the Federal Government since 1968, and it has significant expertise in cannabis cultivation and the extraction, separation, process and manufacture of cannabis extracts. We are currently UM's sole partner for the development and commercialization of drugs developed from cannabis extracts, or cannabinoids, and the success of this partnership will depend on the successful navigation of the complex regulatory framework for the cultivation and handling of cannabis, conducting research on cannabis extracts, and developing and commercializing controlled substances in the United States.

Our Strategic Partnership

In July 2013, we entered into a Memorandum of Understanding, or MOU, with UM to engage in joint research activities including extracting, manipulating, and studying cannabis in every form to develop intellectual property with the intention to create and commercialize therapeutic medicines. The MOU provides that we own all intellectual property developed solely by our employees and will jointly own all intellectual property developed jointly between Nemus Sub and UM employees. The term of the agreement is five years and the parties agree to enter into separate research agreements upon the identification of patentable technologies.

On May 15, 2014, we entered into an option agreement in which UM granted Nemus Sub a three-month option for conducting due diligence to exclusively license a suppository dosage form containing Dronabinol Hemisuccinate and other esters.

On July 1, 2014, we entered into three additional option agreements in which UM granted Nemus Sub three-month exclusive options for conducting due diligence on the following three cannabinoid presentations for the purposes of U.S. Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, approval and commercialization:

- 1) UM 1490 – transmucosal delivery of cannabinoids
- 2) UM 5070 – treatment for methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infections
- 3) UM 8790 – ocular delivery of cannabinoids

On August 12, 2014, we exercised our option to exclusively license UM's rights to UM 5070, UM 1490 and UM 8790.

On September 29, 2014, we entered into three license agreements with UM pursuant to which UM granted Nemus Sub exclusive, perpetual licenses of all intellectual property related to the optioned new compound elements that UM has previously developed, including the right to sublicense, and we have identified target indications for development and commercialization by us. The licenses from UM include the rights to UM5050, a pro-drug formulation of tetrahydrocannabinol, or THC. Data from UM supports the delivery of the pro-drug through absorptive routes other than the gastrointestinal tract, which we believe helps mitigate the issue of first-pass metabolism by the liver, enhancing drug bioavailability. The three licenses are for delivery of UM5050 through ocular, transmucosal and trans-rectal delivery. Further, we have a renewable option for the rights to use UM5050 for delivery by other means not yet agreed upon and/or in combination with other cannabinoids or other compatible compounds.

In addition, in March of 2015, we entered into a research agreement with UM to conduct studies to assess the utility of cannabinoid-based compounds for the prophylaxis and treatment of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, or MRSA, infections. We also believe there may be the opportunity to study the role of cannabinoids as therapeutic options in other infectious diseases, including those that pose a threat to the public health.

Our Product Candidates

Cannabinoids are a class of chemically diverse compounds that are extracted from the cannabis plant. These cannabis-derived compounds express their physiological response by binding to specific cannabinoid receptors (CB1 and CB2), which are found throughout the body. Some cannabinoids have been noted to exert multiple effects on the human body, including but not limited to: impacting the immune response, nervous system function and repair, gastrointestinal maintenance and motility, motor function in muscles, pancreatic functionality and blood sugar regulation, and integrity of function in the eye, including the optic nerve. Cannabis and specific cannabinoids have been studied widely, with published data demonstrating the efficacy of these compounds in treating many disorders or alleviating disease-associated symptoms.

We are focused on the development of early stage product candidates based on cannabinoids. Specifically, UM's research to date has indicated that proprietary cannabinoid chemistry coupled with the innovative, alternative delivery methods, such as ocular, transmucosal and trans-rectal delivery, could have beneficial effects across a spectrum of diseases, including these primary targets:

- Glaucoma and other optic nerve-related disorders
- Conditions associated with muscle spasticity
- Anxiety
- Epilepsy
- Therapeutics directed against MRSA

The following table summarizes certain information regarding our product candidates:

Product Candidate	Indication	Development Status
NB1111	Glaucoma	Preclinical
NB2221	Multiple Sclerosis Spasticity	Preclinical
NB31R1	MRSA	Research
NB23R1	Epilepsy	Research
NB51R1	Anxiety	Research

Our Competitive Strengths

Cannabis is subject to strict regulation in the United States. Cannabis is classified by the U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration, or DEA, as a Schedule I substance, which means that, under federal law, it has no established medicinal use and may not be marketed or sold in the United States. In addition, the United States is a party to the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, which imposes certain requirements and restrictions on member parties with respect to the cultivation and wholesale trade in cannabis. Since 1968, UM has held the only contract with the Federal Government to cultivate cannabis on its behalf for research purposes, and holds the requisite DEA registrations authorizing it to engage in that activity. The contract, which is open for competitive bidding at periodic intervals, is administered by the National Institute on Drug Abuse, or NIDA, an agency within the National Institutes of Health. In March of 2015, UM received approval from NIDA in the competitive bidding process for the next contract interval. As the sole contract holder since 1968, UM has developed significant expertise in extraction, separation, processing and manufacture of cannabinoids. UM has also engaged in the cultivation of cannabis and the extraction of cannabinoids for purposes of developing drug product candidates apart from its role as NIDA contractor. We have entered into commercial and research agreements with UM and view this collaborative association as a significant strategic advantage in the marketplace.

The only cannabinoid products that are currently approved as drugs in the United States (which are synthetically derived) and, to our knowledge, all cannabinoid products in late-stage development, are orally-delivered. Cannabinoids, when ingested orally, are subject to significant first pass metabolism by the liver and potential drug-drug interactions, resulting in very high patient-to-patient variation in bioavailability which can compromise both efficacy and safety. This has been repeatedly published in the literature and in product labeling by regulatory agencies worldwide. These independent assessments correlate with highly variable response rates and safety profiles which, in some cases, have been deemed to have marginal clinical utility.

We have licensed from UM the rights to a pro-drug formulation of THC. Data from UM supports the delivery of the pro-drug through absorptive routes other than the gastrointestinal tract, which we believe may help mitigate the issue of first-pass metabolism by the liver, enhancing drug bioavailability. The three licenses are for delivery of this proprietary formulation through ocular, transmucosal and trans-rectal delivery.

We are also working with UM and other parties on methods to formulate and deliver a variety of other pharmaceutical-grade cannabinoids to treat other symptoms and diseases.

Our Business Strategy

Our goal is to become the premier developer of prescription medicines developed from cannabinoids for global markets with significant unmet medical needs. Our current operating strategy includes:

- selection of potential clinical targets based on internal and external published data, access to appropriate cannabinoids, and the impact of both developmental and market conditions;
- prioritization of product candidates based on associated target indications;
- utilization, where feasible, of both naturally-derived and synthetic cannabinoids;
- development and execution of an intellectual property strategy;
- development and advancement of our current product pipeline;
- outsourcing services, such as use of Clinical Research Organizations, or CROs, and contract manufacturers for the active pharmaceutical ingredient, or API, where possible and appropriate;
- obtaining necessary DEA registrations;
- obtaining regulatory approval from the FDA and European Medicines Agency, or EMA, for product candidates;
- research and development of additional target indications for cannabinoid product candidates; and
- partnering, out-licensing, or selling approved products, if any, to optimize Company efficiencies to bring state-of-the-art therapeutics to patients.

Sales and Marketing

We have not established a sales, marketing or product distribution infrastructure because our lead candidates are still in discovery or preclinical development. If and when we obtain approval to market any of our product candidates, we will evaluate what we believe to be the optimal commercialization path for the company, the respective product candidate, and patients. Commercialization paths may include licensing, selling, or partnering with other commercial partners. We may also choose to build a commercial sales and marketing team for some or all of our product candidates.

Manufacturing

We have entered into a lease agreement on a laboratory at UM. The laboratory is in the process of being prepared for development work and is designed to comply with applicable regulatory requirements, including those of the DEA, FDA, and Occupational Safety and Health Administration, or OSHA. We expect that the site will primarily focus on therapeutic discovery and development work.

We do not own or operate, and currently have no plans to establish, any manufacturing facilities for final manufacture. We currently rely, and expect to continue to rely, on third parties for the manufacture of our product candidates for preclinical and clinical testing, as well as for commercial manufacture of any products that we may commercialize. We do not currently have any long-term supply commitments or other arrangements in place, and may obtain our supplies from a manufacturer on a purchase order basis or through a formal supply agreement. For all of our product candidates, we aim to identify and qualify manufacturers to provide the API and fill-and-finish services prior to submission of a new drug application, or NDA, to the FDA. We expect to continue to develop drug candidates that can be produced cost-effectively at contract manufacturing facilities.

One component of any manufacturing process we develop will be the cannabinoid base materials. Natural cannabis is one potential source of the cannabinoid core materials for all of our product candidates, as well as for research purposes. Working with our partners at the UM, we believe we can optimize the cultivation to yield consistent, high grade product in an environment with as many controls as reasonable and possible. We view the cultivation and manufacture of consistent, high grade product in a controlled environment to be an important step to help minimize risks associated with growing a natural crop. However, the DEA may decline to issue the registration required for the commercialization of pharmaceutical products derived from natural cannabis based on its interpretation of the applicable legal and regulatory framework governing natural cannabis.

We believe we can also work with our partners at UM and others to explore the manufacture of synthetic cannabinoids, as these may be more economical and predictable from a regulatory and production perspective.

Intellectual Property

The success of most of our product candidates will depend in large part on our ability to:

- obtain and maintain patent and other legal protections for the proprietary technology, inventions and improvements we consider important to our business;
- prosecute our patent applications and defend any issued patents we obtain;
- preserve the confidentiality of our trade secrets; and
- operate without infringing the patents and proprietary rights of third parties.

We intend to continue to seek appropriate patent protection for certain of our product candidates, drug delivery systems, molecular modifications, as well as other proprietary technologies and their uses by filing patent applications in the United States and other selected global territories. We intend for these patent applications to cover, where possible, claims for medical uses, processes for preparation, processes for delivery and formulations.

As of date of this report, we have licensed from UM two U.S. patents. In addition to those licenses, we have one trademark application pending in the United States for Nemus Bioscience, Inc. We also rely upon unpatented trade secrets and know-how and continuing technological innovation to develop and maintain our proprietary and intellectual property position. We seek to protect our proprietary information, in part, using confidentiality agreements with our collaborators, scientific advisors, employees and consultants, and invention assignment agreements with our employees and selected consultants, scientific advisors and collaborators. The confidentiality agreements are designed to protect our proprietary information and, in the case of agreements or clauses requiring invention assignment, to grant us ownership of technologies that are developed through a relationship with a third-party.

Competition

Our industry is characterized by rapidly advancing technologies, intense competition and a strong emphasis on proprietary products. We face potential competition from many different sources, such as pharmaceutical companies, including generic drug companies, biotechnology companies, drug delivery companies and academic and research institutions. Many of our potential competitors have substantially greater financial, scientific, technical, intellectual property, regulatory and human resources than we do, and greater experience than we do commercializing products and developing product candidates, including obtaining FDA and other regulatory approvals for product candidates. Consequently, our competitors may develop products for indications we pursue that are more effective, better tolerated, more widely-prescribed or accepted, more useful and less costly, and they may also be more successful in manufacturing and marketing their products. We also face competition from third parties in recruiting and retaining qualified personnel, establishing clinical trial sites and enrolling patients for clinical trials and in identifying and acquiring or in-licensing new products and product candidates.

Government Regulation

Government authorities in the United States, at the federal, state and local level, and in other countries, extensively regulate, among other things, the research, development, testing, manufacture, packaging, storage, recordkeeping, labeling, advertising, promotion, distribution, marketing, import and export of pharmaceutical products such as those we are developing. The processes for obtaining regulatory approvals in the United States and in foreign countries, along with subsequent compliance with applicable statutes and regulations, require the expenditure of substantial time and financial resources. A failure to comply with such laws and regulations or prevail in any enforcement action or litigation related to noncompliance could have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations and could cause the market value of our common stock to decline.

Regulation of Cannabis and Cannabinoids

DEA Regulation

Cannabis and cannabinoids are regulated as "controlled substances" as defined in the Controlled Substances Act of 1970, or CSA, which establishes registration, security, recordkeeping, reporting, storage, distribution and other requirements administered by the DEA. The DEA is concerned with the control of handlers of controlled substances, and with the equipment and raw materials used in their manufacture and packaging, in order to prevent loss and diversion into illicit channels of commerce.

The DEA regulates controlled substances as Schedule I, II, III, IV or V substances. Schedule I substances by definition have no established medicinal use, and may not be marketed or sold in the United States. A pharmaceutical product may be listed as Schedule II, III, IV or V, with Schedule II substances considered to present the highest risk of abuse and Schedule V substances the lowest relative risk of abuse among such substances. Cannabis is listed by the DEA as a Schedule I controlled substance under the CSA. Consequently, its manufacture, shipment, storage, sale and use is subject to a high degree of regulation. Annual registration is required for any facility that manufactures, distributes, dispenses, imports or exports any controlled substance. The registration is specific to the particular location, activity and controlled substance schedule. For example, separate registrations are needed for import and manufacturing, and each registration will specify which schedules of controlled substances are authorized.

The DEA typically inspects a facility to review its security measures prior to issuing a registration. Security requirements vary by controlled substance schedule, with the most stringent requirements applying to Schedule I and Schedule II substances. Required security measures include background checks on employees and physical control of inventory through measures such as cages, surveillance cameras and inventory reconciliations. The registered entity must maintain records for the handling of all controlled substances, and must make periodic reports to the DEA. These include, for example, distribution reports for Schedule I and II controlled substances, Schedule III substances that are narcotics, and other designated substances. The registered entity must also report thefts or losses of any controlled substance, and obtain authorization to destroy any controlled substance. In addition, special authorization and notification requirements apply to imports and exports.

In addition, a DEA quota system controls and limits the availability and production of controlled substances in Schedule I or II. Distributions of any Schedule I or II controlled substance must also be accompanied by special order forms, with copies provided to the DEA. The DEA may adjust aggregate production quotas and individual production and procurement quotas from time to time during the year, although the DEA has substantial discretion in whether or not to make such adjustments. To meet its responsibilities, the DEA conducts periodic inspections of registered establishments that handle controlled substances. In the event of non-compliance, the DEA may seek civil penalties, refuse to renew necessary registrations, or initiate proceedings to revoke those registrations. In certain circumstances, violations could lead to criminal prosecution.

We have not yet applied for or been granted any DEA controlled substance registrations, but we are taking steps to ready our UM-based laboratory for compliance with the regulatory requirements for registration. We believe that UM's knowledge in this area will be beneficial to us as we prepare to apply for registrations.

State Regulation

The states also maintain separate controlled substance laws and regulations, including licensing, recordkeeping, security, distribution, and dispensing requirements. State Authorities, including Boards of Pharmacy, regulate use of controlled substances in each state. Failure to maintain compliance with applicable requirements, particularly as manifested in the loss or diversion of controlled substances, can result in enforcement action that could have a material adverse effect on our business, operations and financial condition.

The Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs 1961

Many countries, including the United States, are parties to the 1961 Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, or the Single Convention, which is an international treaty that governs international trade and domestic control of narcotic substances, including cannabis and cannabis extracts. The Single Convention requires all parties to take measures to limit the production, manufacture, export, import, distribution of, trade in, and use and possession of cannabis exclusively to medical and scientific purposes. In particular, the Single Convention requires member countries to establish a government agency to oversee the cultivation of marijuana and establish a monopoly on the wholesale trade of marijuana, and it provides that this role must be filled by a single government agency if the member country's constitution permits.

Party members, including the United States, may interpret and implement their treaty obligations in a way that restricts our ability to develop and obtain marketing approval for, and commercialize, our product candidates in accordance with our current plans and partnership with UM. To date, no natural cannabis or cannabis-derived product has obtained marketing approval in the United States or obtained DEA registrations for commercial production and the DEA may never issue the registrations required for the commercialization of pharmaceutical products derived from natural cannabis.

NIDA

Pursuant to the Single Convention, NIDA oversees the cultivation of research-grade cannabis for medicinal research on behalf of the United States Government. NIDA has historically fulfilled this obligation through a contract that it administers with UM. UM has been the sole NIDA contractor to grow cannabis for research purposes since 1968. The contract is open for competitive bidding at periodic intervals. Since 1999, the term of the contract has been five years. UM recently completed the competitive bidding process for the next contract interval and was notified by NIDA of their selection on March 23, 2015. Under the NIDA contract, UM grows, harvests, stores, ships and analyzes cannabis of different varieties, as NIDA requires.

To obtain cannabis from NIDA for research purposes, researchers must submit a request package that includes, among other things, the name and quantity of substances being requested and a detailed research proposal. Research proposals undergo an interdisciplinary review process administered by the Public Health Service, or PHS. If the researcher satisfies the review and other criteria established by PHS, the researcher will be eligible to receive cannabis at cost through NIDA. NIDA makes the cannabis available to researchers through its contract with UM. To receive cannabis through NIDA, the researcher must have all appropriate DEA registrations. In addition, if the research involves clinical trials in humans, the protocol for the study must be authorized by FDA under an active investigational new drug, or IND, application.

UM has represented that it also grows cannabis for purposes of researching cannabis extracts, and has in the past grown cannabis, purified cannabis extracts, and distributed extracts for purposes of developing product candidates, separate and apart from its contract with NIDA. UM has indicated that it conducted these activities pursuant to separate registrations from DEA and that it plans to seek the necessary additional DEA registrations to conduct the contemplated activities in connection with our partnership, in compliance with applicable law and the United States' obligations under the Single Convention. However, there is a risk that regulatory authorities may disagree and decline to authorize us or UM to engage in these activities, or require us and UM to utilize NIDA cannabis for the development of our product candidates.

U.S. Food and Drug Administration

In the United States, pharmaceutical products are subject to extensive regulation by the FDA. The FDA regulates drugs under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, or FDCA, and its implementing regulations. The process of obtaining regulatory approvals and the subsequent compliance with appropriate federal, state, local and foreign statutes and regulations requires the expenditure of substantial time and financial resources. Failure to comply with the applicable U.S. requirements at any time during the product development process, approval process or after approval, may subject us to a variety of administrative or judicial sanctions, such as the FDA's refusal to approve pending NDAs, withdrawal of an approval, imposition of a clinical hold, issuance of warning letters, product recalls, product seizures, total or partial suspension of production or distribution, injunctions, fines, refusals of government contracts, restitution, disgorgement or civil or criminal penalties.

The process required by the FDA before a drug may be marketed in the United States generally involves the following:

- completion of preclinical laboratory tests, animal studies and formulation studies in compliance with good laboratory practice, or GLP, regulations;
- submission to the FDA of an IND, which must become effective before human clinical trials may begin;
- approval by an independent institutional review board, or IRB, at each clinical site before each trial may be initiated;
- performance of adequate and well-controlled human clinical trials in accordance with good clinical practice, or GCP, requirements to establish the safety and efficacy of the proposed drug for each indication;
- submission of an NDA to the FDA;
- satisfactory completion of an FDA inspection of the manufacturing facility or facilities at which the product is produced to assess compliance with current good manufacturing practices, or cGMP, requirements and to assure that the facilities, methods and controls are adequate to preserve the drug's identity, strength, quality and purity; and
- FDA review and approval of the NDA.

Preclinical Studies

Preclinical studies include laboratory evaluation of product chemistry, toxicity and formulation, as well as animal studies to assess potential safety and efficacy. An IND sponsor must submit the results of the preclinical tests, together with manufacturing information, analytical data and any available clinical data or literature, among other things, to the FDA as part of an IND. Some preclinical testing may continue even after the IND is submitted. An IND automatically becomes effective 30 days after receipt by the FDA unless, before that time, the FDA raises concerns or questions related to one or more proposed clinical trials and places the clinical trial on a clinical hold. In such a case, the IND sponsor and the FDA must resolve any outstanding concerns before the clinical trial can begin. As a result, submission of an IND may not result in the FDA allowing clinical trials to commence.

Clinical Trials

Clinical trials involve the administration of the investigational new drug to human subjects under the supervision of qualified investigators in accordance with GCP requirements, which include the requirement that all research subjects provide their informed consent in writing for their participation in any clinical trial. Clinical trials are conducted under protocols detailing, among other things, the objectives of the trial, the parameters to be used in monitoring safety, and the effectiveness criteria to be evaluated. A protocol for each clinical trial and any subsequent protocol amendments must be submitted to the FDA as part of the IND. In addition, an IRB at each institution participating in the clinical trial must review and approve the plan for any clinical trial before it commences at that institution. Information about certain clinical trials must be submitted within specific timeframes to the NIH for public dissemination on their www.clinicaltrials.gov website.

Human clinical trials are typically conducted in three sequential phases, which may overlap or be combined:

- Phase 1: The drug is initially introduced into healthy human subjects or patients with the target disease or condition and tested for safety, dosage tolerance, absorption, metabolism, distribution, excretion and, if possible, to gain an early indication of its effectiveness.
- Phase 2: The drug is administered to a limited patient population to identify possible adverse effects and safety risks, to preliminarily evaluate the efficacy of the product for specific targeted diseases and to determine dosage tolerance and optimal dosage.
- Phase 3: The drug is administered to an expanded patient population, generally at geographically dispersed clinical trial sites, in well-controlled clinical trials to generate enough data to statistically evaluate the efficacy and safety of the product for approval, to establish the overall risk-benefit profile of the product, and to provide adequate information for the labeling of the product.

Progress reports detailing the results of the clinical trials must be submitted at least annually to the FDA and more frequently if serious adverse events occur. Phase 1, Phase 2 and Phase 3 clinical trials may not be completed successfully within any specified period, or at all. Furthermore, the FDA or the sponsor may suspend or terminate a clinical trial at any time on various grounds, including a finding that the research subjects are being exposed to an unacceptable health risk. Similarly, an IRB can suspend or terminate approval of a clinical trial at its institution if the clinical trial is not being conducted in accordance with the IRB's requirements or if the drug has been associated with unexpected serious harm to patients.

Marketing Approval

Assuming successful completion of the required clinical testing, the results of the preclinical and clinical studies, together with detailed information relating to the product's chemistry, manufacture, controls and proposed labeling, among other things, are submitted to the FDA as part of an NDA requesting approval to market the product for one or more indications. In most cases, the submission of an NDA is subject to a substantial application user fee. Under the Prescription Drug User Fee Act, or PDUFA, guidelines that are currently in effect, the FDA has a goal of ten months from the date of "filing" of a standard NDA for a new molecular entity to review and act on the submission. This review typically takes at least twelve months from the date the NDA is submitted to FDA because the FDA has approximately two months to make a "filing" decision. However, if issues arise during the review, FDA may request additional information and the review period may be extended to permit the applicant to provide and FDA to review that information which may significantly extend this time period.

In addition, under the Pediatric Research Equity Act of 2003, or PREA, as amended and reauthorized, certain NDAs or supplements to an NDA must contain data that are adequate to assess the safety and effectiveness of the drug for the claimed indications in all relevant pediatric subpopulations, and to support dosing and administration for each pediatric subpopulation for which the product is safe and effective. The FDA may, on its own initiative or at the request of the applicant, grant deferrals for submission of some or all pediatric data until after approval of the product for use in adults, or full or partial waivers from the pediatric data requirements.

The FDA also may require submission of a risk evaluation and mitigation strategy, or REMS, plan to ensure that the benefits of the drug outweigh its risks. The REMS plan could include medication guides, physician communication plans, assessment plans, and/or elements to assure safe use, such as restricted distribution methods, patient registries, or other risk minimization tools.

The FDA conducts a preliminary review of all NDAs within the first 60 days after submission, before accepting them for filing, to determine whether they are sufficiently complete to permit substantive review. The FDA may request additional information rather than accept an NDA for filing. In this event, the application must be resubmitted with the additional information. The resubmitted application is also subject to review before the FDA accepts it for filing. Once the submission is accepted for filing, the FDA begins an in-depth substantive review. The FDA reviews an NDA to determine, among other things, whether the drug is safe and effective and whether the facility in which it is manufactured, processed, packaged or held meets standards designed to assure the product's continued safety, quality and purity.

The FDA may refer an application for a novel drug to an advisory committee. An advisory committee is a panel of independent experts, including clinicians and other scientific experts, that reviews, evaluates and provides a recommendation as to whether the application should be approved and under what conditions. The FDA is not bound by the recommendations of an advisory committee, but it considers such recommendations carefully when making decisions.

Before approving an NDA, the FDA typically will inspect the facility or facilities where the product is manufactured. The FDA will not approve an application unless it determines that the manufacturing processes and facilities are in compliance with cGMP requirements and adequate to assure consistent production of the product within required specifications. Additionally, before approving an NDA, the FDA may inspect one or more clinical trial sites to assure compliance with GCP requirements.

The testing and approval process for an NDA requires substantial time, effort and financial resources, and each may take several years to complete. Data obtained from preclinical and clinical testing are not always conclusive and may be susceptible to varying interpretations, which could delay, limit or prevent regulatory approval. The FDA may not grant approval on a timely basis, or at all.

After evaluating the NDA and all related information, including the advisory committee recommendation, if any, and inspection reports regarding the manufacturing facilities and clinical trial sites, the FDA may issue an approval letter, or, in some cases, a complete response letter. A complete response letter generally contains a statement of specific conditions that must be met in order to secure final approval of the NDA and may require additional clinical or preclinical testing in order for FDA to reconsider the application. Even with submission of this additional information, the FDA ultimately may decide that the application does not satisfy the regulatory criteria for approval. If and when those conditions have been met to the FDA's satisfaction, the FDA will typically issue an approval letter. An approval letter authorizes commercial marketing of the drug with specific prescribing information for specific indications. For some products, such as our product candidates, an additional step of DEA review and scheduling is required.

Post-Approval Requirements

Drugs manufactured or distributed pursuant to FDA approvals are subject to pervasive and continuing regulation by the FDA, including, among other things, requirements relating to recordkeeping, periodic reporting, product sampling and distribution, advertising and promotion and reporting of adverse experiences with the product. After approval, most changes to the approved product, such as adding new indications or other labeling claims are subject to prior FDA review and approval. There also are continuing, annual user fee requirements for any marketed products and the establishments at which such products are manufactured, as well as new application fees for supplemental applications with clinical data.

The FDA may impose a number of post-approval requirements as a condition of approval of an NDA. For example, the FDA may require post-marketing testing, including Phase 4 clinical trials, and surveillance to further assess and monitor the product's safety and effectiveness after commercialization.

In addition, drug manufacturers and other entities involved in the manufacture and distribution of approved drugs are required to register their establishments with the FDA and state agencies, and are subject to periodic unannounced inspections by the FDA and these state agencies for compliance with cGMP requirements. Changes to the manufacturing process are strictly regulated and often require prior FDA approval before being implemented. FDA regulations also require investigation and correction of any deviations from cGMP requirements and impose reporting and documentation requirements upon the sponsor and any third-party manufacturers that the sponsor may decide to use. Accordingly, manufacturers must continue to expend time, money, and effort in the area of production and quality control to maintain cGMP compliance.

Once an approval is granted, the FDA may withdraw the approval if compliance with regulatory requirements and standards is not maintained or if problems occur after the product reaches the market.

Later discovery of previously unknown problems with a product, including adverse events of unanticipated severity or frequency, or with manufacturing processes, or failure to comply with regulatory requirements, may result in mandatory revisions to the approved labeling to add new safety information; imposition of post-market studies or clinical trials to assess new safety risks; or imposition of distribution or other restrictions under a REMS program. Other potential consequences include, among other things:

- restrictions on the marketing or manufacturing of the product, complete withdrawal of the product from the market or product recalls;
- fines, warning letters or holds on post-approval clinical trials;
- refusal of the FDA to approve pending NDAs or supplements to approved NDAs, or suspension or revocation of product license approvals;
- product seizure or detention, or refusal to permit the import or export of products; or
- injunctions or the imposition of civil or criminal penalties.

The FDA strictly regulates marketing, labeling, advertising and promotion of products that are placed on the market. Drugs may be promoted only for the approved indications and in accordance with the provisions of the approved label. The FDA and other agencies actively enforce the laws and regulations prohibiting the promotion of off-label uses, and a company that is found to have improperly promoted off-label uses may be subject to significant liability.

In addition, the distribution of prescription pharmaceutical products is subject to the Prescription Drug Marketing Act, or PDMA, which regulates the distribution of drugs and drug samples at the federal level, and sets minimum standards for the registration and regulation of drug distributors by the states. Both the PDMA and state laws limit the distribution of prescription pharmaceutical product samples and impose requirements to ensure accountability in distribution.

Exclusivity and Approval of Competing Products

Hatch Waxman Patent Exclusivity

In seeking approval for a drug through an NDA, applicants are required to list with the FDA each patent with claims that cover the applicant's product or a method of using the product. Upon approval of a drug, each of the patents listed in the application for the drug is then published in the FDA's Approved Drug Products with Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations, commonly known as the Orange Book. Drugs listed in the Orange Book can, in turn, be cited by potential competitors in support of approval of an abbreviated new drug application, or ANDA, or 505(b)(2) NDA. Generally, an ANDA provides for marketing of a drug product that has the same active ingredients in the same strengths, dosage form and route of administration as the listed drug and has been shown to be bioequivalent through in vitro or in vivo testing or otherwise to the listed drug. ANDA applicants are not required to conduct or submit results of preclinical or clinical tests to prove the safety or effectiveness of their drug product, other than the requirement for bioequivalence testing. Drugs approved in this way are commonly referred to as "generic equivalents" to the listed drug, and can often be substituted by pharmacists under prescriptions written for the original listed drug. 505(b)(2) NDAs generally are submitted for changes to a previously approved drug product, such as a new dosage form or indication.

The ANDA or 505(b)(2) NDA applicant is required to certify to the FDA concerning any patents listed for the approved product in the FDA's Orange Book, except for patents covering methods of use for which the ANDA applicant is not seeking approval. Specifically, the applicant must certify with respect to each patent that:

- the required patent information has not been filed;
- the listed patent has expired;
- the listed patent has not expired, but will expire on a particular date and approval is sought after patent expiration; or
- the listed patent is invalid, unenforceable or will not be infringed by the new product.

Generally, the ANDA or 505(b)(2) NDA cannot be approved until all listed patents have expired, except when the ANDA or 505(b)(2) NDA applicant challenges a listed drug. A certification that the proposed product will not infringe the already approved product's listed patents or that such patents are invalid or unenforceable is called a Paragraph IV certification. If the applicant does not challenge the listed patents or indicate that it is not seeking approval of a patented method of use, the ANDA or 505(b)(2) NDA application will not be approved until all the listed patents claiming the referenced product have expired.

If the ANDA or 505(b)(2) NDA applicant has provided a Paragraph IV certification to the FDA, the applicant must also send notice of the Paragraph IV certification to the NDA and patent holders once the application has been accepted for filing by the FDA. The NDA and patent holders may then initiate a patent infringement lawsuit in response to the notice of the Paragraph IV certification. The filing of a patent infringement lawsuit within 45 days after the receipt of notice of the Paragraph IV certification automatically prevents the FDA from approving the ANDA or 505(b)(2) NDA until the earlier of 30 months, expiration of the patent, settlement of the lawsuit or a decision in the infringement case that is favorable to the ANDA applicant.

Hatch Waxman Non-Patent Exclusivity

In addition to patent issues, market and data exclusivity provisions under the FDCA can delay the submission or the approval of certain applications for competing products. The FDCA provides a five-year period of non-patent data exclusivity within the United States to the first applicant to gain approval of an NDA for a new chemical entity. A drug is a new chemical entity if the FDA has not previously approved any other new drug containing the same active moiety, which is the molecule or ion responsible for the activity of the drug substance. During the exclusivity period, the FDA may not accept for review an ANDA or a 505(b)(2) NDA submitted by another company that references the previously approved drug. However, an ANDA or 505(b)(2) NDA may be submitted after four years if it contains a Paragraph IV certification of patent invalidity or non-infringement. The FDCA also provides three years of marketing exclusivity for an NDA, 505(b)(2) NDA, or supplement to an existing NDA or 505(b)(2) NDA if new clinical investigations, other than bioavailability studies, that were conducted or sponsored by the applicant, are deemed by the FDA to be essential to the approval of the application or supplement. Three year exclusivity may be awarded for changes to a previously approved drug product, such as new indications, dosages, strengths or dosage forms of an existing drug. This three-year exclusivity covers only the conditions of use associated with the new clinical investigations and, as a general matter, does not prohibit the FDA from approving ANDAs or 505(b)(2) NDAs for other versions of drug. Five-year and three-year exclusivity will not delay the submission or approval of a full NDA; however, an applicant submitting a full NDA would be required to conduct or obtain a right of reference to all of the preclinical studies and adequate and well-controlled clinical trials necessary to demonstrate safety and effectiveness.

Under the Orphan Drug Act, the FDA may designate a product as an orphan drug if it is a drug intended to treat a disease or condition that affects populations of fewer than 200,000 individuals in the United States or, if it affects more than 200,000 individuals in the United States, there is no reasonable expectation that the cost of developing and making a drug product available in the United States for this type of disease or condition will be recovered from sales of the product. Orphan designation must be requested before submitting an NDA. Orphan designation does not convey any advantage in or shorten the duration of the regulatory review and approval process.

If a product that has orphan designation subsequently receives the first FDA approval for the disease or condition for which it has such designation, the product is entitled to orphan drug exclusivity, which means that the FDA may not approve any other applications to market the same drug for the same indication for seven years, except in limited circumstances, such as a showing of clinical superiority to the product with orphan exclusivity or inability to manufacture the product in sufficient quantities. The designation of such drug also entitles a party to financial incentives such as opportunities for grant funding towards clinical trial costs, tax advantages and user-fee waivers. Competitors, however, may receive approval of different products for the same indication for which the orphan product has exclusivity or obtain approval for the same product but for a different indication than that for which the orphan product has exclusivity.

Federal and State Fraud and Abuse and Data Privacy and Security Laws and Regulations

In addition to FDA restrictions on marketing of pharmaceutical products, federal and state fraud and abuse laws restrict business practices in the pharmaceutical industry. These laws include anti-kickback and false claims laws and regulations as well as data privacy and security laws and regulations.

The federal Anti-Kickback Statute prohibits, among other things, knowingly and willfully offering, paying, soliciting or receiving remuneration to induce or in return for purchasing, leasing, ordering, or arranging for or recommending the purchase, lease, or order of any item or service reimbursable under Medicare, Medicaid or other federal healthcare programs. The term "remuneration" has been broadly interpreted to include anything of value. The Anti-Kickback Statute has been interpreted to apply to arrangements between pharmaceutical manufacturers on one hand and prescribers, purchasers, and formulary managers on the other. Although there are a number of statutory exceptions and regulatory safe harbors protecting some common activities from prosecution, the exemptions and safe harbors are drawn narrowly. Practices that involve remuneration that may be alleged to be intended to induce prescribing, purchases, or recommendations may be subject to scrutiny if they do not meet the requirements of a statutory or regulatory exception or safe harbor. Several courts have interpreted the statute's intent requirement to mean that if any one purpose of an arrangement involving remuneration is to induce referrals of federal healthcare covered business, the statute has been violated. In addition, a person or entity does not need to have actual knowledge of the statute or specific intent to violate it in order to have committed a violation.

The federal False Claims Act prohibits any person from knowingly presenting, or causing to be presented, a false claim for payment to the federal government or knowingly making, using, or causing to be made or used a false record or statement material to a false or fraudulent claim to the federal government. A claim includes "any request or demand" for money or property presented to the U.S. government. A violation of the federal Anti-Kickback Statute also constitutes a false or fraudulent claim for purposes of the civil False Claims Act. Several pharmaceutical and other healthcare companies have been prosecuted under these laws for allegedly providing free product to customers with the expectation that the customers would bill federal programs for the product. Other companies have been prosecuted for causing false claims to be submitted because of the companies' marketing of products for unapproved, and thus non-covered, uses. In addition, many states have similar fraud and abuse statutes or regulations that apply to items and services reimbursed under Medicaid and other state programs, or, in several states, apply regardless of the payor.

The federal Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, or HIPAA, also created federal criminal statutes that prohibit knowingly and willfully executing a scheme to defraud any healthcare benefit program, including private third party payors and knowingly and willfully falsifying, concealing or covering up a material fact or making any materially false, fictitious or fraudulent statement in connection with the delivery of or payment for healthcare benefits, items or services. Similar to the Anti-Kickback Statute, a person or entity does not need to have actual knowledge of the statute or specific intent to violate it in order to have committed a violation.

Pharmaceutical companies are also subject to the civil monetary penalties statute, which imposes penalties against any person who is determined to have presented or caused to be presented a claim to a federal health program that the person knows or should know is for an item or service that was not provided as claimed or is false or fraudulent.

In addition, there has been a recent trend of increased federal and state regulation of payments made to physicians and other health care providers. The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act, or collectively, the ACA, signed into law on March 2010, created new federal requirements for reporting, by applicable manufacturers of covered drugs, payments and other transfers of value to physicians and teaching hospitals. Applicable manufacturers are also required to report annually to the government certain ownership and investment interests held by physicians and their immediate family members. In addition, certain states require implementation of commercial compliance programs and compliance with the pharmaceutical industry's voluntary compliance guidelines and the relevant compliance guidance promulgated by the federal government, impose restrictions on marketing practices, and/or tracking and reporting of gifts, compensation and other remuneration or items of value provided to physicians and other health care professionals and entities.

We may also be subject to data privacy and security regulation by both the federal government and the states in which we conduct our business. HIPAA, as amended by the Health Information Technology and Clinical Health Act, or HITECH, and its implementing regulations, imposes specified requirements relating to the privacy, security and transmission of individually identifiable health information. Among other things, HITECH makes HIPAA's privacy and security standards directly applicable to "business associates," defined as independent contractors or agents of covered entities that receive or obtain protected health information in connection with providing a service on behalf of a covered entity. HITECH also increased the civil and criminal penalties that may be imposed against covered entities, business associates and possibly other persons, and gave state attorneys general new authority to file civil actions for damages or injunctions in federal courts to enforce the federal HIPAA laws and seek attorney's fees and costs associated with pursuing federal civil actions. In addition, state laws govern the privacy and security of health information in certain circumstances, many of which differ from each other in significant ways and may not have the same requirements, thus complicating compliance efforts.

To the extent that any of our product candidates, once approved, are sold in a foreign country, we may be subject to similar foreign laws and regulations, which may include, for instance, applicable post-marketing requirements, including safety surveillance, anti-fraud and abuse laws, and implementation of corporate compliance programs and reporting of payments or other transfers of value to healthcare professionals.

The shifting commercial compliance environment and the need to build and maintain robust systems to comply with different compliance and/or reporting requirements in multiple jurisdictions increase the possibility that a healthcare company may violate one or more of the requirements. If our operations are found to be in violation of any of such laws or any other governmental regulations that apply to us, we may be subject to penalties, including, without limitation, civil and criminal penalties, damages, fines, the curtailment or restructuring of our operations, exclusion from participation in federal and state healthcare programs and imprisonment, any of which could adversely affect our ability to operate our business and our financial results.

Coverage and Reimbursement

Significant uncertainty exists as to the coverage and reimbursement status of any drug products for which we obtain regulatory approval. In the United States and markets in other countries, sales of any products for which we receive regulatory approval for commercial sale will depend, in part, on the availability of coverage and reimbursement from third-party payors. Third-party payors include government authorities, managed care providers, private health insurers and other organizations. The process for determining whether a payor will provide coverage for a drug product may be separate from the process for setting the reimbursement rate that the payor will pay for the drug product. Third-party payors may limit coverage to specific drug products on an approved list, or formulary, which might not include all of the FDA-approved drugs for a particular indication. A decision by a third-party payor not to cover our products, if approved, could reduce physician utilization of our products once approved and have a material adverse effect on our sales, results of operations and financial condition. Moreover, a payor's decision to provide coverage for a drug product does not imply that an adequate reimbursement rate will be approved. Adequate third-party reimbursement may not be available to enable us to maintain price levels sufficient to realize an appropriate return on our investment in product development.

In addition, the U.S. government, state legislatures and foreign governments have continued implementing cost-containment programs, including price controls, restrictions on coverage and reimbursement and requirements for substitution of generic products. By way of example, in the United States, the ACA contains provisions that may reduce the profitability of drug products, including, for example, increased rebates for drugs sold to Medicaid programs, extension of Medicaid rebates to Medicaid managed care plans, mandatory discounts for certain Medicare Part D beneficiaries, and annual fees based on pharmaceutical companies' share of sales to federal health care programs. We expect that additional state and federal healthcare reform measures will be adopted in the future, any of which could limit the amounts that federal and state governments will pay for healthcare products and services, which could result in reduced demand for our products once approved or additional pricing pressures.

Foreign Regulation

In order to market any product outside of the United States, we must comply with numerous and varying regulatory requirements of other countries regarding safety and efficacy and governing, among other things, clinical trials and commercial sales and distribution of our products. While our management and many of our consultants are familiar with and have been responsible for gaining marketing approval in many countries, we have not reviewed the specific regulations in countries outside of the United States, as it pertains to cannabinoids.

Additional Regulation

We are a reporting company with the SEC, and, therefore, subject to the information and reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or Exchange Act, and other federal securities laws, and the compliance obligations of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, or Sarbanes-Oxley Act. In addition, our financial reporting is subject to United States generally accepted accounting principles, or U.S. GAAP, and U.S. GAAP is subject to change over time.

We are also subject to federal, state and local laws and regulations applied to businesses generally. We believe that we are in conformity with all applicable laws in all relevant jurisdictions.

Our Scientific Advisory Board

We intend to assemble a scientific advisory board that includes experts in cannabinoids, drug discovery and medicine. Our current scientific advisor, Dr. Mahmoud ElSohly, works in close collaboration with our team to identify new research directions and accelerate our target validation and drug discovery programs. At UM, Dr. ElSohly serves as the Director of the NIDA Marijuana Project where he carries out a wide range of activities dealing with the chemistry, analysis and product development aspects.

Our scientific advisors are not our employees and may have commitments to, or consulting or advisory contracts with, other entities that may limit their availability to us. In addition, our scientific advisors may have arrangements with other companies to assist those companies in developing products or technologies that may compete with ours.

Employees

As of the date of this Annual Report, we have three full-time employees, including one employee with a M.D. degree. None of our employees is represented by a labor union or covered by a collective bargaining agreement. We have not experienced any work stoppages and we consider our relations with our employees to be good.

We anticipate that we will need to hire approximately five employees or independent contractors for our new laboratory at UM. We also intend to utilize independent contractors and outsourced services, such as clinical research organizations ("CROs"), and third-party manufacturers, where possible and appropriate.

Website

Our Internet website, which is located at www.nemusbioscience.com, describes our company and our management and provides information about cannabis-based therapeutics.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K that are not descriptions of historical facts are forward-looking statements that are based on management's current expectations and assumptions and are subject to risks and uncertainties. If such risks or uncertainties materialize or such assumptions prove incorrect, our business, operating results, financial condition and stock price could be materially negatively affected. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology including "anticipates," "believes," "can," "continue," "could," "estimates," "expects," "intends," "may," "plans," "potential," "predicts," "should," "will," "would" or the negative of these terms or other comparable terminology. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those currently anticipated include those set forth in the section titled "Risk Factors" including, without limitation, risks relating to:

- the results of our research and development activities, including uncertainties relating to the discovery of potential product candidates and the preclinical and clinical testing of our product candidates;
- the early stage of our product candidates presently under development;
- our need for substantial additional funds in order to continue our operations, and the uncertainty of whether we will be able to obtain the funding we need;
- our ability to obtain and, if obtained, maintain regulatory approval of our current product candidates, and any of our other future product candidates, and any related restrictions, limitations, and/or warnings in the label of any approved product candidate;
- our ability to retain or hire key scientific or management personnel;
- our ability to protect our intellectual property rights that are valuable to our business, including patent and other intellectual property rights;

- our dependence on UM, third-party manufacturers, suppliers, research organizations, testing laboratories and other potential collaborators;
- our ability to develop successful sales and marketing capabilities in the future as needed;
- the size and growth of the potential markets for any of our approved product candidates, and the rate and degree of market acceptance of any of our approved product candidates;
- competition in our industry; and
- regulatory developments in the United States and foreign countries.

We operate in a rapidly-changing environment and new risks emerge from time to time. As a result, it is not possible for our management to predict all risks, nor can we assess the impact of all factors on our business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements we may make. In light of these risks, uncertainties and assumptions, the forward-looking events and circumstances discussed in this report may not occur and actual results could differ materially and adversely from those anticipated or implied in the forward-looking statements. You should not rely upon forward-looking statements as predictions of future events. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee that the future results, levels of activity, performance or events and circumstances reflected in the forward-looking statements will be achieved or occur. Moreover, neither we nor any other person assumes responsibility for the accuracy and completeness of the forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements included in this report speak only as of the date hereof, and except as required by law, we undertake no obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statements for any reason after the date of this report to conform these statements to actual results or to changes in our expectations.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Any investment in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. Investors should carefully consider the risks described below and all of the information contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K before deciding whether to purchase our common stock. Our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially adversely affected by these risks if any of them actually occur. Our common stock is quoted on the OTCQB under the symbol "NMUS". This market is extremely limited and the prices quoted are not a reliable indication of the value of our common stock. As of the date of this Annual Report, there has been very limited trading of shares of our common stock. If and when our common stock is traded, the trading price could decline due to any of these risks, and an investor may lose all or part of his or her investment. Some of these factors have affected our financial condition and operating results in the past or are currently affecting us. This Annual Report on Form 10-K also contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of certain factors, including the risks described below and elsewhere in this report.

Risks Related to our Business and Capital Requirements:

Since we have a limited operating history in our business, it is difficult for potential investors to evaluate our business.

Our short operating history may hinder our ability to successfully meet our objectives and makes it difficult for potential investors to evaluate our business or prospective operations. We have not generated any revenues since inception and we are not currently profitable and may never become profitable. As an early stage company, we are subject to all the risks inherent in the financing, expenditures, operations, complications and delays inherent in a new business. Accordingly, our business and success faces risks from uncertainties faced by developing companies in a competitive environment. There can be no assurance that our efforts will be successful or that we will ultimately be able to attain profitability.

We currently have no product revenues and no products approved for marketing and need substantial additional funding to continue our operations. We may not be able to raise capital when needed, if at all, which would force us to delay, reduce or eliminate our product development programs or commercialization efforts and could cause our business to fail.

We expect to need substantial additional funding to pursue the clinical development of our product candidates and launch and commercialize any product candidates for which we receive regulatory approval.

We expect our existing cash and cash equivalents will not be sufficient to fund our capital requirements for at least the next two months. We require additional capital for the development and commercialization of our product candidates. Furthermore, we expect to incur additional costs associated with operating as a public company. We may also encounter unforeseen expenses, difficulties, complications, delays and other unknown factors that may increase our capital needs and/or cause us to spend our cash resources faster than we expect. Accordingly, we will need to obtain substantial additional funding in order to continue our operations. As noted in our audited financial statement for the year ended December 31, 2014, the uncertainties surrounding our ability to fund our operations raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

To date, we have financed our operations entirely through investments by founders and other investors. We may seek additional funds through public or private equity or debt financing, via strategic transactions or collaborative arrangements. Additional funding from those or other sources may not be available when or in the amounts needed, on acceptable terms, or at all. If we raise capital through the sale of equity, or securities convertible into equity, it would result in dilution to our then existing stockholders, which could be significant depending on the price at which we may be able to sell our securities. If we raise additional capital through the incurrence of indebtedness, we would likely become subject to covenants restricting our business activities, and holders of debt instruments may have rights and privileges senior to those of our equity investors. In addition, servicing the interest and principal repayment obligations under debt facilities could divert funds that would otherwise be available to support research and development, clinical or commercialization activities. If we obtain capital through collaborative arrangements, these arrangements could require us to relinquish rights to our technology or product candidates and could result in our receipt of only a portion of the revenues associated with the partnered product.

There are no assurances that future funding will be available on favorable terms or at all. If additional funding is not obtained, we may need to reduce, defer or cancel preclinical and lab work, planned clinical trials, or overhead expenditures to the extent necessary. The failure to fund our operating and capital requirements could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

If we are unable to raise capital when needed or on attractive terms, we could be forced to delay, reduce or eliminate our research and development programs or any future commercialization efforts. Any of these events could significantly harm our business, financial condition and prospects.

We rely heavily on UM for our research and development programs, and UM is joint owner of the intellectual property resulting from its preclinical research and development.

We rely heavily on our relationship with UM for our research and development programs. Under the terms of our agreements with UM, we are required to fund preclinical and clinical trials required for cannabinoid-based products developed by UM. If UM were to terminate our agreements, we would be required to return all the rights, materials, and data developed during our partnership, associated with UM, or face substantial delays in, or possible termination of, that program.

In addition, the agreements provide that all intellectual property rights (including any patents and non-manufacturing related know-how) that was conceived by UM or us during the course of the collaboration is to be jointly owned by UM and us. Because UM exercises some control over this jointly owned intellectual property, we may need to seek UM's consent to pursue, use, license and/or enforce some of this collaboration intellectual property in the future. An unexpected deterioration in our relationship with UM would have a material adverse effect on our business, reputation, results of operations and financial condition.

We are heavily dependent on the success of our early-stage product candidates, which will require significant additional efforts to develop and may prove not to be viable for commercialization.

We are very early in our development efforts. We have no products approved for sale and all of our product candidates are in preclinical development including development of cannabinoid-based formulations with delivery methods via the eye and a transmucosal patch. Further preclinical testing is ongoing and if successful, will be part of a regulatory filing to satisfy IND requirements which need to be met in order for the candidate compounds and routes of administration to enter testing in humans. Our ability to generate product revenue, which we do not expect will occur for many years, if ever, will depend heavily on the successful development and commercialization of our product candidates. Our business depends entirely on the successful development, clinical testing and commercialization of these and any other product candidates we may seek to develop in the future, which may never occur.

The success of our product candidates will depend on several factors, any one of which we may not be able to successfully complete, such as:

- receipt of necessary controlled substance registrations from DEA;
- successful completion of preclinical studies and clinical trials;
- receipt of marketing approvals from FDA and other applicable regulatory authorities;
- obtaining, maintaining and protecting our intellectual property portfolio, including patents and trade secrets, and regulatory exclusivity for our product candidates;
- identifying, making arrangements and ensuring necessary registrations with third-party manufacturers, or establishing commercial manufacturing capabilities for applicable product candidates;
- launching commercial sales of the products, if and when approved, whether alone or in collaboration with others;
- acceptance of our products, if and when approved, by patients, the medical community and third-party payors;

- effectively competing with other therapies;
- obtaining and maintaining healthcare coverage and adequate reimbursement of our products; and
- maintaining a continued acceptable safety profile of our products following approval.

If we do not achieve one or more of these factors in a timely manner or at all, we could experience significant delays or an inability to successfully commercialize our product candidates, which would materially harm our business.

We may not be successful in our efforts to build a pipeline of product candidates.

Our strategy is to use and expand our relationship with UM to build a pipeline of product candidates based on naturally- or synthetically-derived cannabinoids. We may not be able to develop product candidates that are safe and effective for all or any of our targets. Even if we are successful in building a product pipeline, the potential product candidates that we identify may not be suitable for clinical development for a number of reasons, including due to harmful side effects or other characteristics that indicate a low likelihood of receiving marketing approval or achieving market acceptance. If our methods of identifying potential product candidates fail to produce a pipeline of potentially viable product candidates, then we may not be able to obtain product revenue in future periods, which would make it unlikely that we would ever achieve profitability.

We expect to face intense competition, often from companies with greater resources and experience than we have.

The pharmaceutical industry is highly competitive and subject to rapid change. The industry continues to expand and evolve as an increasing number of competitors and potential competitors enter the market. Many of these competitors and potential competitors have substantially greater financial, technological, managerial and research and development resources and experience than we have. Some of these competitors and potential competitors have more experience than we have in the development of pharmaceutical products, including validation procedures and regulatory matters. In addition, our pipeline products, if successfully developed, will compete with product offerings from large and well-established companies that have greater marketing and sales experience and capabilities than we or our collaboration partners have. If we are unable to compete successfully, we may be unable to grow and sustain our revenue.

We have substantial capital requirements that, if not met, may hinder our operations.

We anticipate that we will make substantial capital expenditures for laboratory and preclinical work and for future clinical trials. If we cannot raise sufficient capital, we may have limited ability to expend the capital necessary to undertake or complete laboratory and preclinical work and future clinical trials. There can be no assurance that debt or equity financing will be available or sufficient to meet these requirements or for other corporate purposes, or if debt or equity financing is available, that it will be on terms acceptable to us. Moreover, future activities may require us to alter our capitalization significantly. Our inability to access sufficient capital for our operations could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or prospects.

Additional capital may be costly or difficult to obtain.

Additional capital, whether through the offering of equity or debt securities, may not be available on reasonable terms or at all, especially in light of the recent downturn in the economy and dislocations in the credit and capital markets. If we are unable to obtain required additional capital, we may have to curtail our growth plans or cut back on existing business and, further, we may not be able to continue operating if we do not generate sufficient revenues from operations needed to stay in business. We may incur substantial costs in pursuing future capital financing, including investment banking fees, legal fees, accounting fees, securities law compliance fees, printing and distribution expenses and other costs. We may also be required to recognize non-cash expenses in connection with certain securities we issue, such as convertible notes and warrants, which may adversely impact our financial condition.

Current global financial conditions have been characterized by increased volatility which could negatively impact our business, prospects, liquidity and financial condition.

Current global financial conditions and recent market events have been characterized by increased volatility and the resulting tightening of the credit and capital markets has reduced the amount of available liquidity and overall economic activity. We cannot guaranty that debt or equity financing, the ability to borrow funds or cash generated by operations will be available or sufficient to meet or satisfy our initiatives, objectives or requirements. Our inability to access sufficient amounts of capital on terms acceptable to us for our operations will negatively impact our business, prospects, liquidity and financial condition.

If we are not able to attract and retain highly qualified personnel, we may not be able to successfully implement our business strategy.

Our ability to compete in the highly competitive biotechnology and pharmaceuticals industries depends upon our ability to attract and retain highly qualified managerial, scientific and medical personnel. Our success depends in large measure on certain key personnel, including John Hollister, our Chief Executive Officer, and Dr. Brian Murphy, our Chief Medical Officer. The loss of the services of one or both of these officers could significantly hinder our operations. We do not currently have key person insurance in effect for Mr. Hollister or Dr. Murphy. In addition, the competition for qualified personnel in the pharmaceutical industry is intense and there can be no assurance that we will be able to continue to attract and retain all personnel necessary for the development and operation of our business.

We may be subject to claims by third parties asserting that our employees or we have misappropriated their intellectual property, or claiming ownership of what we regard as our own intellectual property.

Many of our employees were previously employed at other biotechnology or pharmaceutical companies, including our competitors or potential competitors. Although we try to ensure that our employees do not use the proprietary information or know-how of others in their work for us, with contractual provisions and other procedures, we may be subject to claims that these employees or we have used or disclosed intellectual property, including trade secrets or other proprietary information, of any such employee's former employers. Litigation may be necessary to defend against any such claims.

In addition, while it is our policy to require our employees and contractors who may be involved in the development of intellectual property to execute agreements assigning such intellectual property to us, we may be unsuccessful in executing such an agreement with each party who in fact contributes to the development of intellectual property that we regard as our own. Further, the terms of such assignment agreements may be breached and we may not be able to successfully enforce their terms, which may force us to bring claims against third parties, or defend claims they may bring against us, to determine the ownership of intellectual property rights we may regard and treat as our own.

We will need to grow the size of our organization, and we may experience difficulties in managing any growth we may achieve.

As of the date of this report, we have three full-time employees. As our development and commercialization plans and strategies develop, we expect to need additional research, development, managerial, operational, sales, marketing, financial, accounting, legal and other resources. Future growth would impose significant added responsibilities on members of management. Our management may not be able to accommodate those added responsibilities, and our failure to do so could prevent us from effectively managing future growth, if any, and successfully growing our company.

If we breach any of the agreements under which we license from UM the commercialization rights to our product candidates, we could lose license rights that are important to our business and our operations could be materially harmed.

We license from UM the use, development and commercialization rights for our product candidates. As a result, our current business plans are dependent upon our maintenance of the license agreements and the rights we license under it. If we fail to comply with any of the conditions or obligations or otherwise breach the terms of our license agreement with UM, or any future license agreement we may enter on which our business or product candidates are dependent, UM may have the right to terminate the applicable agreement in whole or in part and thereby extinguish our rights to the licensed technology and intellectual property and/or any rights we have acquired to develop and commercialize certain product candidates. The loss of the rights licensed to us under our license agreement with UM, or any future license agreement that we may enter granting rights on which our business or product candidates are dependent, would eliminate our ability to further develop the applicable product candidates and would materially harm our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations.

As our products and company are in a highly regulated industry, significant and unforeseen changes in policy may have material impacts on our business.

A primary reason for our company to develop the cannabinoid-based pharmaceuticals is the changing regulatory and social landscape, in terms of cannabis. State efforts to decriminalize and/or legalize, as well as the growth of state level medical marijuana rulings, have created the opportunity to develop the medical potential for cannabis. However, cannabis is still illegal on a Federal level, outside of the areas described above. We do not know what impact might occur to our development plans, if the Federal law were to change dramatically in the near-term. While we believe the licensed intellectual property, the institutional knowledge, and our management experience will provide us with what is necessary to achieve our goals, we cannot predict the impact of any changes in the current regulatory environment.

We anticipate that our product candidates may contain naturally- or synthetically-derived cannabis extracts, which may generate public controversy.

We anticipate that our product candidates may contain naturally- or synthetically-derived cannabis extracts, and their regulatory approval, if any, may generate public controversy. Political and social pressures and adverse publicity could lead to delays in approval of, and increased expenses for, our product candidates. These pressures could also limit or restrict the introduction and marketing of our product candidates. Adverse publicity from cannabis misuse or adverse side effects from cannabis or other cannabinoid products may adversely affect the commercial success or market penetration achievable by our product candidates. The nature of our business attracts a high level of public and media interest, and in the event of any resultant adverse publicity, our reputation may be harmed.

The use of "medical marijuana" or "recreational marijuana" in the United States may impact our business.

There is a substantial amount of change occurring in various states of the United States regarding the use of "medical marijuana." While cannabis is a Schedule I substance as defined under federal law, and its possession and use is not permitted in accordance with federal law, a number of individual states have enacted state laws to authorize possession and use of cannabis for medical purposes, and in some states for recreational purposes. While our product candidates are distinct from crude herbal cannabis, our prospects may nevertheless be impacted by these laws at the state level in the United States.

As with all medicines, it is very difficult to gauge accurately market acceptance of our potential drug candidates.

While we are taking and will take significant efforts in selecting drug candidates that we believe represent the best opportunities for market adoption, such as unsatisfied needs, competitive environment, partnering potential, therapeutic potential, and target product profile potential, the ultimate market acceptance of a preclinical candidate is very difficult to predict. The ultimate acceptance will be impacted by the performance in clinical trials (efficacy and safety), reimbursement and development of competitive compounds. Also, the healthcare reimbursement environment has been changing over the recent past and is likely to continue to evolve. If we are unable to gain market acceptance for our product candidates, if approved, then we may not be able to generate substantial product revenues.

We currently have no marketing and sales experience or capabilities to market and sell our product candidates, if approved.

We currently do not have experience in the marketing, sales and distribution of any of our product candidates that are able to attain regulatory approval. If our product candidates receive regulatory approval, we will need to establish sales and marketing capabilities to commercialize our product candidates, which will be expensive and time consuming. Any failure or delay in the development of our internal sales and marketing capabilities would adversely impact the commercialization of any of our products that we obtain approval to market. If we are not successful in commercializing our product candidates, either on our own or through collaborations with one or more third parties, our future product revenue will suffer and we may incur significant additional losses.

Our commercial success depends upon attaining significant market acceptance of our product candidates, if approved, among physicians and patients.

Even if approved by the FDA, our product candidates may not gain market acceptance among physicians and patients, which is vital to our commercial success. Market acceptance of any product candidate for which we receive approval depends on a number of factors, including:

- the clinical indications for which the drug is approved and efficacy and safety as demonstrated in clinical trials;
- the timing of market introduction of the product candidate and/or competitive products;
- acceptance of the drug as a safe and effective treatment by physicians and patients;
- the potential and perceived advantages of the product candidate over alternative treatments;
- the cost of treatment in relation to alternative treatments; and
- the prevalence and severity of adverse side effects.

If our product candidates are approved but fail to achieve an adequate level of acceptance by physicians and patients, we will not be able to generate significant revenues, and we may not become or remain profitable.

We may expend our limited resources to pursue a particular product candidate or indication and may fail to capitalize on product candidates or indications that may be more profitable or for which there is a greater likelihood of success.

Because we have limited financial and managerial resources, we must focus our efforts on particular research programs and product candidates for specific indications. As a result, we may forego or delay pursuit of opportunities with other product candidates or for other indications that later prove to have greater commercial potential. Our resource allocation decisions may cause us to fail to capitalize on viable commercial products or profitable market opportunities. If we do not accurately evaluate the commercial potential or target market for a particular product candidate, we may relinquish valuable rights to that product candidate through collaboration, licensing or other royalty arrangements in cases in which it would have been more advantageous for us to retain sole development and commercialization rights to such product candidate. Any such failure to improperly assess potential product candidates could result in missed opportunities and/or our focus on product candidates with low market potential, which would harm our business and financial condition.

Risks Related to Controlled Substances:

The product candidates we are developing will be subject to U.S. controlled substance laws and regulations and failure to comply with these laws and regulations, or the cost of compliance with these laws and regulations, may adversely affect the results of our business operations, both during non-clinical and clinical development and post-approval, and our financial condition.

The product candidates we plan to develop will contain controlled substances as defined in the CSA. Controlled substances that are pharmaceutical products are subject to a high degree of regulation under the CSA, which establishes, among other things, certain registration, manufacturing quotas, security, recordkeeping, reporting, import, export and other requirements administered by the DEA. The DEA classifies controlled substances into five schedules: Schedule I, II, III, IV or V substances. Schedule I substances by definition have a high potential for abuse, no currently "accepted medical use" in the United States, lack accepted safety for use under medical supervision, and may not be prescribed, marketed or sold in the United States. Pharmaceutical products approved for use in the United States may be listed as Schedule II, III, IV or V, with Schedule II substances considered to present the highest potential for abuse or dependence and Schedule V substances the lowest relative risk among such substances. Schedule I and II drugs are subject to the strictest controls under the CSA, including manufacturing and procurement quotas, security requirements and criteria for importation. In addition, dispensing of Schedule II drugs is further restricted. For example, they may not be refilled without a new prescription.

While cannabis is a Schedule I controlled substance, products approved for medical use in the United States that contain cannabis or cannabis extracts must be placed on Schedules II-V, since approval by the FDA satisfies the "accepted medical use" requirement. No drug product containing natural cannabis or naturally-derived cannabis extracts has been approved by the FDA for use in the United States or obtained DEA registrations for commercial production and the DEA may never issue the registrations required for the commercialization of such products.

If approved by the FDA, we expect the finished dosage forms of our natural or synthetic cannabinoid-derived drug product candidates to be listed by the DEA as a Schedule II or III controlled substance. Consequently, its manufacture, importation, exportation, domestic distribution, storage, sale and legitimate use will be subject to a significant degree of regulation by the DEA. In addition, the scheduling process may take one or more years, thereby delaying the launch of the drug product in the United States. Furthermore, if the FDA, DEA, or any foreign regulatory authority determines that any of our drug product candidates may have potential for abuse, it may require us to generate more clinical or other data than we currently anticipate to establish whether or to what extent the substance has an abuse potential, which could increase the cost and/or delay the launch of the drug product.

Facilities conducting research, manufacturing, distributing, importing or exporting, or dispensing controlled substances must be registered (licensed) to perform these activities and have the security, control, recordkeeping, reporting and inventory mechanisms required by the DEA to prevent drug loss and diversion. All these facilities must renew their registrations annually, except dispensing facilities, which must renew every three years. The DEA conducts periodic inspections of certain registered establishments that handle controlled substances. Obtaining the necessary registrations may result in delay of the manufacturing, development, or distribution of our product candidates. Furthermore, failure to maintain compliance with the CSA, particularly non-compliance resulting in loss or diversion, can result in regulatory action that could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. The DEA may seek civil penalties, refuse to renew necessary registrations, or initiate proceedings to restrict, suspend or revoke those registrations. In certain circumstances, violations could lead to criminal proceedings.

Individual states have also established controlled substance laws and regulations. Though state-controlled substances laws often mirror federal law, because the states are separate jurisdictions, they may separately schedule our product candidates. While some states automatically schedule a drug based on federal action, other states schedule drugs through rulemaking or a legislative action. State scheduling may delay commercial sale of any product for which we obtain federal regulatory approval and adverse scheduling could have a material adverse effect on the commercial attractiveness of such product. We or our partners or clinical sites must also obtain separate state registrations, permits or licenses in order to be able to obtain, handle, and distribute controlled substances for clinical trials or commercial sale, and failure to meet applicable regulatory requirements could lead to enforcement and sanctions by the states in addition to those from the DEA or otherwise arising under federal law.

To conduct clinical trials with our product candidates in the United States prior to approval, each of our research sites must obtain and maintain a DEA researcher registration that will allow those sites to handle and dispense the product candidate and to obtain the product. If the DEA delays or denies the grant of a research registration to one or more research sites, the clinical trial could be significantly delayed, and we could lose clinical trial sites.

Manufacturing of our product candidates is, and, if approved, our commercial products will be, subject to DEA's annual manufacturing and procurement quota requirements. The annual quota allocated to us or our contract manufacturers for the controlled substances in our product candidates may not be sufficient to meet commercial demand or complete clinical trials. Consequently, any delay or refusal by the DEA in establishing our, or our contract manufacturers', procurement and/or production quota for controlled substances could delay or stop our clinical trials or product launches, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position and operations.

If, upon approval of any of our product candidates, the product is scheduled as Schedule II or III, we would also need to identify wholesale distributors with the appropriate DEA registrations and authority to distribute the product to pharmacies and other health care providers. The failure to obtain, or delay in obtaining, or the loss any of those registrations could result in increased costs to us. Furthermore, state and federal enforcement actions, regulatory requirements, and legislation intended to reduce prescription drug abuse, such as the requirement that physicians consult a state prescription drug monitoring program may make physicians less willing to prescribe, and pharmacies to dispense, our products, if approved.

Our ability to research, develop and commercialize our drug product candidates is dependent on our ability to obtain and maintain the necessary controlled substance registrations from DEA.

In the United States, the DEA regulates activities relating to the cultivation, possession and supply of cannabis for medical research and/or commercial development, including the requirement to obtain annual registrations to manufacture or distribute pharmaceutical products derived from cannabis extracts. NIDA also plays a role in oversight of the cultivation of cannabis for medicinal research. We do not currently handle any controlled substances, but we plan to engage in the research, development, and commercialization of cannabinoids and cannabinoid-derived drug products for medical purposes in the future. This will require that we and/or our third party contractors obtain and maintain the necessary DEA registrations, and be subject to other regulatory requirements. To date, no natural cannabis or cannabis-derived product has obtained DEA registrations for commercial production and the DEA may never issue such registrations. If DEA fails to issue or renew such registrations, we will be unable to develop, commercialize and distribute any product in the United States and our business may suffer. For example, the DEA may adopt an interpretation of domestic law or of the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs that restricts our, or our third party contractors', ability to obtain the registrations needed for any of these purposes.

The cultivation of cannabis is strictly regulated in the United States under a complex legal framework and our partners may be unable to obtain or maintain the necessary authorizations to cultivate cannabis for the development and commercialization of cannabinoid-derived drug products.

We are partnering with UM to develop and commercialize drug products based on naturally- or synthetically-derived cannabinoids. Pursuant to that partnership, UM plans to cultivate cannabis and provide us with cannabis extracts. The regulation of cannabis is complex and subject to stringent controls and there is a risk that regulatory authorities may decline to authorize UM to engage in the contemplated activities under the partnership. Specifically, the DEA may decline to issue the registrations required for commercialization of a naturally-derived cannabis drug product based on its interpretation of applicable laws, including the Single Convention. Interpretations of law that the DEA adopted in the past may evolve or change. If UM cannot obtain or maintain the necessary regulatory authorizations that we anticipate will be required for the contemplated development program, our business may suffer and we may not be able to pursue the research, development and commercialization of naturally-derived cannabis extracts.

Risks Related to Government Regulation:

If we fail to demonstrate the safety and efficacy of any product candidate that we develop to the satisfaction of the FDA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities we may incur additional costs or experience delays in completing, or ultimately be unable to complete, the development and commercialization of such product candidate. This would adversely impact our ability to generate revenue, our business and our results of operations.

We are not permitted to commercialize, market, promote, or sell any product candidate in the United States without obtaining marketing approval from the FDA or in other countries without obtaining approvals from comparable foreign regulatory authorities, such as the EMA, and we may never receive such approvals. To gain approval to market a drug product, we must complete extensive preclinical development and clinical trials that demonstrate the safety and efficacy of the product for the intended indication to the satisfaction of the FDA or other regulatory authority.

We have not previously submitted an NDA to the FDA, or similar drug approval filings to comparable foreign authorities, for any product candidate, and we cannot be certain that any of our product candidates will be successful in clinical trials or receive regulatory approval. Further, our product candidates may not receive regulatory approval even if they are successful in clinical trials. If we do not receive regulatory approval for our product candidates, we may not be able to continue our operations. Even if we successfully obtain regulatory approval to market our product candidates, our revenue will be dependent, in part, upon the size of the markets in the territories for which we gain regulatory approval and have commercial rights.

The FDA or any foreign regulatory bodies could delay, limit or deny approval of our product candidates for many reasons, including:

- our inability to demonstrate to the satisfaction of the FDA or the applicable foreign regulatory body that the product candidate is safe and effective for the requested indication;
- the FDA's or the applicable foreign regulatory agency's disagreement with the interpretation of data from preclinical studies or clinical trials;
- our inability to demonstrate that the clinical and other benefits of the product candidate outweigh any safety or other perceived risks;
- the FDA's or the applicable foreign regulatory agency's requirement for additional preclinical or clinical studies;

- the FDA's or the applicable foreign regulatory agency's non-approval of the formulation, labeling or the specifications of the product candidate;
- the FDA's or the applicable foreign regulatory agency's failure to approve the manufacturing processes or facilities of third-party manufacturers with which we contract; or
- the potential for approval policies or regulations of the FDA or the applicable foreign regulatory agencies to significantly change in a manner rendering our clinical data insufficient for approval.

Even if we eventually complete clinical testing and receive approval of an NDA or foreign regulatory filing for a product candidate, the FDA or the applicable foreign regulatory agency may grant approval contingent on the performance of costly additional clinical trials which may be required after approval. The FDA or the applicable foreign regulatory agency also may approve the product candidate for a more limited indication or a narrower patient population than we originally requested, and the FDA, or applicable foreign regulatory agency, may not approve the labeling that we believe is necessary or desirable for the successful commercialization of the product. Any delay in obtaining, or inability to obtain, applicable regulatory approval would delay or prevent commercialization of the product candidate and would materially adversely impact our business and prospects.

Preclinical and clinical drug development involves a lengthy and expensive process with an uncertain outcome. We may incur additional costs or experience delays in completing, or ultimately be unable to complete, the development and commercialization of our product candidates.

Clinical testing is expensive and can take several years to complete, and its outcome is inherently uncertain. Moreover, obtaining sufficient quantities of product for clinical testing is subject to regulation by DEA and, in some cases, NIDA. It is impossible to predict when or if any of our product candidates will prove effective or safe in humans or will receive regulatory approval. Before obtaining marketing approval from regulatory authorities for the sale of any product candidate, we must complete preclinical studies and then conduct extensive clinical trials to demonstrate the safety and efficacy of our product candidates in humans. A failure of one or more clinical trials can occur at any stage of testing. The outcome of preclinical testing and early clinical trials may not be predictive of the success of later clinical trials, and interim results of a clinical trial do not necessarily predict final results. Moreover, preclinical and clinical data are often susceptible to varying interpretations and analyses, and many companies that have believed their product candidates performed satisfactorily in preclinical studies and clinical trials have nonetheless failed to obtain marketing approval of their products. We may experience numerous unforeseen events during, or as a result of, clinical trials that could delay or prevent our ability to receive marketing approval or subsequently to commercialize our product candidates, including:

- the FDA, DEA or NIDA may not authorize the use and distribution of sufficient quantities of product for clinical testing;
- regulators or IRBs may not authorize us or our investigators to commence a clinical trial or conduct a clinical trial at a prospective trial site;
- we may experience delays in reaching, or fail to reach, agreement on acceptable clinical trial contracts or clinical trial protocols with prospective trial sites;
- clinical trials of our product candidates may produce negative or inconclusive results, and we may decide, or regulators may require us, to conduct additional clinical trials or abandon product development programs;
- the number of patients required for clinical trials of our product candidates may be larger than we anticipate, enrollment in these clinical trials may be slower than we anticipate or participants may drop out of these clinical trials at a higher rate than we anticipate;
- our third-party contractors may fail to comply with regulatory requirements or meet their contractual obligations to us in a timely manner, or at all;
- we may have to suspend or terminate clinical trials of our product candidates for various reasons, including a finding that the participants are being exposed to unacceptable health risks;
- regulators or institutional review boards may require that we or our investigators suspend or terminate clinical research for various reasons, including noncompliance with regulatory requirements or a finding that the participants are being exposed to unacceptable health risks;
- the cost of clinical trials of our product candidates may be greater than we anticipate;
- the supply or quality of our product candidates or other materials necessary to conduct clinical trials of our product candidates may be insufficient or inadequate; and
- our product candidates may have undesirable side effects or other unexpected characteristics, causing us or our investigators, regulators or institutional review boards to suspend or terminate the trials.

If we are required to conduct additional clinical trials or other testing of our product candidates beyond those that we currently contemplate, if we are unable to successfully complete clinical trials of our product candidates or other testing, if the results of these trials or tests are not positive or are only modestly positive or if there are safety concerns, we may:

- be delayed in obtaining marketing approval for our product candidates;
- not obtain marketing approval at all;
- obtain approval for indications or patient populations that are not as broad as intended or desired;
- obtain approval with labeling that includes significant use or distribution restrictions or safety warnings;
- be subject to additional post-marketing testing requirements; or
- have the product removed from the market after obtaining marketing approval.

Our product development costs will also increase if we experience delays in testing or in receiving marketing approvals. We do not know whether any of our preclinical studies or clinical trials will begin as planned, will need to be restructured or will be completed on schedule, or at all. Significant preclinical study or clinical trial delays also could allow our competitors to bring products to market before we do and impair our ability to successfully commercialize our product candidates and may harm our business and results of operations.

If we experience delays or difficulties in the enrollment of patients in clinical trials, our receipt of necessary regulatory approvals could be delayed or prevented.

We may not be able to initiate or continue clinical trials for our product candidates if we are unable to locate and enroll a sufficient number of eligible patients to participate in these trials as required by the FDA or similar regulatory authorities outside the United States. Our pool of suitable patients may be smaller for some of our product candidates, which will impact our ability to enroll a sufficient number of suitable patients. In addition, some of our competitors have ongoing clinical trials for product candidates that treat the same indications as our product candidates, and patients who would otherwise be eligible for our clinical trials may instead enroll in clinical trials of our competitors' product candidates. Patient enrollment is affected by other factors including:

- the severity of the disease under investigation;
- the eligibility criteria for the study in question;
- the perceived risks and benefits of the product candidate under study;
- the efforts to facilitate timely enrollment in clinical trials;
- the patient referral practices of physicians;
- the ability to monitor patients adequately during and after treatment; and
- the proximity and availability of clinical trial sites for prospective patients.

Our inability to enroll a sufficient number of patients for our clinical trials would result in significant delays and could require us to abandon one or more clinical trials altogether. Enrollment delays in our clinical trials may result in increased development costs for our product candidates, which would cause the value of our company to decline and limit our ability to obtain additional financing.

Even if we receive regulatory approval for a product candidate, we will be subject to ongoing regulatory obligations and continued regulatory review, which may result in significant additional expense and subject us to restrictions, withdrawal from the market, or penalties if we fail to comply with applicable regulatory requirements or if we experience unanticipated problems with our product candidates, when and if approved.

Once regulatory approval has been granted, the approved product and its manufacturer are subject to continual review by the FDA, DEA and/or non-U.S. regulatory authorities. Any regulatory approval that we receive for our product candidates may be subject to limitations on the indicated uses for which the product may be marketed or contain requirements for potentially costly post-marketing follow-up studies or surveillance to monitor the safety and efficacy of the product. In addition, if the FDA and/or non-U.S. regulatory authorities approve any of our product candidates, we will be subject to extensive and ongoing regulatory requirements by the FDA and other regulatory authorities with regard to labeling, packaging, adverse event reporting, storage, distribution, advertising, promotion, recordkeeping and submission of safety and other post-market information. Manufacturers of our products and manufacturers' facilities are required to comply with cGMP regulations, which include requirements related to quality control and quality assurance as well as the corresponding maintenance of records and documentation. Further, regulatory authorities must approve these manufacturing facilities before they can be used to manufacture our products, and these facilities are subject to continual review and periodic inspections by the FDA and other regulatory authorities for compliance with cGMP regulations. Accordingly, we and others with whom we work must continue to expend time, money and effort in all areas of regulatory compliance, including manufacturing, production and quality control. We will also be required to report certain adverse reactions and production problems, if any, to the FDA and to comply with requirements concerning advertising and promotion for our products. If we, any future collaboration partner or a regulatory authority discovers previously unknown problems with a product, such as adverse events of unanticipated severity or frequency, or problems with the facility where the product is manufactured, a regulatory authority may impose restrictions on that product, the collaboration partner, the manufacturer or us, including requiring withdrawal of the product from the market or suspension of manufacturing.

Even if any of our product candidates are approved by the FDA, it will be subject to the DEA's other requirements, including scheduling and registration, before it can be commercialized. Any DEA registrations that we receive may also be subject to limitations. For example, if approved, our commercial products will be subject to DEA's annual manufacturing and procurement quota requirements. The annual quota allocated to us or our contract manufacturers for the controlled substances in our product candidates may not be sufficient to meet commercial demand. Our facilities that handle controlled substances, and those of our third-party contractors, will also be subject to registration requirements and periodic inspections. Additionally, if approved by the FDA, the finished dosage forms of our natural or synthetic cannabinoid-derived drug product candidates will be subject to the DEA's scheduling or rescheduling process, which may delay product launch and impose additional regulatory burdens. Failure to maintain compliance with the CSA, particularly non-compliance resulting in loss or diversion, can result in regulatory action that could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. The DEA may seek civil penalties, refuse to renew necessary registrations, or initiate proceedings to restrict, suspend or revoke those registrations. In certain circumstances, violations could lead to criminal proceedings. For additional information, see Risk Factor, *"The product candidates we are developing will be subject to U.S. controlled substance laws and regulations and failure to comply with these laws and regulations, or the cost of compliance with these laws and regulations, may adversely affect the results of our business operations, both during non-clinical and clinical development and post-approval, and our financial condition."*

The FDA closely regulates the post-approval marketing and promotion of drugs to ensure drugs are marketed only for the approved indications and in accordance with the provisions of the approved labeling and regulatory requirements. The FDA also imposes stringent restrictions on manufacturers' communications regarding off-label use and if we do not restrict the marketing of our products only to their approved indications, we may be subject to enforcement action for off-label marketing. If we, our product candidates or the manufacturing facilities for our product candidates fail to comply with regulatory requirements of the FDA and/or other non-U.S. regulatory authorities, we could be subject to administrative or judicially imposed sanctions, including:

- warning letters or untitled letters;
- mandated modifications to promotional materials or the required provision of corrective information to healthcare practitioners;
- restrictions imposed on the product or its manufacturers or manufacturing processes
- restrictions imposed on the labeling or marketing of the product;
- restrictions imposed on product distribution or use;
- requirements for post-marketing clinical trials;
- suspension of any ongoing clinical trials;
- suspension of or withdrawal of regulatory approval;
- voluntary or mandatory product recalls and publicity requirements;
- refusal to approve pending applications for marketing approval of new products or supplements to approved applications filed by us;
- restrictions on operations, including costly new manufacturing requirements;
- seizure or detention of our products;
- refusal to permit the import or export of our products;
- required entry into a consent decree, which can include imposition of various fines (including restitution or disgorgement of profits or revenue), reimbursements for inspection costs, required due dates for specific actions and penalties for noncompliance;
- civil or criminal penalties; or
- injunctions.

Widely publicized events concerning the safety risk of certain drug products have resulted in the withdrawal of drug products, revisions to drug labeling that further limit use of the drug products and the imposition by the FDA of risk evaluation and mitigation strategies, or REMS, to ensure that the benefits of the drug outweigh its risks. In addition, because of the serious public health risks of high profile adverse safety events with certain products, the FDA may require, as a condition of approval, costly REMS programs.

The regulatory requirements and policies may change and additional government regulations may be enacted for which we may also be required to comply. We cannot predict the likelihood, nature or extent of government regulation that may arise from future legislation or administrative action, either in the United States or in other countries. If we or any future collaboration partner are not able to maintain regulatory compliance, we or such collaboration partner, as applicable, will not be permitted to market our future products and our business will suffer.

Serious adverse events or undesirable side effects or other unexpected properties of any of our product candidates may be identified during development or after approval that could delay, prevent or cause the withdrawal of regulatory approval, limit the commercial potential, or result in significant negative consequences following marketing approval.

Serious adverse events or undesirable side effects caused by, or other unexpected properties of, our product candidates could cause us, an IRB, or regulatory authorities to interrupt, delay or halt our clinical trials and could result in a more restrictive label, the imposition of distribution or use restrictions or the delay or denial of regulatory approval by the FDA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities. If any of our product candidates are associated with serious adverse events or undesirable side effects or have properties that are unexpected, we may need to abandon their development or limit development to certain uses or subpopulations in which the undesirable side effects or other characteristics are less prevalent, less severe or more acceptable from a risk-benefit perspective. Many compounds that initially showed promise in clinical or earlier stage testing have later been found to cause undesirable or unexpected side effects that prevented further development of the compound.

Undesirable side effects or other unexpected adverse events or properties of any of our other product candidates could arise or become known either during clinical development or, if approved, after the approved product has been marketed. If such an event occurs during development, our trials could be suspended or terminated and the FDA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities could order us to cease further development of, or deny approval of, our product candidates. If such an event occurs after such product candidates are approved, a number of potentially significant negative consequences may result, including:

- regulatory authorities may withdraw the approval of such product;
- regulatory authorities may require additional warnings on the label or impose distribution or use restrictions;
- regulatory authorities may require one or more post-market studies;
- we may be required to create a medication guide outlining the risks of such side effects for distribution to patients;
- we could be sued and held liable for harm caused to patients; and
- our reputation may suffer.

Any of these events could prevent us from achieving or maintaining market acceptance of the affected product candidate, if approved, or could substantially increase commercialization costs and expenses, which could delay or prevent us from generating revenue from the sale of our products and harm our business and results of operations.

We expect to rely on third parties, such as contract research organizations, or CROs, to conduct some or all of our preclinical and clinical trials. If these third parties do not successfully carry out their contractual duties or meet expected deadlines, we may be unable to obtain regulatory approval for or commercialize any of our product candidates.

We expect to rely on medical institutions, clinical investigators, contract laboratories and other third parties, such as CROs, to conduct our preclinical and clinical studies on our product candidates in compliance with applicable regulatory requirements. These third parties will not be our employees and, except for restrictions imposed by our contracts with such third parties, we will have limited ability to control the amount or timing of resources that they devote to our programs. Although we expect to rely on these third parties to conduct our preclinical studies and clinical trials, we will remain responsible for ensuring that each of our preclinical studies and clinical trials is conducted in accordance with its investigational plan and protocol and the applicable legal, regulatory, and scientific standards, and our reliance on these third parties will not relieve us of our regulatory responsibilities. These entities must maintain and comply with valid DEA registrations and requirements. The FDA and regulatory authorities in other jurisdictions require us to comply with regulations and standards, commonly referred to as current good clinical practices, or cGCPs, for conducting, monitoring, recording and reporting the results of clinical trials, in order to ensure that the data and results are scientifically credible and accurate and that the trial subjects are adequately informed of the potential risks of participating in clinical trials. If we or any of our third party contractors fail to comply with applicable cGCPs, the clinical data generated in our clinical trials may be deemed unreliable and the FDA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities may require us to perform additional clinical trials before approving our marketing applications. In addition, we are required to report certain financial interests of our third party investigators if these relationships exceed certain financial thresholds and meet other criteria. The FDA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities may question the integrity of the data from those clinical trials conducted by principal investigators who previously served or currently serve as scientific advisors or consultants to us from time to time and receive cash compensation in connection with such services. Our clinical trials must also generally be conducted with products produced under current good manufacturing practice, or cGMP, regulations. Our failure to comply with these regulations may require us to repeat clinical trials, which would delay the regulatory approval process.

Some of the third parties with whom we contract may also have relationships with other commercial entities, some of which may compete with us. If the third parties conducting our preclinical studies or our clinical trials do not perform their contractual duties or obligations or comply with regulatory requirements we may need to enter into new arrangements with alternative third parties. This could be costly, and our preclinical studies or clinical trials may need to be extended, delayed, terminated or repeated, and we may not be able to obtain regulatory approval in a timely fashion, or at all, for the applicable product candidate, or to commercialize such product candidate being tested in such studies or trials. If any of our relationships with these third parties terminate, we may not be able to enter into arrangements with alternative third party contractors or to do so on commercially reasonable terms. Though we plan to carefully manage our relationships with our CROs, there can be no assurance that we will not encounter similar challenges or delays in the future or that these delays or challenges will not have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition and prospects.

We rely on, and expect to continue relying on, third-party contract manufacturing organizations to manufacture and supply product candidates for us, as well as certain raw materials used in the production thereof. If one of our suppliers or manufacturers fails to perform adequately we may be required to incur significant delays and costs to find new suppliers or manufacturers.

We currently have no experience in, and we do not own facilities for, manufacturing our product candidates. We rely on, and expect to continue relying upon, third-party manufacturing organizations to manufacture and supply our product candidates and certain raw materials used in the production thereof. Some of our key components for the production of our product candidates may have a limited number of suppliers.

The facilities used by our contract manufacturers to manufacture our product candidates must be approved by the FDA pursuant to inspections that will be conducted after we submit our NDA to the FDA. We expect that we will not control the manufacturing process of, and will be completely dependent on, our contract manufacturing partners for compliance with cGMP requirements, for manufacture of our drug products. If our contract manufacturers cannot successfully manufacture material that conforms to our specifications and the strict regulatory requirements of the FDA, DEA or others, they will not be able to secure and/or maintain DEA registrations and regulatory approval for their manufacturing facilities. In addition, we expect that we will have no control over the ability of our contract manufacturers to maintain adequate quality control, quality assurance and qualified personnel. If the FDA or a comparable foreign regulatory authority does not approve these facilities for the manufacture of our product candidates, or if DEA does not register these facilities for the manufacture of controlled substances, we may need to find alternative manufacturing facilities, which would significantly impact our ability to develop, obtain regulatory approval for or market our product candidates, if approved.

We do not have commercial supply agreements with our suppliers. In the event that we and our suppliers cannot agree to the terms and conditions for them to provide clinical and commercial supply needs, we would not be able to manufacture our product or candidates until a qualified alternative supplier is identified, which could also delay the development of, and impair our ability to commercialize, our product candidates.

The failure of third-party manufacturers or suppliers to perform adequately or the termination of our arrangements with any of them may adversely affect our business.

We could be subject to costly product liability claims related to our clinical trials and product candidates.

Because we plan to conduct clinical trials with human subjects, we face the risk that the use of our product candidates may result in adverse side effects to our patients in our clinical trials. We face even greater risks upon any commercialization of our product candidates. An individual may bring a product liability claim against us alleging that one of our product candidates causes, or is claimed to have caused, an injury or is found to be unsuitable for consumer use. Any product liability claim brought against us, with or without merit, could result in:

- withdrawal of clinical trial volunteers, investigators, patients or trial sites;
- the inability to commercialize our product candidates;
- decreased demand for our product candidates;
- regulatory investigations that could require costly recalls or product modifications;
- loss of revenue;
- substantial costs of litigation;
- liabilities that substantially exceed our product liability insurance, which we would then be required to pay ourselves;
- an increase in our product liability insurance rates or the inability to maintain insurance coverage in the future on acceptable terms, if at all;
- the diversion of management's attention from our business; and
- damage to our reputation and the reputation of our products.

Product liability claims may subject us to the foregoing and other risks, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition, and prospects.

Our employees, independent contractors, principal investigators, CROs, consultants and vendors may engage in misconduct or other improper activities, including noncompliance with regulatory standards and requirements.

We are exposed to the risk that our employees, independent contractors, principal investigators, CROs, consultants and vendors may engage in fraudulent or other illegal activity. Misconduct by these parties could include intentional, reckless and/or negligent conduct or disclosure of unauthorized activities to us that violates: (1) FDA regulations, including those laws requiring the reporting of true, complete and accurate information to the FDA; (2) manufacturing standards; (3) federal and state healthcare fraud and abuse laws and regulations; or (4) laws that require the true, complete and accurate reporting of financial information or data. Specifically, sales, marketing and business arrangements in the healthcare industry are subject to extensive laws and regulations intended to prevent fraud, kickbacks, self-dealing and other abusive practices. These laws and regulations may restrict or prohibit a wide range of pricing, discounting, marketing and promotion, sales commission, customer incentive programs and other business arrangements. Activities subject to these laws also involve the improper use of information obtained in the course of clinical trials, which could result in regulatory sanctions and serious harm to our reputation. It is not always possible to identify and deter misconduct by our employees and other third parties, and the precautions we take to detect and prevent this activity may not be effective in controlling unknown or unmanaged risks or losses or in protecting us from governmental investigations or other actions or lawsuits stemming from a failure to be in compliance with such laws or regulations. If any such actions are instituted against us, and we are not successful in defending ourselves or asserting our rights, those actions could have a significant impact on our business, including the imposition of civil, criminal and administrative penalties, damages, monetary fines, possible exclusion from participation in Medicare, Medicaid and other federal healthcare programs, contractual damages, reputational harm, diminished profits and future earnings, and curtailment of our operations, any of which could adversely affect our ability to operate our business and our results of operations.

We are subject to uncertainty relating to coverage and reimbursement policies which, if not favorable to our product candidates, could hinder or prevent our products' commercial success.

Our ability to commercialize our product candidates, if approved, successfully will depend in part on the extent to which governmental authorities, private health insurers and other third-party payors establish appropriate coverage and reimbursement levels for our product candidates. As a threshold for coverage and reimbursement, third-party payors generally require that drug products have been approved for marketing by the FDA. A primary trend in the U.S. healthcare industry is cost containment. Third-party payors have attempted to control costs by limiting coverage and the amount of reimbursement for particular products and procedures. Increasingly, third-party payors are requiring that companies provide them with predetermined discounts from list prices and are challenging the prices charged for medical products. We cannot assure you that coverage and reimbursement will be available for any product that we commercialize and, if coverage is available, what the level of reimbursement will be. Coverage and reimbursement may impact the demand for, or the price of, any product for which we obtain marketing approval. If coverage and reimbursement are not available or are available only to limited levels, we may not be able to successfully commercialize any product candidate that we successfully develop.

Healthcare reform measures could hinder or prevent our products candidates' commercial success, if approved.

In the United States, there have been, and we anticipate there will continue to be, a number of legislative and regulatory changes to the healthcare system that could impact our ability to sell any of our products profitably if approved. In the United States, the Federal government recently passed healthcare reform legislation, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act, or collectively, the ACA.

The ACA contains a number of provisions, including those governing enrollment in federal healthcare programs, reimbursement changes and fraud and abuse, which will impact existing government healthcare programs and will result in the development of new programs, including Medicare payment for performance initiatives and improvements to the physician quality reporting system and feedback program. Additionally, the ACA:

- increases the minimum level of Medicaid rebates payable by manufacturers of brand-name drugs from 15.1% to 23.1%;
- requires collection of rebates for drugs paid by Medicaid managed care organizations;
- requires manufacturers to participate in a coverage gap discount program, under which they must agree to offer 50 percent point-of-sale discounts off negotiated prices of applicable brand drugs to eligible beneficiaries during their coverage gap period, as a condition for the manufacturer's outpatient drugs to be covered under Medicare Part D; and
- imposes a non-deductible annual fee on pharmaceutical manufacturers or importers who sell "branded prescription drugs" to specified federal government programs.

In addition, other legislative changes have been proposed and adopted since the ACA was enacted. On August 2, 2011, the Budget Control Act of 2011 was signed into law, which, among other things, created the Joint Select Committee on Deficit Reduction to recommend to Congress proposals in spending reductions. The Joint Select Committee did not achieve a targeted deficit reduction of at least \$1.2 trillion for the years 2013 through 2021, triggering the legislation's automatic reduction to several government programs. This includes reductions to Medicare payments to providers of 2% per fiscal year, which went into effect on April 1, 2013, and will stay in effect through 2024 unless Congressional action is taken. On January 2, 2013, the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012 was signed into law, which, among other things, further reduced Medicare payments to several providers, including hospitals and increased the statute of limitations period for the government to recover overpayments to providers from three to five years.

We expect that additional state and federal healthcare reform measures will be adopted in the future, any of which could limit the amounts that federal and state governments will pay for healthcare products and services, which could result in reduced demand for our product candidates if approved, or additional pricing pressure. The continuing efforts of the government, insurance companies, managed care organizations and other payors of healthcare services to make and implement healthcare reforms may adversely affect:

- our ability to set a price we believe is fair for our products;
- our ability to generate revenues and achieve or maintain profitability;
- the availability of capital; and
- our ability to obtain timely approval of our products.

We may be subject, directly or indirectly, to federal and state healthcare fraud and abuse laws, false claims laws, and health information privacy and security laws. If we are unable to comply, or have not fully complied, with such laws, we could face substantial penalties.

If we obtain FDA approval for any of our product candidates and begin commercializing those products in the United States, our operations may be directly, or indirectly through our customers, subject to various federal and state fraud and abuse laws, including, without limitation, the federal Anti-Kickback Statute, the federal False Claims Act, and physician sunshine laws and regulations. These laws may impact, among other things, our proposed sales, marketing, and education programs. In addition, we may be subject to patient privacy regulation by both the federal government and the states in which we conduct our business. The laws that may affect our ability to operate include:

- the federal Anti-Kickback Statute, which prohibits, among other things, persons from knowingly and willfully soliciting, receiving, offering or paying remuneration, directly or indirectly, to induce, or in return for, the purchase or recommendation of an item or service reimbursable under a federal healthcare program, such as the Medicare and Medicaid programs;
- federal civil and criminal false claims laws and civil monetary penalty laws, which prohibit, among other things, individuals or entities from knowingly presenting, or causing to be presented, claims for payment from Medicare, Medicaid, or other third-party payors that are false or fraudulent;
- the federal Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, or HIPAA, which created federal criminal statutes that prohibit executing a scheme to defraud any healthcare benefit program and making false statements relating to healthcare matters;
- HIPAA, as amended by the Health Information Technology and Clinical Health Act and its implementing regulations, which imposes certain requirements relating to the privacy, security, and transmission of individually identifiable health information;
- the federal physician sunshine requirements under the ACA, which require manufacturers of drugs, devices, biologics, and medical supplies to report annually to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services information related to payments and other transfers of value to physicians, other healthcare providers, and teaching hospitals, and ownership and investment interests held by physicians and other healthcare providers and their immediate family members; and
- state law equivalents of each of the above federal laws, such as anti-kickback and false claims laws that may apply to items or services reimbursed by any third-party payor, including commercial insurers; state laws that require pharmaceutical companies to comply with the pharmaceutical industry's voluntary compliance guidelines and the relevant compliance guidance promulgated by the federal government, or otherwise restrict payments that may be made to healthcare providers and other potential referral sources; state laws that require drug manufacturers to report information related to payments and other transfers of value to physicians and other healthcare providers or marketing expenditures, and state laws governing the privacy and security of health information in certain circumstances, many of which differ from each other in significant ways and may not have the same effect, thus complicating compliance efforts.

Because of the breadth of these laws and the narrowness of the statutory exceptions and safe harbors available, it is possible that some of our business activities could be subject to challenge under one or more of such laws. In addition, recent health care reform legislation has strengthened these laws. For example, the ACA, among other things, amends the intent requirement of the federal Anti-Kickback and criminal healthcare fraud statutes. A person or entity no longer needs to have actual knowledge of this statute or specific intent to violate it. Moreover, the ACA provides that the government may assert that a claim including items or services resulting from a violation of the federal Anti-Kickback Statute constitutes a false or fraudulent claim for purposes of the False Claims Act.

If our operations are found to be in violation of any of the laws described above or any other governmental regulations that apply to us, we may be subject to penalties, including civil and criminal penalties, damages, fines, exclusion from participation in government health care programs, such as Medicare and Medicaid, imprisonment, and the curtailment or restructuring of our operations, any of which could adversely affect our ability to operate our business and our results of operations.

Risks Related to our Common Stock:

We are subject to the reporting requirements of federal securities laws, which is expensive.

We are a public reporting company in the U.S. and, accordingly, subject to the information and reporting requirements of the Exchange Act and other federal securities laws, and the compliance obligations of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. The costs of preparing and filing annual and quarterly reports, proxy statements and other information with the SEC and furnishing audited reports to stockholders causes our expenses to be higher than they would be if we remained a privately-held company.

Our compliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and SEC rules concerning internal controls is time consuming, difficult and costly.

We are a reporting company with the SEC and therefore must comply with Sarbanes-Oxley Act and SEC rules concerning internal controls. It is time consuming, difficult and costly for us to develop and implement the internal controls and reporting procedures required by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. In order to expand our operations, we will need to hire additional financial reporting, internal control, and other finance staff in order to develop and implement appropriate internal controls and reporting procedures.

Our stock price may be volatile, which may result in losses to our stockholders.

The stock markets have experienced significant price and trading volume fluctuations, and the market prices of companies quoted on the OTCQB, where our shares of common stock will be quoted, generally have been very volatile and have experienced sharp share-price and trading-volume changes. The trading price of our common stock is likely to be volatile and could fluctuate widely in response to many of the following factors, some of which are beyond our control:

- variations in our operating results;
- changes in expectations of our future financial performance, including financial estimates by securities analysts and investors;
- changes in operating and stock price performance of other companies in our industry;
- additions or departures of key personnel; and
- future sales of our common stock.

Domestic and international stock markets often experience significant price and volume fluctuations. These fluctuations, as well as general economic and political conditions unrelated to our performance, may adversely affect the price of our common stock. In particular, following initial public offerings, the market prices for stocks of companies often reach levels that bear no established relationship to the operating performance of these companies. These market prices are generally not sustainable and could vary widely. In the past, following periods of volatility in the market price of a public company's securities, securities class action litigation has often been initiated.

Our common shares are thinly-traded, and in the future, may continue to be thinly-traded, and you may be unable to sell at or near ask prices or at all if you need to sell your shares to raise money or otherwise desire to liquidate such shares.

We cannot predict the extent to which an active public market for our common stock will develop or be sustained due to a number of factors, including the fact that we are a small company that is relatively unknown to stock analysts, stock brokers, institutional investors, and others in the investment community that generate or influence sales volume, and that even if we came to the attention of such persons, they tend to be risk-averse and would be reluctant to follow an unproven company such as ours or purchase or recommend the purchase of our shares until such time as we became more seasoned and viable. As a consequence, there may be periods of several days or more when trading activity in our shares is minimal or non-existent, as compared to a seasoned issuer which has a large and steady volume of trading activity that will generally support continuous sales without an adverse effect on share price. We cannot give you any assurance that a broader or more active public trading market for our common stock will develop or be sustained, or that current trading levels will be sustained.

The market price for our common stock may be particularly volatile given our status as a relatively small company and lack of revenues that could lead to wide fluctuations in our share price. You may be unable to sell your common stock at or above your purchase price if at all, which may result in substantial losses to you.

The market for our common shares may be characterized by significant price volatility when compared to seasoned issuers, and we expect that our share price will be more volatile than a seasoned issuer for the indefinite future. The potential volatility in our share price is attributable to a number of factors. First, as noted above, our common shares may be sporadically and/or thinly traded. As a consequence of this lack of liquidity, the trading of relatively small quantities of shares by our stockholders may disproportionately influence the price of those shares in either direction. The price for our shares could, for example, decline precipitously in the event that a large number of our common shares are sold on the market without commensurate demand, as compared to a seasoned issuer that could better absorb those sales without adverse impact on its share price. Secondly, an investment in us is a speculative or "risky" investment due to our lack of revenues or profits to date. As a consequence of this enhanced risk, more risk-averse investors may, under the fear of losing all or most of their investment in the event of negative news or lack of progress, be more inclined to sell their shares on the market more quickly and at greater discounts than would be the case with the stock of a seasoned issuer.

Because we became public by means of a "reverse merger," we may not be able to attract the attention of major brokerage firm or investors in general.

Additional risks may exist since we will become public through a "reverse merger." Securities analysts of major brokerage firms may not provide coverage of us since there is little incentive to brokerage firms to recommend the purchase of our common stock. No assurance can be given that brokerage firms will want to conduct any secondary offerings on behalf of our company in the future. In addition, the SEC has recently issued an investor bulletin warning investors about the risks of investing in companies that enter the U.S. capital markets through a "reverse merger." The release of such information from the SEC may have the effect of reducing investor interest in companies, such as us, that enter the U.S. capital markets through a "reverse merger."

Shares issued pursuant to the Merger are "restricted securities" subject to certain important limitations on their resale.

Holders of shares issued pursuant to the Merger will not be able to resell the shares in the public market, unless those shares are registered pursuant to the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or an exemption from registration for such sale is available. Holders of shares issued pursuant to the Merger must bear the economic risk of holding those shares for an indefinite period of time.

We cannot assure you that our common stock will become eligible for listing or quotation on any exchange and the failure to do so may adversely affect your ability to dispose of our common stock in a timely fashion.

In order for our common stock to become eligible for listing or quotation on any exchange, reverse merger companies must have had their securities traded on an over-the-counter market for at least one year, maintained a certain minimum closing price for not less than 30 of the most recent 60 days prior to the filing of an initial listing application and prior to listing, and timely filed with the SEC all required reports since consummation of the reverse merger, including one annual report containing audited consolidated financial statements for a full fiscal year commencing after the date of filing of the Current Report on Form 8-K which discloses the reverse merger. We may not be able to meet all of the filing requirements above and may not be able to satisfy the initial standards for listing or quotation on any exchange in the foreseeable future or at all. Even if we are able to become listed or quoted on an exchange, we may not be able to maintain a listing of the common stock on such stock exchange.

We do not anticipate paying any cash dividends.

We presently do not anticipate that we will pay any dividends on any of our capital stock in the foreseeable future. The payment of dividends, if any, would be contingent upon our revenues and earnings, if any, capital requirements, and general financial condition. The payment of any dividends will be within the discretion of our Board of Directors. We presently intend to retain all earnings, if any, to implement our business plan; accordingly, we do not anticipate the declaration of any dividends in the foreseeable future.

Our common stock may be subject to penny stock rules, which may make it more difficult for our stockholders to sell their common stock.

Broker-dealer practices in connection with transactions in "penny stocks" are regulated by certain penny stock rules adopted by the SEC. Penny stocks generally are equity securities with a price of less than \$5.00 per share. The penny stock rules require a broker-dealer, prior to a purchase or sale of a penny stock not otherwise exempt from the rules, to deliver to the customer a standardized risk disclosure document that provides information about penny stocks and the risks in the penny stock market. The broker-dealer also must provide the customer with current bid and offer quotations for the penny stock, the compensation of the broker-dealer and its salesperson in the transaction, and monthly account statements showing the market value of each penny stock held in the customer's account. In addition, the penny stock rules generally require that prior to a transaction in a penny stock the broker-dealer make a special written determination that the penny stock is a suitable investment for the purchaser and receive the purchaser's written agreement to the transaction. These disclosure requirements may have the effect of reducing the level of trading activity in the secondary market for a stock that becomes subject to the penny stock rules.

Volatility in our common stock price may subject us to securities litigation.

The market for our common stock is characterized by significant price volatility when compared to seasoned issuers, and we expect that our share price will continue to be more volatile than a seasoned issuer for the indefinite future. In the past, plaintiffs have often initiated securities class action litigation against a company following periods of volatility in the market price of its securities. We may, in the future, be the target of similar litigation. Securities litigation could result in substantial costs and liabilities and could divert management's attention and resources.

We may need additional capital, and the sale of additional shares or other equity securities could result in additional dilution to our stockholders.

We believe that our current cash and cash equivalents and anticipated cash flow from operations will be sufficient to meet our anticipated cash needs for the near future. We may, however, require additional cash resources due to changed business conditions or other future developments, including any investments or acquisitions we may decide to pursue. If our resources are insufficient to satisfy our cash requirements, we will seek to sell additional equity or debt securities or obtain a credit facility. The sale of additional equity securities could result in additional dilution to our stockholders. The incurrence of additional indebtedness would result in increased debt service obligations and could result in operating and financing covenants that would restrict our operations. We cannot assure you that financing will be available in amounts or on terms acceptable to us, if at all.

Our principal stockholders and management own a significant percentage of our stock and will be able to exert significant control over matters subject to stockholder approval.

Certain of our executive officers, directors and large stockholders own a significant percentage of our outstanding capital stock. Our executive officers, directors, holders of 5% or more of our capital stock and their respective affiliates own approximately 60.72% of our outstanding voting stock. Accordingly, our directors and executive officers have significant influence over our affairs due to their substantial ownership coupled with their positions on our management team, and have substantial voting power to approve matters requiring the approval of our stockholders. For example, these stockholders may be able to control elections of directors, amendments of our organizational documents, or approval of any merger, sale of assets, or other major corporate transaction. This concentration of ownership in our Board of Directors and management team and certain other large stockholders may prevent or discourage unsolicited acquisition proposals or offers for our common stock that some of our stockholders may believe is in their best interest.

We have a substantial number of authorized common shares available for future issuance that could cause dilution of our stockholders' interest and adversely impact the rights of holders of our common stock.

We have a total of 236,000,000 shares of common stock authorized for issuance and up to 20,000,000 shares of preferred stock with the rights, preferences and privileges that our Board of Directors may determine from time to time. We have reserved 1,730,000 shares for issuance upon the exercise of outstanding options and 4,000,000 shares for issuance upon the exercise of outstanding warrants. As of March 23, 2015, we had 214,004,337 shares of common stock available for issuance. We may seek financing that could result in the issuance of additional shares of our capital stock and/or rights to acquire additional shares of our capital stock. We may also make acquisitions that result in issuances of additional shares of our capital stock. Those additional issuances of capital stock would result in a significant reduction of your percentage interest in us. Furthermore, the book value per share of our common stock may be reduced. This reduction would occur if the exercise price of any issued warrants, the conversion price of any convertible notes is lower than the book value per share of our common stock at the time of such exercise or conversion.

The addition of a substantial number of shares of our common stock into the market or by the registration of any of our other securities under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act, may significantly and negatively affect the prevailing market price for our common stock. The future sales of shares of our common stock issuable upon the exercise of outstanding warrants may have a depressive effect on the market price of our common stock, as such warrants would be more likely to be exercised at a time when the price of our common stock is greater than the exercise price.

We may have material liabilities from our predecessor that are not yet discovered.

As a result of the Merger, the Former Business and management of LGL have been replaced with the business and management team of Nemus Sub. Prior to the Merger, there were no relationships or other connections among the businesses or individuals associated with those two entities. As a result, LGL may have material liabilities that are not yet discovered. We could experience losses as a result of any such undisclosed liabilities that are discovered, which could materially harm our business and financial condition. Although the merger agreement contains customary representations and warranties from LGL concerning its assets, liabilities, financial condition and affairs, there may be limited or no recourse against LGL's pre-Merger stockholders or principals in the event those representations prove to be untrue. As a result, the stockholders of the Company bear some, or all, of the risks relating to any such unknown or undisclosed liabilities.

There is not now, and there may never be, an active, liquid and orderly trading market for our common stock, which may make it difficult for you to sell your shares of our common stock.

There is not now, nor has there been since our inception, any significant trading activity in our common stock or a market for shares of our common stock, and an active trading market for our shares may never develop or be sustained. As a result, investors in our common stock must bear the economic risk of holding those shares for an indefinite period of time. Although our common stock is quoted on the OTCQB, an over-the-counter quotation system, trading of our common stock is extremely limited and sporadic and at very low volumes. We do not now, and may not in the future, meet the initial listing standards of any national securities exchange. We presently anticipate that our common stock will continue to be quoted on the OTCQB or another over-the-counter quotation system in the foreseeable future. In those venues, our stockholders may find it difficult to obtain accurate quotations as to the market value of their shares of our common stock, and may find few buyers to purchase their stock and few market makers to support its price. As a result of these and other factors, you may be unable to resell your shares of our common stock at or above the price for which you purchased them, or at all. Further, an inactive market may also impair our ability to raise capital by selling additional equity in the future, and may impair our ability to enter into strategic partnerships or acquire companies or products by using our shares of common stock as consideration.

If we are unable to implement and maintain effective internal control over financial reporting, investors may lose confidence in the accuracy and completeness of our reported financial information and the market price of our common stock may be negatively affected.

As a public company, we will be required to maintain internal control over financial reporting and to report any material weaknesses in such internal control. Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, requires that we evaluate and determine the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting and, beginning with our annual report for the year ended December 31, 2014, provide a management report on the internal control over financial reporting. If we have a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting, we may not detect errors on a timely basis and our consolidated financial statements may be materially misstated. We are in the process of compiling the system and processing documentation necessary to perform the evaluation needed to comply with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. We may not be able to complete our evaluation, testing and any required remediation in a timely fashion. During the evaluation and testing process, if we identify one or more material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting, our management will be unable to conclude that our internal control over financial reporting is effective. Moreover, when we are no longer an emerging growth company, our independent registered public accounting firm will be required to issue an attestation report on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. Even if our management concludes that our internal control over financial reporting is effective, our independent registered public accounting firm may conclude that there are material weaknesses with respect to our internal controls or the level at which our internal controls are documented, designed, implemented or reviewed.

If we are unable to conclude that our internal control over financial reporting is effective, or when we are no longer an emerging growth company, if our auditors were to express an adverse opinion on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting because we had one or more material weaknesses, investors could lose confidence in the accuracy and completeness of our financial disclosures, which could cause the price of our common stock to decline. Internal control deficiencies could also result in a restatement of our financial results in the future.

If securities or industry analysts do not publish research or reports about our business, or if they change their recommendations regarding our stock adversely, our stock price and trading volume could decline.

The trading market for our common stock will be influenced by the research and reports that industry or securities analysts publish about us or our business. We do not currently have and may never obtain research coverage by industry or financial analysts. If no or few analysts commence coverage of us, the trading price of our stock would likely decrease. Even if we do obtain analyst coverage, if one or more of the analysts who cover us downgrade our stock, our stock price would likely decline. If one or more of these analysts cease coverage of our company or fail to regularly publish reports on us, we could lose visibility in the financial markets, which in turn could cause our stock price or trading volume to decline.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

None.

Item 2. Properties.

Our principal executive offices and corporate offices consist of approximately 4,087 square feet located at 650 Town Center Drive, Suite 1770, Costa Mesa, CA 92626. Our lease expires on October 31, 2016 and our annual rent is \$64,476, payable in equal monthly installments with annual escalations.

Our laboratory and office space consists of approximately 3,415 square feet located at the Innovation Hub, Insight Park on the UM campus. Our lease expires on December 31, 2017 and our annual rent is approximately \$108,000, payable in equal monthly installments with annual escalations. Our facilities are adequate and suitable for our current needs.

We have no policies with respect to investments in real estate or interests in real estate.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

As of the date of this report, we are not currently involved in any legal proceedings.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Not applicable.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.

Market Information. Our common stock is quoted on the OTCQB under the symbol "NMUS" since November 26, 2014. There can be infrequent trading volume, which precipitates wide spreads in the "bid" and "ask" quotes of our common stock, on any given day. Between November 26, 2014 and December 31, 2014, the reported high and low closing bid price of our common stock on the OTCQB was \$11.00 and \$3.00, respectively. On March 23, 2015, the last reported sale price of our common stock on the OTCQB was \$2.80 per share. These prices represent inter-dealer quotations without retail markup, markdown, or commission and may not necessarily represent actual transactions.

Holders. The approximate number of stockholders of record at March 23, 2015, was 68. The number of stockholders of record does not include beneficial owners of our common stock, whose shares are held in the names of various dealers, clearing agencies, banks, brokers and other fiduciaries.

Dividends. We have never declared or paid a cash dividend on our common stock. We do not expect to pay cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. We currently intend to retain our earnings, if any, for use in our business. Any dividends declared in the future will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors and subject to any restrictions that may be imposed by our lenders.

Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans. The table below includes the following information as of December 31, 2014 for Nemus Bioscience, Inc. 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan.

Equity Compensation Plan Information			
Plan category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	0	0	0
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	1,730,000	0	1,470,000
Total	1,730,000	0.42	1,470,000

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities.

On October 31, 2014 in connection with the closing of the Merger, we issued 12,880,000 shares of our common stock to forty three former stockholders of Nemus Sub in exchange for all of the outstanding shares of Nemus Sub' capital stock. The issuance and sale of such securities was not registered under the Securities Act, and such securities were issued in reliance upon an exemption from registration afforded by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act and Rule 506 of Regulation D promulgated thereunder. In determining that the issuance of such securities qualified for an exemption under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act, we relied on the following facts: the securities were issued to recipients that each represented that it was an "accredited investor" as defined in Rule 501 promulgated under the Securities Act, it was acquiring the securities for investment purposes and without a view toward disposition thereof, and it had sufficient investment experience to evaluate the risks of the investment; we used no advertising or general solicitation in connection with the issuance and sale of the securities; and the securities were issued as restricted securities.

Use of Proceeds of Registered Securities. There were no sales or proceeds during the year ended December 31, 2014, for the sale of registered securities.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased (a)	Average Price Paid Per Share (b)	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs (c)	Maximum Number of Shares That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (d)
October 1 – 31, 2014	5,431,460(1)	-	-	-

(1) On October 31, 2014, the Company entered into a Share Repurchase and Cancellation Agreement with LGT, Yosbani Mendez and Francisco Mendez, pursuant to which the Company repurchased 5,431,460 shares of its common stock (the "Repurchased Shares") from Yosbani Mendez and Francisco Mendez for a repurchase price of all of the issued and outstanding shares of LGT. Upon the repurchase, the Company cancelled all of the Repurchased Shares.

Penny Stock Regulation. Shares of our common stock will probably be subject to rules adopted by the SEC that regulate broker-dealer practices in connection with transactions in "penny stocks." Penny stocks are generally equity securities with a price of less than \$5.00 (other than securities registered on certain national securities exchanges or quoted on the NASDAQ system, provided that current price and volume information with respect to transactions in those securities is provided by the exchange or system). The penny stock rules require a broker-dealer, prior to a transaction in a penny stock not otherwise exempt from those rules, deliver a standardized risk disclosure document prepared by the SEC, which contains the following:

- a description of the nature and level of risk in the market for penny stocks in both public offerings and secondary trading;
- a description of the broker's or dealer's duties to the customer and of the rights and remedies available to the customer with respect to violation to such duties or other requirements of securities' laws;
- a brief, clear, narrative description of a dealer market, including "bid" and "ask" prices for penny stocks and the significance of the spread between the "bid" and "ask" price;
- a toll-free telephone number for inquiries on disciplinary actions;
- definitions of significant terms in the disclosure document or in the conduct of trading in penny stocks; and
- such other information and is in such form (including language, type, size and format), as the SEC shall require by rule or regulation.

Prior to effecting any transaction in penny stock, the broker-dealer also must provide the customer the following:

- the bid and offer quotations for the penny stock;
- the compensation of the broker-dealer and its salesperson in the transaction;
- the number of shares to which such bid and ask prices apply, or other comparable information relating to the depth and liquidity of the market for such stock; and
- monthly account statements showing the market value of each penny stock held in the customer's account.

In addition, the penny stock rules require that prior to a transaction in a penny stock not otherwise exempt from those rules, the broker-dealer must make a special written determination that the penny stock is a suitable investment for the purchaser and receive the purchaser's written acknowledgment of the receipt of a risk disclosure statement, a written agreement to transactions involving penny stocks, and a signed and dated copy of a written suitability statement. These disclosure requirements may have the effect of reducing the trading activity in the secondary market for a stock that becomes subject to the penny stock rules. Holders of shares of our common stock may have difficulty selling those shares because our common stock will probably be subject to the penny stock rules.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data.

Not applicable.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation.

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 together with notes thereto. In addition to historical information, this discussion and analysis contains forward-looking statements that involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Our actual results may differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of certain factors, including, but not limited, to those set forth under "Risk Factors" and elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Unless otherwise provided in this Annual Report, references to "we," "us," "our" and "Nemus" in this discussion and analysis refer to Nemus Bioscience, Inc., a Nevada corporation formerly known as Load Guard Logistics, Inc. ("LGL"), together with its wholly-owned subsidiary, Nemus, a California corporation ("Nemus Sub"). Nemus Sub became the wholly-owned subsidiary of Nemus Bioscience, Inc. through the closing of a reverse merger transaction (the "Merger") pursuant to which a wholly-owned subsidiary of LGL formed solely for the purpose of the Merger merged with and into Nemus Sub and LGL changed its name to Nemus Bioscience, Inc.

The Merger is accounted for as a reverse merger and recapitalization, with Nemus Sub as the acquirer and LGL as the acquired company for financial reporting purposes. As a result, the assets and liabilities and the operations that will be reflected in the historical consolidated financial statements prior to the Merger will be those of Nemus Sub and will be recorded at the historical cost basis of Nemus Sub, and the consolidated financial statements after completion of the Merger will include the assets and liabilities of LGL and Nemus Sub, the historical operations of Nemus Sub and the operations of the combined enterprise of LGL and Nemus Sub from and after the closing date of the Merger.

Overview

We are a biopharmaceutical company focused on the discovery, development, and the commercialization of therapeutics based on naturally-derived or synthetically manufactured cannabis compounds through our partnership with the University of Mississippi, or UM. UM has held the only contract to cultivate cannabis for research purposes on behalf of the Federal Government since 1968, and it has significant expertise in cannabis cultivation and the extraction, separation, process and manufacture of cannabis extracts. We are currently UM's sole partner for the development and commercialization of drugs developed from cannabis extracts, or cannabinoids, and the success of this partnership will depend on the successful navigation of the complex regulatory framework for the cultivation and handling of cannabis, conducting research on cannabis extracts, and developing and commercializing controlled substances in the United States.

Recent Events

On September 29, 2014, we executed three license agreements with UM which contain certain milestone and royalty payments. A one-time upfront payment of \$65,000 per license agreement is payable in four equal monthly installments starting on October 1, 2014. An annual fee of \$25,000 per license agreement is payable on the anniversary of each effective date. These licenses also require us to reimburse UM for patent costs incurred related to these products under license and these costs amounted to \$16,780 for the year ended December 31, 2014. In the case of UM 8790 we are also required to reimburse sunk patent expenses of \$70,678 by February 15, 2015; this amount was reflected in accrued expenses as of December 31, 2014. These license agreements will terminate upon expiration of the patents, breach or default of the license agreements, or upon 60 days written notice by us to UM.

On October 15, 2014, we signed a renewable option agreement for the rights to explore other routes of delivery of UM5050 not yet agreed upon and/or in combination with other cannabinoids or other compatible compounds. There is a one-time up-front option payment of \$10,000 paid on November 15, 2014 and the option period is for six months expiring on March 31, 2015. At the end of the option period, the Company has the right to renew for an additional six months under the same financial terms and conditions.

Critical Accounting Policy and Estimates

Our Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations section discusses our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these consolidated financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. On an on-going basis, management evaluates its estimates and judgments, including those related to revenue recognition, accrued expenses, financing operations, and contingencies and litigation. Management bases its estimates and judgments on historical experience and on various other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. The most significant accounting estimates inherent in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements include estimates as to the appropriate carrying value of certain assets and liabilities which are not readily apparent from other sources. These accounting policies are described at relevant sections in this discussion and analysis and in the notes to the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. We believe that the following accounting policies are the most critical to aid you in fully understanding and evaluating our reported financial results and affect the more significant judgments and estimates that we use in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. The carrying value of those investments approximates their fair market value due to their short maturity and liquidity. Cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand and amounts on deposit with financial institutions, which amounts may at times exceed federally insured limits. The Company has not experienced any losses on such accounts and does not believe it is exposed to any significant credit risk.

Fair Value Measurements

Certain assets and liabilities are carried at fair value under U.S. GAAP. Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. Valuation techniques used to measure fair value must maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. A fair value hierarchy based on three levels of inputs, of which the first two are considered observable and the last is considered unobservable, is used to measure fair value:

Level 1: Valuations for assets and liabilities traded in active markets from readily available pricing sources such as quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2: Observable inputs (other than Level 1 quoted prices) such as quoted prices in active markets for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices in markets that are not active for identical or similar assets or liabilities, or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to determining the fair value of the assets or liabilities, including pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies and similar techniques.

The carrying values of our financial instruments, including, cash and cash equivalents, prepaid expenses, accounts payable, and accrued expenses approximate their fair value due to the short maturities of these financial instruments. We do not have financial assets or liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

Property and Equipment, Net

Expenditures for additions of property and equipment, renewals and improvements will be capitalized at cost. Depreciation will generally be computed on a straight-line methods based on the estimated useful life of the related assets. Maintenance and repairs that do not extend the life of assets are charged to expense when incurred. When properties are disposed of, the related costs and accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and any gain or loss is reported in the period the transaction takes place.

Property and equipment are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to estimated undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If the carrying amount exceeds its estimated future undiscounted cash flows, an impairment charge is recognized by the amount by which the carrying amount exceeds the fair value of the asset.

The costs incurred for the rights to use licensed technologies in the research and development process, including licensing fees and milestone payments, will be charged to research and development expense as incurred in situations where the Company has not identified an alternative future use for the acquired rights, and are capitalized in situations where there is an identified alternative future use. No cost associated with the use of licensed technologies has been capitalized to date.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for our deferred income tax assets and liabilities based on differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities, and net operating loss carry forwards (the "NOLs") and other tax credit carry forwards. These items are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. The effect of a change in tax rates on deferred tax assets and liabilities is recognized in the period that includes the enactment date. Any interest or penalties would be recorded in the Company's statement of operations in the period incurred.

The Company records a valuation allowance to reduce the deferred income tax assets to the amount that is more likely than not to be realized. In making such determinations, management considers all available positive and negative evidence, including scheduled reversals of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, tax planning strategies and recent financial operations. As a result there are no income tax benefits reflected in the statement of operations to offset pre-tax losses.

The Company recognizes a tax benefit from uncertain tax positions when it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained upon examination, including resolutions of any related appeals or litigation processes, based on the technical merits of the position.

Revenue Recognition

The Company is a development stage enterprise and has not generated any revenue since inception.

Research and Development Expenses

Research and development ("R&D") costs are expensed when incurred. These costs may consist of external research and development expenses incurred under agreements with third-party contract research organizations and investigative sites, third-party manufacturing organizations and consultants; employee-related expenses, which include salaries, benefits and stock-based compensation for the personnel involved in our preclinical and clinical drug development activities; and facilities expense, depreciation and other allocated expenses; and equipment and laboratory supplies.

Stock-Based Compensation Expenses

Stock-based compensation cost is estimated at the grant date based on the fair value of the award, and the cost is recognized as expense ratably over the vesting period. We use the Black-Scholes option pricing model for estimating the grant date fair value of stock options and warrants using the following assumptions:

- Exercise price - We determined the exercise price based on valuations using the best information available to management at the time of the valuations.
- Volatility – We estimate the stock price volatility based on industry peers who are also in the early development stage given the limited market data available in the public arena.
- Expected term - The expected term is based on a simplified method which defines the life as the average of the contractual term of the options and warrants and the weighted-average vesting period for all open awards.
- Risk-free rate - The risk-free interest rate for the expected term of the option or warrant is based on the average market rate on U.S. treasury securities in effect during the quarter in which the awards were granted.
- Dividends – The dividend yield assumption is based on our history and expectation of paying no dividends.

Segment Information

The Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") No. 280, "Segment Reporting" establishes standards for reporting information about reportable segments. Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise about which separate financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker, or decision-making group ("CODM"), in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. The CODM evaluates revenues and gross profits based on product lines and routes to market. Based on the early development stage of our operation, we operate in a single reportable segment.

Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Comprehensive income (loss) is defined as the change in equity during a period from transactions and other events and circumstances from non-owner sources. The Company is required to record all components of comprehensive loss in the consolidated financial statements in the period in which they are recognized. Net income (loss) and other comprehensive loss, net of their related tax effect, arrived at a comprehensive loss. For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, the comprehensive loss was equal to the net loss.

Earnings per share

The Company applies FASB ASC 260, "Earnings per Share." Basic earnings (loss) per share is computed by dividing earnings (loss) available to common stockholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted earnings or loss per share would include the dilutive effect of awards granted to employees under stock-based compensation plans, if any. There were no dilutive awards outstanding at December 31, 2014.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2014-10 "Development Stage Entities" (Topic 915). The objective of the ASU is to improve financial reporting by reducing the cost and complexity of associated with the incremental reporting requirements for development stage entities. The ASU removes all incremental financial reporting requirements from U.S. GAAP for development stage entities, including the inception-to-date information and certain other disclosures. The ASU also eliminates an exception provided to development stage entities in Topic 810 "Consolidation" for determining whether an entity is a variable interest entity on the basis of amount of investment equity at risk. For public business entities, those amendments are effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2014, and interim periods therein. Earlier adoption is permitted for any annual or interim period for which consolidated financial statements have not yet been issued. Accordingly, the Company has elected to adopt these changes effective July 17, 2012.

In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-12 "Compensation – Stock Compensation" (Topic 718). The ASU provides guidance for accounting for share-based payments when the terms of an award provide that a performance target could be achieved after the requisite service period. That is the case when an employee is eligible to retire or otherwise terminate employment before the end of the period in which a performance target could be achieved and still be eligible to vest in the award if and when the performance target is achieved. The amendment requires a performance target that affects vesting and that could be achieved after requisite service period be treated as a performance condition. Compensation cost should be recognized in the period in which it becomes probable that such performance condition would be achieved and should represent the compensation cost attributable to the periods for which the requisite service has already been rendered. Those amendments are effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015, and interim periods therein. The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact that adoption may have on its consolidated financial statements.

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-15 "Presentation of Financial Statements – Going Concern (Subtopic 205-40)." The ASU provides guidance on determining when and how to disclose going-concern uncertainties in the consolidated financial statements. The standard requires management to perform interim and annual assessments of an entity's ability to continue as a going concern within one year of the date the consolidated financial statements are issued. An entity must provide certain disclosures if "conditions or events raise substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern." The ASU applies to all entities and is effective for annual periods ending after December 15, 2016. Management is currently evaluating the potential impact that adoption may have on its consolidated financial statements and footnote disclosures.

Results of Operations

For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013

Revenues

To date, we have not generated any revenues, and do not expect to generate any revenue from the sale of products in the near future.

Operating Expenses

For the year ended December 31, 2014, our total operating expenses were \$2,731,661 as compared to \$120,403 for the year ended December 31, 2013. The increase in operating expenses was due primarily to an increase in research and development costs and consulting and professional fees in the year ended December 31, 2014, as discussed below.

Research and development. Research and development expenses for the year ended December 31, 2014 were \$227,500 which consisted of license fees payable to UM to obtain rights to the following three cannabinoid extracts for the purposes of FDA approval and commercialization:

- 1) UM 1490 – transmucosal delivery of cannabinoids
- 2) UM 5070 – treatment for methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infections
- 3) UM 8790 – ocular delivery of cannabinoids

For the year ended December 31, 2013, our research and development expenses were \$0.

General and administrative. General and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2014 were \$2,504,161 which primarily consisted of consulting fees and professional fees associated with our costs of becoming a public company. By comparison, our general and administrative expenses for year ended December 31, 2013 were \$120,403 which primarily consisted of consulting fees paid to an entity owned by Reg Lapham, our former officer and director.

Net Loss

For the year ended December 31, 2014, we had a net loss of \$2,734,166, as compared to a net loss of \$120,403 for the year ended December 31, 2013. We expect to incur net losses for the foreseeable future.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We had cash and cash equivalents of \$207,330 as of December 31, 2014, as compared to \$0 as of December 31, 2013. In January 2015, we raised an additional \$724,989 to be utilized to fund ongoing operations. We anticipate that we will continue to incur net losses into the foreseeable future as we continue to advance and develop a number of potential drug candidates into preclinical development activities and expand our corporate infrastructure which includes the costs associated with being a public company. Without additional funding, management believes that we will not have sufficient funds to meet our obligations within one year after the date the consolidated financial statements are issued. These conditions give rise to substantial doubt as to our ability to continue as a going concern.

We have been, and intend to continue, working toward identifying and obtaining new sources of financing. No assurances can be given that we will be successful in obtaining additional financing in the future. Any future financing that we may obtain may cause significant dilution to existing stockholders. Any debt financing or other financing of securities senior to common stock that we are able to obtain will likely include financial and other covenants that will restrict our flexibility. Any failure to comply with these covenants would have a negative impact on our business, prospects, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

If adequate funds are not available, we may be required to delay, scale back or eliminate portions of our operations or obtain funds through arrangements with strategic partners or others that may require us to relinquish rights to certain of our assets. Accordingly, the inability to obtain such financing could result in a significant loss of ownership and/or control of our assets and could also adversely affect our ability to fund our continued operations and our expansion efforts.

During the next twelve months, we expect to incur significant research and development expenses with respect to our products. The majority of our research and development activity is focused on development of potential drug candidates and preclinical trials.

We also expect to incur significant legal and accounting costs in connection with becoming a public company. We expect those fees will be significant and will continue to impact our liquidity. Those fees will be higher as our business volume and activity increases.

We anticipate that we will need to hire additional employees or independent contractors for our new laboratory at UM. We also anticipate that we will need to purchase or lease additional equipment for the Company's headquarters and laboratory facilities.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We did not have during the periods presented, and we do not currently have, any off-balance sheet arrangements, as defined under applicable SEC rules.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Not applicable.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

Our consolidated financial statements and the reports of our independent registered public accounting firm are included in this report on pages F-1 through F-15.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

(a) Effective on October 31, 2014 and with the approval of our Board of Directors, we dismissed Messineo & Co, CPAs LLC ("Messineo") as our independent registered public accounting firm engaged to audit our consolidated financial statements.

The report issued by Messineo dated December 23, 2013 relating to its audit of our balance sheet as of October 31, 2013, and the related statements of operations, changes in stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the fiscal year then ended, contained an explanatory paragraph stating that there was substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. Other than as disclosed above, such report did not contain an adverse opinion or disclaimer of opinion and were not qualified as to uncertainty, audit scope or accounting principles.

Our decision to dismiss Messineo is not the result of any disagreement between us and Messineo on matters of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure or auditing scope or procedures. During our two most recent fiscal years through the date of dismissal of Messineo, there were no disagreements with Messineo on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure or auditing scope or procedures, which disagreements, if not resolved to the satisfaction of Messineo, would have caused Messineo to make a reference to the subject matter of the disagreement in connection with its reports. Pursuant to the rules of the SEC applicable to smaller reporting companies, Messineo was not required to provide an attestation as to the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting for any period since our inception.

There were no reportable events (as that term is defined in Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K) during our two most recent fiscal years through the date of dismissal of Messineo. Our Board of Directors discussed the subject matter referred to above with Messineo. We authorized Messineo to respond fully and without limitation to all requests of our successor accountant concerning all matters related to the annual and interim periods audited and reviewed by Messineo, including with respect to the subject matter of any reportable event.

We provided Messineo with a copy of the above disclosures and requested that Messineo furnish a letter addressed to the SEC stating whether or not it agrees with the above statements, and, if not, stating the respects in which it does not agree. A copy of the letter dated November 18, 2014, is filed as Exhibit 16.1 to this Annual Report.

(b) Effective on October 31, 2014 and with the approval of our Board of Directors, we have engaged Mayer Hoffman McCann P.C. ("MHM") as our new independent registered public accounting firm. MHM was engaged by Nemus Sub before it became our wholly owned subsidiary to audit its consolidated financial statements for the six-month period ended June 30, 2014, year ended December 31, 2013, and the period from inception (July 17, 2012) to December 31, 2012 and the related statements of operations, changes in stockholders' deficit and cash flows for the periods then ended, which are filed as Exhibit 99.1 on Form 8-K filed on October 31, 2014.

During our two most recent fiscal years and through the date of our engagement of MHM, neither we nor anyone on our behalf consulted with MHM regarding either (i) the application of accounting principles to a specified transaction, either completed or proposed, or the type of audit opinion that might be rendered with respect to our consolidated financial statements, and no written report or oral advice was provided to us by MHM that was an important factor considered by us in reaching a decision as to any accounting, auditing or financial reporting issue; or (ii) any matter that was the subject of a disagreement (as that term is defined in Item 304(a)(1)(iv) of Regulation S-K promulgated under the Securities Act and the related instructions) or a reportable event (as that term is defined in Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K) relating to our company.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures.

We maintain controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management including our principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures. Based upon their evaluation of those controls and procedures performed as of the end of the period covered by this report, our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Management's annual report on internal control over financial reporting.

John B. Hollister, our Chief Executive Officer, and Elizabeth M. Berez, our Chief Financial Officer, are responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, our principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by our Board of Directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of consolidated financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that:

- pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets;
- provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of consolidated financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and our directors; and
- provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, our internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO") in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework*.

Based on our assessment, our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer believe that, as of December 31, 2014, our internal control over financial reporting is effective based on those criteria.

Accordingly, management believes, based on its knowledge, that (1) this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report, and (2) the consolidated financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows for the years and periods then ended.

This report does not include an attestation report of our independent registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by our independent registered public accounting firm pursuant to rules of the SEC that permit us to provide only management's report in this report.

Changes in internal control over financial reporting.

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the fourth quarter of the year ended December 31, 2014, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information.

None.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.

At the effective time of the Merger, our board of directors was reconstituted and John B. Hollister, Cosmas N. Lykos and Gerald W. McLaughlin became the members of our board of directors. Our executive management team was also reconstituted by the appointment of John B. Hollister, Dr. Brian S. Murphy and Elizabeth M. Berez. The name, age and position of our directors and executive officers following the Merger are as follows:

Name	Age	Position
John B. Hollister	54	Chief Executive Officer and Director
Dr. Brian S. Murphy	57	Chief Medical Officer
Elizabeth M. Berez	51	Chief Financial Officer, Secretary
Cosmas N. Lykos	47	Co-Founder and Chairman of the Board, Director
Gerald W. McLaughlin	46	Director
Thomas A. George	59	Director

John B. Hollister. Mr. Hollister was appointed as our Chief Executive Officer and a member of our Board of Directors in connection with the consummation of the Merger in October 2014. Mr. Hollister was the Chief Executive Officer and a director of Nemus Sub from June 2014 to October 2014. From 2013 to 2014, Mr. Hollister served as a strategic consultant working with early stage healthcare companies. From 2011 to 2013, Mr. Hollister served as Senior Vice President of Marketing for Tethys Bioscience, a diabetes diagnostic company. From 2006 to 2009, Mr. Hollister served as Chief Executive Officer of EEG Spectrum International, a private device company. From 1999 to 2004, Mr. Hollister served in a series of Commercial positions, including the Global Commercial Leader in Oncology at Amgen where he led multiple teams in developing oncology assets from preclinical to phase IV. Prior to Amgen, Mr. Hollister served as the Director of Marketing at Aviron, a vaccine start-up. Mr. Hollister started his pharmaceutical career at SmithKline Beecham from 1989 to 1997. Mr. Hollister has his BA in Economics from Stanford University and his MBA from the Drucker Center at the Claremont Graduate University. Mr. Hollister serves as a Board Member and Secretary of the Brain and Behavior Research Foundation.

Dr. Brian S. Murphy. Dr. Murphy was appointed as our Chief Medical Officer in connection with the consummation of the Merger in October 2014. Dr. Murphy was the Chief Medical Officer of Nemus Sub from August 2014 to October 2014. From 2009 to August 2014, Dr. Murphy served as the Chief Medical Officer of Eiger Biopharmaceuticals. From 2003 to 2006, Dr. Murphy was Chief Medical Officer at Epiphany Biosciences. From 2003 to 2006, Dr. Murphy was Chief Medical Officer at Valeant Pharmaceuticals International (VRX) where his responsibilities also included oversight of Global Medical Affairs and Pharmacovigilance. Dr. Murphy also served as Medical Director, then Vice President of Marketing and Commercial Strategy of Hepatology for InterMune, Inc. (ITMN). From 2000 to 2002, Dr. Murphy was Medical Director of North America for Antivirals/Interferons/Transplant at Hoffmann-LaRoche. Prior to joining industry, Dr. Murphy was Assistant Professor of Medicine at New York Medical College and was Director of the Clinical Strategies Program at St. Vincent's Hospital in New York City, the lead hospital of the Catholic Healthcare Network of New York. Dr. Murphy is board-certified in internal medicine and completed his residency in internal medicine at Tufts-New England Medical Center and served as Chief Medical Resident in the Boston University program. Dr. Murphy completed parallel fellowship tracts at Harvard Medical School, one in internal medicine/clinical Epidemiology at the Massachusetts General Hospital and the other in Medical Ethics addressing issues of distributive justice and access to care at Brigham & Women's Hospital. Dr. Murphy earned his MD, MPH (general public health), and MS (pharmacology) degrees from New York Medical College and is a graduate of the Harvard School of Public Health (MPH in Health Policy and Management). He earned his MBA at the Columbia University Graduate School of Business.

Elizabeth M. Berez. Ms. Berez was appointed as our Chief Financial Officer in connection with the consummation of the Merger in October 2014. Ms. Berez was the Chief Financial Officer of Nemus Sub from September 2014 to October 2014. Prior to joining the Company, Ms. Berez formerly held the position of Chief Financial Officer and Board Member of Bentley Mills, Inc. since December 2012. From October 2011 to December 2012, she was the Chief Financial Officer of PowerBalance Technologies. From December 2009 to June 2011, she held the position of Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Star Trac. Prior to this, Ms. Berez held several senior financial management positions with public companies in Silicon Valley. She began her career with Price Waterhouse and is a California CPA. She received her BA in Economics from Stanford University and a MA in Sports Management from the University of San Francisco.

Cosmas N. Lykos. Mr. Lykos was appointed as our Chairman of the Board and a member of our Board of Directors in connection with the consummation of the Merger in October 2014. Mr. Lykos co-founded Nemus Sub in 2012 and has served as its Chairman of the Board of Directors since August 2014 as well as a strategic advisor since inception. After graduating with Honors from Duke University School of Law in 1993, Mr. Lykos began his career at Gibson Dunn & Crutcher, LLP, an international full-service law firm, as a corporate associate until 1998. From 1998 to 2004, Mr. Lykos served as Vice President of Business Affairs, General Counsel, Secretary and Chief Compliance Officer of RemedyTemp, Inc., a NASDAQ publicly-traded temporary staffing firm with over 250 directly-owned and franchised offices nationwide. From 2004 until 2008, Mr. Lykos served as Vice President of Business Development, Chief Legal Officer, Secretary and Chief Compliance Officer of Oakley, Inc., a NYSE publicly-traded sports and technical eyewear, apparel, accessories and retail company. In January of 2008, he became Co-owner and President of the Optical Shop International, or OSI, a designer and distributor of licensed eyewear brands, including Chrome Hearts and Blinde, through two wholly-owned foreign subsidiaries with a direct and distributor sales network in over 60 countries. Primary responsibilities included developing and implementing OSI's vision and strategies and the management of its foreign subsidiaries, sales, legal, human resources, finance and administrative functions. In January 2011, Mr. Lykos negotiated and consummated the sale of OSI to its primary licensor, Chrome Hearts LLC. From January 2011 through present day, Mr. Lykos has been engaged to provide management and legal advisory services to Chrome Hearts Eyewear LLC and Chrome Hearts LLC. Mr. Lykos has extensive public and private company Board of Directors experience. As Chief Compliance and Legal Officer and Secretary of both Oakley, Inc. and RemedyTemp, Inc., Mr. Lykos attended all Board of Directors' meetings and Board committee meetings. As an angel investor, Mr. Lykos has made minority investments in various private companies and has served on their Board of Directors including Dragon Alliance, LLC, a youth lifestyle action sports brand selling eyewear, goggles and apparel in over 40 countries, and Lookmatic.com, an internet e-commerce eyewear company, selling prescription frames and sunglasses direct to consumers.

Gerald W. McLaughlin. Mr. McLaughlin was appointed as a member of our Board of Directors in connection with the consummation of the Merger in October 2014. Mr. McLaughlin currently serves as President and Chief Executive Officer of AgeneBio, Inc. a clinical-stage pharmaceutical company developing medicines to restore and preserve patients' cognitive function for a range of debilitating neurodegenerative diseases. From 2007 to 2014, Mr. McLaughlin acted as the lead commercial executive for NuPathe Inc., a specialty pharmaceutical company focused on the development and commercialization of branded therapeutics for diseases of the central nervous system including Zecuity[®], the first and only FDA-approved transdermal system for migraine. In his most recent position with NuPathe, Mr. McLaughlin served as Senior Vice President and Chief Commercial Officer where he helped provide corporate strategic direction and led the commercial organization until its acquisition in Q1 2014 by Teva Pharmaceuticals Ltd. From 2001 to 2007, Mr. McLaughlin served in several commercial leadership roles for Endo Pharmaceuticals, a mid-size specialty pharmaceutical company focused the development and commercialization of medicines targeting pain management and diseases of the central nervous system. His roles included Senior Director of Strategic Marketing where he established a strategic roadmap for the organization and performed commercial assessments for new opportunities encompassing all aspects of pain management including neuropathic pain, post-operative and breakthrough pain. From 1990 to 2001, Mr. McLaughlin worked for Merck & Co. Inc. in a variety of commercial roles including marketing leadership roles where he developed and implemented brand strategies for three product launches both for the US and global markets. Mr. McLaughlin received his BA in Economics from Dickinson College and his MBA from Villanova University.

Thomas A. George. Thomas A. George has served as a member of our Board of Directors since January 2015. Mr. George has over thirty years of experience in corporate finance and accounting, having served in a number of senior level positions with both public and private companies. Mr. George currently serves as the Chief Financial Officer of Deckers Brands (NYSE: DECK), which he joined in September 2009. Prior to Deckers Brands, Mr. George was with Ophthonix, Inc. where he served as Chief Financial Officer since February 2005. Prior to Ophthonix, Inc., Mr. George spent more than seven years as Chief Financial Officer for publicly held Oakley, Inc., now a division of Luxottica Group S.p.A. (NYSE: LUX). Earlier in his career, Mr. George held positions at Loral Corporation, International Totalizator Systems and Remeac Corporation. He began his career at Coopers & Lybrand where he became a Certified Public Accountant. Mr. George is a graduate of the University of Southern California.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Act of 1934 requires our directors, executive officers, and any persons who own more than 10% of a registered class of our equity securities, to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the Securities and Exchange Commission. SEC regulation requires executive officers, directors and greater than 10% stockholders to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file. Based solely on our review of the copies of such forms received by us, or written representations from certain reporting persons, we believe that during the year ended December 31, 2014, our executive officers, directors, and greater than 10% stockholders complied with all applicable filing requirements.

Family Relationships

There are no family relationships among our directors or executive officers.

Term of Office of Directors

Our directors are elected at each annual meeting of stockholders and serve until the next annual meeting of stockholders or until their successor has been duly elected and qualified, or until their earlier death, resignation or removal.

Directors and Officers Involvement in Certain Legal Proceedings

Our directors and executive officers have not been involved in any of the following events during the past ten years:

1. any bankruptcy petition filed by or against any business of which such person was a general partner or executive officer either at the time of the bankruptcy or within two years prior to that time;
2. any conviction in a criminal proceeding or being subject to a pending criminal proceeding (excluding traffic violations and other minor offenses);
3. being subject to any order, judgment, or decree, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, of any court of competent jurisdiction, permanently or temporarily enjoining, barring, suspending or otherwise limiting his involvement in any type of business, securities or banking activities; or
4. being found by a court of competent jurisdiction (in a civil action), the Commission or the Commodity Futures Trading Commission to have violated a federal or state securities or commodities law, and the judgment has not been reversed, suspended, or vacated.

Committees of the Board of Directors

We currently do not have nominating or compensation committees or committees performing similar functions nor do we have a written nominating or compensation committee charter. Due to the present size of our Board of Directors, our Board of Directors believes that it is not necessary to have separate standing nominating or compensation committees at this time because the functions of each such committee are adequately performed by our full Board of Directors. However, it is anticipated that our Board of Directors will form separate standing nominating and compensation committees, if and when our Board of Directors determines that the establishment of such committees is advisable as we seek to further develop our business and operations and potentially expand the size of our Board of Directors.

Audit Committee and Financial Expert

On February 23, 2015, our board established an audit committee which operates under a written charter that has been approved by our board. The members of our audit committee are Thomas A. George and Gerald W. McLaughlin. Mr. Georgeserves as chairman of the committee and our board has determined that he is an "audit committee financial expert" as defined by applicable SEC rules.

Our Audit Committee is responsible for: (1) selection and oversight of our independent accountant; (2) establishing procedures for the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints regarding accounting, internal controls and auditing matters; (3) establishing procedures for the confidential, anonymous submission by our employees of concerns regarding accounting and auditing matters; (4) engaging outside advisors; and, (5) approving fees for the independent auditor and any outside advisors engaged by the audit committee. The Audit Committee Charter is filed as Exhibit 99.1 to our Report on Form 8-K filed on February 27, 2015.

Nominations to the Board of Directors

We do not have any defined policy or procedural requirements for shareholders to submit recommendations or nominations for directors. The Board of Directors believes that, given the stage of our development, a specific nominating policy would be premature and of little assistance until our business operations develop to a more advanced level. We do not currently have any specific or minimum criteria for the election of nominees to the Board of Directors and we do not have any specific process or procedure for evaluating such nominees. The Board of Directors will assess all candidates, whether submitted by management or shareholders, and make recommendations for election or appointment.

Stockholder Communications

We do not have a formal policy regarding stockholder communications with our Board of Directors. A shareholder who wishes to communicate with our Board of Directors may do so by directing a written request addressed to our Chief Executive Officer, at the address appearing on the first page of this filing.

Item 11. Executive Compensation.

Summary Compensation Table

The following table sets forth information concerning the compensation earned for services rendered to the Company for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 of our principal executive officer and our two most highly compensated executive officers other than our principal executive officer who was serving as an executive officer as of December 31, 2014.

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE									
Name and Principal Position	Year Ended	Salary \$	Bonus \$	Stock Awards \$	Option Awards \$ (1)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation \$	Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings \$	All Other Compensation \$	Total \$
John B. Hollister, CEO	2014	76,154	0	0	685,200	0	0	0	761,354
Elizabeth M. Berecz, CFO	2014	51,923	0	0	438,500	0	0	0	490,423
Dr. Brian S. Murphy, CMO	2014	63,462	0	0	616,950	0	0	0	680,412

(1) Amounts reflect the full grant date fair value of stock options granted in 2014 computed in accordance with ASC Topic 718, rather than the amounts paid to or realized by the named individual. We provide information regarding the assumptions used to calculate the value of all stock options granted to our executives in Note 1 and 4 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

Employment Agreements

In 2014, we did not have employment agreements or severance or change in control arrangements with any of our executive officers.

In February 2015, we adopted a change in control severance plan, in which our named executive officers participate, that provides for the payment of severance benefits if the executive's service is terminated within twelve months following a change in control, either due to a termination without cause or upon a resignation for good reason (as each term is defined in the plan).

In either such event, and provided the executive timely executes and does not revoke a general release of claims against the Company, he or she will be entitled to receive: (i) a lump sum cash payment equal to at least six months' of the executive's monthly compensation, plus an additional month for each full year of service over six years, (ii) Company-paid premiums for continued health insurance for a period equal to length of the cash severance period or, if earlier, when executive becomes covered under a subsequent employer's healthcare plan, and (iii) full vesting of all then-outstanding unvested stock options and restricted stock awards.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-end. As of December 31, 2014, the named executive officers held the following outstanding stock options:

Option Awards					
Name	Grant Date	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options # Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options # Un-exercisable	Option Exercise Price	Option Expiration Date
John B. Hollister, CEO	10/31/2014	0	480,000	\$0.42	10/31/2024
	11/21/2014	0	200,000	\$0.42	11/21/2024
Dr. Brian S. Murphy, CMO	10/31/2014	0	480,000	\$0.42	10/31/2024
	11/21/2014	0	175,000	\$0.42	11/21/2024
Elizabeth M. Berez, CFO	10/31/2014	0	100,000	\$0.42	10/31/2024
	11/21/2014	0	150,000	\$0.42	11/21/2024

All of the options specified above vest as follows: 20% of total vests on each anniversary of the grant date over five years. The options granted expire 10 years after the date of grant.

There were no exercises of stock options by our named executive officers during the year ended December 31, 2014.

Director Compensation. Our directors received the following compensation for their service as directors of the Company during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014.

In June 2014 our subsidiary entered into an independent contractor agreement with K2C, Inc. ("K2C"), an entity that is wholly-owned by Mr. Lykos, pursuant to which we pay K2C a monthly fee for services performed by Mr. Lykos for our company. The initial term of this agreement will expire on June 1, 2015, subject to automatic one-year extensions. The monthly fee under the agreement originally was \$5,000; effective October 1, 2014, the monthly fee was increased to \$10,000. Under the agreement, Mr. Lykos is also eligible to participate in our health, death and disability insurance plans. In addition, beginning in 2015 Mr. Lykos is a participant in our change in control severance plan.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION(1)							
Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash	Stock Awards \$	Option Awards \$ (2)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation \$	Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings \$	All Other Compensation \$	Total \$
Cosmas N. Lykos, director	0	0	341,250	0	0	20,000(3)	361,250
Gerald W. McLaughlin, director	20,000	0	5,800	0	0	0	25,800

(1) Does not include compensation received for services provided as executive officers.

(2) Amounts reflect the full grant date fair value of stock options granted in 2014 computed in accordance with ASC Topic 718, rather than the amounts paid to or realized by the named individual. We provide information regarding the assumptions used to calculate the value of all stock options granted to our executives in Notes 1 and 4 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Form 10-K. As of December 31, 2014 Messrs. Hollister, Lykos and McLaughlin held stock options covering 680,000, 125,000 and 20,000 shares of common stock, respectively.

(3) Amount represents consulting fees paid in 2014 to K2C, Inc., which is wholly owned by Mr. Lykos, in respect of services performed by Mr. Lykos.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to beneficial ownership of our common stock, by:

- Each person known to be the beneficial owner of 5% or more of our outstanding common stock;
- Each executive officer;
- Each director; and
- All of the executive officers and directors as a group.

Beneficial ownership has been determined in accordance with Rule 13d-3 under the Exchange Act. Under this rule, certain shares may be deemed to be beneficially owned by more than one person (if, for example, persons share the power to vote or the power to dispose of the shares). In addition, shares are deemed to be beneficially owned by a person if the person has the right to acquire shares (for example, upon exercise of an option or warrant) within 60 days of the date as of which the information is provided. In computing the percentage ownership of any person, the amount of shares is deemed to include the amount of shares beneficially owned by such person by reason of such acquisition rights. As a result, the percentage of outstanding shares of any person as shown in the following table does not necessarily reflect the person's actual voting power at any particular date.

The information set forth in the table below is based on 16,265,663 shares of our common stock issued and outstanding on March 23, 2015.

To our knowledge, except as indicated in the footnotes to this table and pursuant to applicable community property laws, the persons named in the table have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of common stock shown as beneficially owned by them. Unless otherwise indicated, the address of each beneficial owner listed below is 650 Town Center Drive, Suite 1770, Costa Mesa, California 92626.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Class
Entities affiliated with Lennox Capital Partners, LP 2101 Cedar Springs Road, Suite 1525 Dallas, TX 75201	2,594,679 shares (1)	15.95%
Reg Lapham 375 Redondo Ave., #137 Long Beach, CA 90814	5,017,200 shares (2)	28.87%
John B. Hollister	-	-
Dr. Brian S. Murphy	-	-
Elizabeth M. Berecz	-	-
Gerald W. McLaughlin	-	-
Thomas A. George	-	-
Cosmas N. Lykos	4,484,400 shares (3)	25.81%
All executive officers and directors as a group	4,484,400 shares (3)	25.81%

- (1) Based on a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on December 17, 2014, consists of (i) 1,500,000 shares of common stock held by Lennox Capital Partners, LP, or the Partnership, (ii) 299,589 shares of common stock held by Southern Investments I, LLC, (iii) 465,862 shares of common stock held by TC Global Management LLC and (iv) 329,228 shares of common stock held by BRL TX-Family LP. RDS Holdings, Inc. is the general partner of the Partnership and Richard D. Squires is the President of RDS Holdings. Delos Investment Management LLC is the Partnership's investment manager and Brian D. Ladin is the managing member of Delos Investment Management and manager of Southern Investments, TC Global and BRL, LLC which is the general partner of BRL TX-Family.
- (2) Includes 1,110,000 shares of common stock underlying warrants granted to Reg Lapham, all of which may be exercised within 60 days of March 23, 2015.
- (3) Includes 1,110,000 shares of common stock underlying warrants granted to Cosmas N. Lykos, all of which may be exercised within 60 days of March 23, 2015.
- (4) Includes 1,110,000 shares of common stock underlying warrants granted to Cosmas N. Lykos, all of which may be exercised within 60 days of March 23, 2015.

Changes in Control. Our management is not aware of any arrangements which may result in "changes in control" as that term is defined by the provisions of Item 403(c) of Regulation S-K.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.

Transactions with Related Persons

Except as specified below, there have been no other transactions with related persons since the beginning of our last fiscal year, or any currently proposed transaction, in which we were or are to be a participant and the amount involved exceeds \$120,000, and in which any related person had or will have a direct or indirect material interest.

Nemus Sub was a party to an independent contractor agreement with an entity owned by Reg Lapham, our former officer and director, which provides services to Nemus Sub. The total compensation paid to that entity for the year ended December 31, 2014 was \$348,000, for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$120,000, and the period from inception (July 17, 2012) to December 31, 2012 was \$60,000. This independent contractor's agreement was terminated as of November 7, 2014.

Review, Approval and Ratification of Related Party Transactions

Given our small size and limited financial resources, we have not adopted formal policies and procedures for the review, approval or ratification of transactions, such as those described above, with our executive officers, Directors and significant stockholders. However, all of the transactions described above were approved and ratified by our Board of Directors. In connection with the approval of the transactions described above, our Board of Directors, took into account several factors, including their fiduciary duties to the Company; the relationships of the related parties described above to the Company; the material facts underlying each transaction; the anticipated benefits to the Company and related costs associated with such benefits; whether comparable products or services were available; and the terms the Company could receive from an unrelated third party.

We intend to establish formal policies and procedures in the future, once we have sufficient resources and have appointed additional Directors, so that such transactions will be subject to the review, approval or ratification of our Board of Directors, or an appropriate committee thereof. On a moving forward basis, our Board of Directors will continue to approve any related party transaction based on the criteria set forth above.

Conflicts Related to Other Business Activities

The persons serving as our officers and directors have existing responsibilities and, in the future, may have additional responsibilities, to provide management and services to other entities in addition to us. As a result, conflicts of interest between us and the other activities of those persons may occur from time to time.

We will attempt to resolve any such conflicts of interest in our favor. Our officers and directors are accountable to us and our shareholders as fiduciaries, which requires that such officers and directors exercise good faith and integrity in handling our affairs. A shareholder may be able to institute legal action on our behalf or on behalf of that shareholder and all other similarly situated shareholders to recover damages or for other relief in cases of the resolution of conflicts in any manner prejudicial to us.

Director Independence. We believe that Gerald W. McLaughlin and Thomas A. George are independent members of our Board of Directors as that term is defined by defined in Rule 4200(a)(15) of the Nasdaq Marketplace Rules.

Code of Ethics

On October 31, 2014, we adopted a formal code of ethics that applies to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions. A copy of our code of ethics is available on our website at www.nemusbioscience.com.

Insider Trading Policy

On October 31, 2014, our Board of Directors adopted an Insider Trading Policy applicable to all directors and officers. Insider trading generally refers to the buying or selling of a security in breach of a fiduciary duty or other relationship of trust and confidence while in possession of material, non-public information about the security. Insider trading violations may also include 'tipping' such information, securities trading by the person 'tipped,' and securities trading by those who misappropriate such information. The scope of insider trading violations can be wide reaching. As such, our Board of Directors has adopted an Insider Trading Policy that outlines the definitions of insider trading, the penalties and sanctions determined, and what constitutes material, non-public information. Illegal insider trading is against our policy as such trading can cause significant harm to the reputation for integrity and ethical conduct of our company. Individuals who fail to comply with the requirements of the policy are subject to disciplinary action, at our sole discretion, including dismissal for cause. All members of our Board of Directors and all executive officers are required to ratify the terms of this policy on an annual basis. Our Insider Trading Policy is available on our website at www.nemusbioscience.com.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services.

Audit Fees. The aggregate fees billed in each of the fiscal years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 for professional services rendered by the principal accountant for the audit of our annual consolidated financial statements and quarterly review of the consolidated financial statements included in our Form 10-K or services that are normally provided by the accountant in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements for those fiscal years were \$108,500 and \$18,000, respectively.

Audit-Related Fees. The aggregate fees billed in the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 for services reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the consolidated financial statements outside of those fees disclosed above under "Audit Fees" were \$3,400 and \$0, respectively.

Tax Fees. For each of the fiscal years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, our accountants rendered services for tax compliance, tax advice, and tax planning work for which we paid \$3,150 and \$0, respectively.

All Other Fees. None.

Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures. Prior to engaging our accountants to perform a particular service, our Board of Directors obtains an estimate for the service to be performed. All of the services described above were approved by the Board of Directors in accordance with its procedures.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules.

(a) Financial Statements. The following consolidated financial statements of Nemus Bioscience, Inc., together with the report thereon of Mayer Hoffman McCann P.C., an independent registered public accounting firm, are included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K:

	Page No.
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-1
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2014 and 2013	F-2
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Deficit for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013	F-5
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-6

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
NEMUS BIOSCIENCE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of **Nemus Bioscience, Inc. and Subsidiary** ("the Company") as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the related consolidated statements of operations and stockholders' deficit, and cash flows for each of the years then ended. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Nemus Bioscience, Inc. and Subsidiary as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company has incurred recurring operating losses and is dependent on additional financing to fund operations. These conditions raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regard to these matters are also described in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments to reflect the possible future effects on the recoverability and classification of assets or the amounts and classification of liabilities that may result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ Mayer Hoffman McCann P.C.

Orange County, California
March 27, 2015

**NEMUS BIOSCIENCE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

ASSETS

	December 31, 2014	December 31, 2013
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 207,330	\$ -
Prepaid expenses	64,489	-
Other current assets	36,580	-
Total current assets	<u>308,399</u>	<u>-</u>
Property and equipment, net	<u>21,354</u>	<u>-</u>
Other assets		
Deposits and other assets	18,594	-
Total other assets	<u>18,594</u>	<u>-</u>
Total assets	<u>\$ 348,347</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT

	December 31, 2014	December 31, 2013
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 409,497	\$ 2,153
Accrued payroll and related expenses	45,566	-
Accrued license and patent reimbursement fees	119,428	-
Accrued expenses	225,799	180,000
Income taxes payable	800	-
Total current liabilities	<u>801,090</u>	<u>182,153</u>
Noncurrent liabilities		
Long-term liabilities	805	-
Total liabilities	<u>801,895</u>	<u>182,153</u>
Commitments and contingencies (Note 3)		
Stockholders' deficit		
Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 236 million shares authorized; 16,000,000 issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2014 and 7,770,000 issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2013	16,000	1,000
Additional paid-in-capital	2,257,771	-
Warrants	190,000	-
Accumulated deficit	<u>(2,917,319)</u>	<u>(183,153)</u>
Total stockholders' deficit	<u>(453,548)</u>	<u>(182,153)</u>
Total liabilities and stockholders' deficit	<u>\$ 348,347</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

See accompanying notes to the audited consolidated financial statements.

**NEMUS BIOSCIENCE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

	<u>Year Ended December 31, 2014</u>	<u>Year Ended December 31, 2013</u>
Operating expenses		
Research and development	\$ 227,500	\$ -
General and administrative	<u>2,504,161</u>	<u>120,403</u>
Total operating expenses	<u>2,731,661</u>	<u>120,403</u>
Operating loss	<u>(2,731,661)</u>	<u>(120,403)</u>
Provision for income taxes	<u>2,505</u>	<u>-</u>
Net loss	<u>\$ (2,734,166)</u>	<u>\$ (120,403)</u>
Basic and diluted loss per common share	<u>\$ (0.27)</u>	<u>\$ (0.02)</u>
Shares used in computing basic and diluted loss per share	<u>10,291,836</u>	<u>7,770,000</u>

See accompanying notes to the audited consolidated financial statements.

NEMUS BIOSCIENCE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT

	<u>Common Stock</u>		<u>Additional Paid-In-Capital</u>	<u>Warrants</u>	<u>Accumulated Deficit</u>	<u>Total</u>
	<u>Shares</u>	<u>Amounts</u>				
Balance , December 31, 2012	7,770,000	\$ 1,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (62,750)	\$ (61,750)
Net loss for the year ended December 31, 2013	-	-	-	-	(120,403)	(120,403)
Balance , December 31, 2013	7,770,000	1,000	-	-	(183,153)	(182,153)
Issuance of common stock and warrants to investors, net of share issuance costs of \$10,020, pre-merger	4,000,000	1,799,980	-	190,000	-	1,989,980
Issuance of common stock to investors in prior entity	1,110,000	466,200	-	-	-	466,200
Reverse merger common stock issuance with par value	3,120,000	(2,251,180)	2,251,180	-	-	-
Share issuance costs, post merger		-	(4,180)	-		(4,180)
Stock based compensation expense	-	-	10,771	-	-	10,771
Net loss for the year ended December 31, 2014	-	-	-	-	(2,734,166)	(2,734,166)
Balance , December 31, 2014	<u>16,000,000</u>	<u>\$ 16,000</u>	<u>\$ 2,257,771</u>	<u>\$ 190,000</u>	<u>\$ (2,917,319)</u>	<u>\$ (453,548)</u>

See accompanying notes to the audited consolidated financial statements.

**NEMUS BIOSCIENCE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

	For The Year Ended December 31, 2014	For The Year Ended December 31, 2013
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (2,734,166)	\$ (120,403)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation	1,908	-
Stock issued to investors in a prior entity	466,200	-
Employee stock compensation expense for options	10,771	-
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Prepaid expenses	(64,489)	-
Other current assets	(36,580)	-
Deposits and other assets	(18,594)	-
Accounts payable	407,344	-
Accrued payroll and related expenses	45,566	-
Accrued license and patent reimbursement fees	119,428	-
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	47,404	120,403
	<u>(1,755,208)</u>	<u>-</u>
Net cash used in operating activities	<u>(1,755,208)</u>	<u>-</u>
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchases of property and equipment	(23,262)	-
	<u>(23,262)</u>	<u>-</u>
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(23,262)</u>	<u>-</u>
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from common stock and warrant issuance, net of offering costs of \$14,200	1,985,800	-
	<u>1,985,800</u>	<u>-</u>
Net cash provided by financing activities	<u>1,985,800</u>	<u>-</u>
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	207,330	-
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	-	-
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	<u>\$ 207,330</u>	<u>\$ -</u>
<i>Supplemental disclosures of cash-flow information:</i>		
Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest	\$ -	\$ 403
Income taxes	\$ 1,705	\$ -

See accompanying notes to the audited consolidated financial statements.

NEMUS BIOSCIENCE, INC. and SUBSIDIARY
NOTES TO THE AUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Nature of Operations, Business Activities and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Nature of Operations and Basis of Presentation

Nemus Bioscience, Inc. is a biopharmaceutical company that plans to develop and commercialize therapeutics from cannabinoids through a partnership with the University of Mississippi. The University of Mississippi ("UM") is federally permitted and licensed to cultivate cannabis for research and commercial purposes. Unless otherwise specified, references in these Notes to the Audited Consolidated Financial Statements to the "Company," "we" or "our" refer to Nemus Bioscience, Inc., a Nevada corporation formerly known as Load Guard Logistics, Inc. ("LGL"), together with its wholly-owned subsidiary, Nemus, a California corporation ("Nemus"). Nemus became the wholly owned subsidiary of Nemus Bioscience, Inc. through the Merger (as defined below).

Nemus Bioscience, Inc. (formerly LGL) was incorporated in Nevada on March 16, 2011. Nemus was incorporated in California on July 17, 2012. Our headquarters are located in Costa Mesa, California.

As of December 31, 2014, the Company has devoted substantially all of its efforts to securing product licenses, raising capital, and building infrastructure, and has not realized revenue from its planned principal operations.

Business Activities

On October 31, 2014, pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated October 17, 2014 (the "Merger Agreement"), LGL, Nemus Acquisition Corp. ("Acquisition Sub"), Nemus Bioscience, Inc. ("Name Change Merger Sub"), and Nemus, Acquisition Sub merged with and into Nemus and Nemus survived as a wholly-owned subsidiary of LGL (the "Merger"). Immediately after the Merger, LGL changed its name to "Nemus Bioscience, Inc." by merging with Name Change Merger Sub. Pursuant to the Merger Agreement, each share of Nemus was exchanged for 12,880,000 shares of LGL. Upon consummation of the Merger, we had 16,000,000 shares of common stock, no shares of preferred stock, and warrants to purchase 4,000,000 shares of common stock issued and outstanding.

The Merger is being accounted for as a reverse-merger and recapitalization. Nemus is the acquirer for financial reporting purposes and LGL is the acquired company. Consequently, the assets and liabilities and the operations that will be reflected in the historical consolidated financial statements prior to the Merger will be those of Nemus and will be recorded at the historical cost basis of Nemus, and the consolidated financial statements after completion of the Merger will include the assets and liabilities of LGL and Nemus, the historical operations of Nemus and the operations of the Nemus from and after the closing date of the Merger.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("U.S. GAAP") requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expense during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Liquidity and Going Concern

The Company has incurred operating losses and negative cash flows from operations since our inception. As of December 31, 2014, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$207,330. In January 2015, we raised an additional \$724,989 (see note 6) to be utilized to fund operations. The Company anticipates that it will continue to incur net losses into the foreseeable future as it continues to advance and develop a number of potential drug candidates into preclinical development activities and expands its corporate infrastructure which includes the costs associated with being a public company. Without additional funding, management believes that the Company will not have sufficient funds to meet its obligations within one year after the date the consolidated financial statements are issued. These conditions give rise to substantial doubt as to the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. The accompanying consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

The Company plans to continue to fund its losses from operations and capital funding needs through public or private equity or debt financings, strategic collaborations, licensing arrangements, asset sales, government grants or other arrangements. However, the Company cannot be sure that such additional funds will be available on reasonable terms, or at all. If the Company raises additional funds by issuing equity securities, substantial dilution to existing stockholders would result. If the Company is unable to secure adequate additional funding, the Company may be forced to make a reduction in spending, extend payment terms with suppliers, liquidate assets where possible, and/or suspend or curtail planned programs.

NEMUS BIOSCIENCE, INC. and SUBSIDIARY
NOTES TO THE AUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. The carrying value of those investments approximates their fair market value due to their short maturity and liquidity. Cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand and amounts on deposit with financial institutions, which amounts may at times exceed federally insured limits. The Company has not experienced any losses on such accounts and does not believe it is exposed to any significant credit risk.

Fair Value Measurements

Certain assets and liabilities are carried at fair value under U.S. GAAP. Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. Valuation techniques used to measure fair value must maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. A fair value hierarchy based on three levels of inputs, of which the first two are considered observable and the last is considered unobservable, is used to measure fair value:

Level 1: Valuations for assets and liabilities traded in active markets from readily available pricing sources such as quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2: Observable inputs (other than Level 1 quoted prices) such as quoted prices in active markets for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices in markets that are not active for identical or similar assets or liabilities, or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to determining the fair value of the assets or liabilities, including pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies and similar techniques.

The carrying values of our financial instruments, including, cash and cash equivalents, prepaid expenses, accounts payable, and accrued expenses approximate their fair value due to the short maturities of these financial instruments. We do not have financial assets or liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

Property and Equipment, Net

As of December 31, 2014, property and equipment, net, was \$21,354, consisting primarily of computers and equipment. The Company had \$0 of property and equipment as of December 31, 2013. Expenditures for additions, renewals and improvements will be capitalized at cost. Depreciation will generally be computed on a straight-line methods based on the estimated useful life of the related assets currently ranging from two to three years. Maintenance and repairs that do not extend the life of assets are charged to expense when incurred. When properties are disposed of, the related costs and accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and any gain or loss is reported in the period the transaction takes place.

Property and equipment are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to estimated undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If the carrying amount exceeds its estimated future undiscounted cash flows, an impairment charge is recognized by the amount by which the carrying amount exceeds the fair value of the asset.

The costs incurred for the rights to use licensed technologies in the research and development process, including licensing fees and milestone payments, will be charged to research and development expense as incurred in situations where the Company has not identified an alternative future use for the acquired rights, and are capitalized in situations where there is an identified alternative future use. No cost associated with the use of licensed technologies has been capitalized to date.

NEMUS BIOSCIENCE, INC. and SUBSIDIARY
NOTES TO THE AUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for our deferred income tax assets and liabilities based on differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities, and net operating loss carry forwards (the "NOLs") and other tax credit carry forwards. These items are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. The effect of a change in tax rates on deferred tax assets and liabilities is recognized in the period that includes the enactment date. Any interest or penalties would be recorded in the Company's statement of operations in the period incurred.

The Company records a valuation allowance to reduce the deferred income tax assets to the amount that is more likely than not to be realized. In making such determinations, management considers all available positive and negative evidence, including scheduled reversals of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, tax planning strategies and recent financial operations. As a result there are no income tax benefits reflected in the statement of operations to offset pre-tax losses.

The Company recognizes a tax benefit from uncertain tax positions when it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained upon examination, including resolutions of any related appeals or litigation processes, based on the technical merits of the position.

Revenue Recognition

The Company has not begun planned principal operations and has not generated any revenue since inception.

Research and Development Expenses

Research and development ("R&D") costs are expensed when incurred. These costs may consist of external research and development expenses incurred under agreements with third-party contract research organizations and investigative sites, third-party manufacturing organizations and consultants; employee-related expenses, which include salaries, benefits and stock-based compensation for the personnel involved in our preclinical and clinical drug development activities; and facilities expense, depreciation and other allocated expenses; and equipment and laboratory supplies.

Stock-Based Compensation Expenses

Stock-based compensation cost is estimated at the grant date based on the fair value of the award, and the cost is recognized as expense ratably over the vesting period. We use the Black-Scholes option pricing model for estimating the grant date fair value of stock options and warrants using the following assumptions:

- Exercise price - We determined the exercise price based on valuations using the best information available to management at the time of the valuations.
- Volatility – We estimate the stock price volatility based on industry peers who are also in the early development stage given the limited market data available in the public arena.
- Expected term - The expected term is based on a simplified method which defines the life as the average of the contractual term of the options and warrants and the weighted-average vesting period for all open awards.
- Risk-free rate - The risk-free interest rate for the expected term of the option or warrant is based on the average market rate on U.S. treasury securities in effect during the quarter in which the awards were granted.
- Dividends – The dividend yield assumption is based on our history and expectation of paying no dividends.

For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, stock-based compensation expense was \$10,771 and \$0, respectively.

NEMUS BIOSCIENCE, INC. and SUBSIDIARY
NOTES TO THE AUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Segment Information

The Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") No. 280, "Segment Reporting" establishes standards for reporting information about reportable segments. Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise about which separate financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker, or decision-making group ("CODM"), in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. The CODM evaluates revenues and gross profits based on product lines and routes to market. Based on the early development stage of our operation, we operate in a single reportable segment.

Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Comprehensive income (loss) is defined as the change in equity during a period from transactions and other events and circumstances from non-owner sources. The Company is required to record all components of comprehensive loss in the consolidated financial statements in the period in which they are recognized. Net income (loss) and other comprehensive loss, net of their related tax effect, arrived at a comprehensive loss. For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, the comprehensive loss was equal to the net loss.

Earnings per share

The Company applies FASB ASC 260, "Earnings per Share." Basic earnings (loss) per share is computed by dividing earnings (loss) available to common stockholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted earnings or loss per share would include the dilutive effect of awards granted to employees under stock-based compensation plans, if any. There were no dilutive awards outstanding at December 31, 2014.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2014-10 "Development Stage Entities" (Topic 915). The objective of the ASU is to improve financial reporting by reducing the cost and complexity of associated with the incremental reporting requirements for development stage entities. The ASU removes all incremental financial reporting requirements from U.S. GAAP for development stage entities, including the inception-to-date information and certain other disclosures. The ASU also eliminates an exception provided to development stage entities in Topic 810 "Consolidation" for determining whether an entity is a variable interest entity on the basis of amount of investment equity at risk. For public business entities, those amendments are effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2014, and interim periods therein. Earlier adoption is permitted for any annual or interim period for which consolidated financial statements have not yet been issued. Accordingly, the Company has elected to adopt these changes effective July 17, 2012.

In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-12 "Compensation – Stock Compensation" (Topic 718). The ASU provides guidance for accounting for share-based payments when the terms of an award provide that a performance target could be achieved after the requisite service period. That is the case when an employee is eligible to retire or otherwise terminate employment before the end of the period in which a performance target could be achieved and still be eligible to vest in the award if and when the performance target is achieved. The amendment requires a performance target that affects vesting and that could be achieved after requisite service period be treated as a performance condition. Compensation cost should be recognized in the period in which it becomes probable that such performance condition would be achieved and should represent the compensation cost attributable to the periods for which the requisite service has already been rendered. Those amendments are effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015, and interim periods therein. The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact that adoption may have on its consolidated financial statements.

NEMUS BIOSCIENCE, INC. and SUBSIDIARY
NOTES TO THE AUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-15 "Presentation of Financial Statements – Going Concern (Subtopic 205-40)." The ASU provides guidance on determining when and how to disclose going-concern uncertainties in the consolidated financial statements. The standard requires management to perform interim and annual assessments of an entity's ability to continue as a going concern within one year of the date the consolidated financial statements are issued. An entity must provide certain disclosures if "conditions or events raise substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern." The ASU applies to all entities and is effective for annual periods ending after December 15, 2016. Management is currently evaluating the potential impact that adoption may have on its consolidated financial statements and footnote disclosures.

2. University of Mississippi ("UM") Agreements

In July 2013, the Company entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the UM to engage in joint research of extracting, manipulating, and studying cannabis in certain forms to develop intellectual property (IP) with the intention to create and commercialize therapeutic medicines. Nemus will own all IP developed solely by its employees and will jointly own all IP developed jointly between Nemus and UM employees. The term of the MOU agreement is five years and the parties agree to negotiate separate Research agreements upon the identification of patentable technologies as well as any deemed to be a trade secret. The agreement can be terminated by either party upon providing a three month written notice.

On May 15, 2014, the Company entered into an Option Agreement in which UM granted Nemus a three-month option for conducting due diligence to exclusively license a suppository dosage form containing Dronabinol Hemi succinate and other esters ("NPC 4718"). UM waived its normal option fee of \$7,500 per month during the option period. Upon exercise of the option, the Company agreed to negotiate in good faith a license agreement, which is discussed below.

On July 1, 2014, the Company entered into three additional Option Agreements, pursuant to which UM granted Nemus three-month exclusive options for conducting due diligence on the following three cannabinoid extracts [to exclusively license them] for the purposes of obtaining FDA approval and commercializing the extracts:

- 1) UM 1490 – transmucosal delivery of cannabinoids
- 2) UM 5070 – treatment for methicillin-resistant *Staphylococ* infections
- 3) UM 8790 – ocular delivery of cannabinoids

On August 12, 2014, Nemus provided the requisite written notice to UM and exercised its option to exclusively license UM's rights to UM 1490, UM 5070 and UM 8790.

On September 29, 2014, the Company executed three license agreements for UM 1490, UM 5070 and UM 8790, respectively, which contain certain milestone and royalty payments, as defined therein. There is a one-time upfront payment of \$65,000 per license agreement, payable in four equal monthly installments starting on October 1, 2014. There is an annual fee of \$25,000 per license agreement, payable on the anniversary of each effective date. These licenses also require the Company to reimburse UM for patent costs incurred related to these products under license and these costs amounted to \$16,780 for the year ended December 31, 2014. In the case of UM 8790 the Company is also required to reimburse sunk patent expenses of \$70,678 by February 15, 2015; this amount was reflected in accrued license and patent reimbursement fees as of December 31, 2014. These license agreements will terminate upon expiration of the patents, breach or default of the license agreements, or upon 60 days written notice by the Company to UM.

On October 15, 2014, we signed a renewable option agreement for the rights to explore other routes of delivery of UM5050 not yet agreed upon and/or in combination with other cannabinoids or other compatible compounds. There is a one-time up-front option payment of \$10,000 paid on November 15, 2014 and the option period is for six months expiring on March 31, 2015. At the end of the option period, the Company has the right to renew for an additional six months under the same financial terms and conditions.

NEMUS BIOSCIENCE, INC. and SUBSIDIARY
NOTES TO THE AUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

3. Commitments and Contingencies

Lease Commitments

The Company leased temporary headquarters facilities under a month-to-month operating lease agreement. This lease was terminated effective December 31, 2014. Monthly rent expense under this lease was \$2,060, commencing June 23, 2014.

On September 1, 2014, the Company signed an operating lease for laboratory and office space at the Innovation Hub, Insight Park located on the University of Mississippi campus. The lease term commences on October 1, 2014 and expires on December 31, 2017. There are annual escalating rent provisions and two months of free rent in the agreement. The total cash payments over the life of the lease are divided by the total number of months in the lease period and the average rent will be charged to expense each month during the lease period. The monthly amount to be charged to rent expense is \$9,000.

In October of 2014, we signed a lease agreement for our corporate office headquarters that consists of approximately 4,087 square feet located at 650 Town Center Drive, Suite 1770, Costa Mesa, CA 92626. The lease expires on October 31, 2016 and our monthly rent is \$5,373, payable in equal monthly installments with annual escalations.

Total net rent expense related to our operating leases for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 was \$60,736 and \$0, respectively.

Future minimum payments under the non-cancelable portion of our operating leases as of December 31, 2014 are as follows:

Years ending December 31,	
2015	\$ 173,200
2016	165,700
2017	85,900
2018	-
2019	-
Thereafter	-
Total	\$ 424,800

Independent Contractor Agreements

The Company has entered into independent contractor agreements with individuals that are operating in the capacity of our management team, or that are serving in an advisory role. These agreements were effective at various dates commencing July 17, 2012, and can be terminated upon 30 - 90 days' notice. Independent contractor expense for the year ended December 31, 2014 was \$465,500 and for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$120,000. One of these contractors accounted for 13% of our total expenditures for the year ended December 31, 2014 and for 100% of our total expenditures for the year ended December 31, 2013. This independent contractor's agreement was terminated as of November 7, 2014. All other independent contractors holding management team positions converted to full-time employees prior to December 31, 2014.

NEMUS BIOSCIENCE, INC. and SUBSIDIARY
NOTES TO THE AUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Legal Matters

General Litigation and Disputes

From time to time, in the normal course of our operations, we may be a party to litigation and other dispute matters and claims. Currently Nemus is not party to any litigation, dispute matters or claims. Litigation can be expensive and disruptive to normal business operations. Moreover, the results of complex legal proceedings are difficult to predict and our view of these matters may change in the future as the litigation and events related thereto unfold. An unfavorable outcome to any legal matter, if material, could have a materially adverse effect on our operations or our financial position, liquidity or results of operations.

Government Proceedings

Like other companies in the pharmaceutical industry, we are subject to extensive regulation by national, state and local government agencies in the United States. As a result, interaction with government agencies occurs in the normal course of our operations. It is possible that criminal charges and substantial fines and/or civil penalties or damages could result from any government investigation or proceeding. As of December 31, 2014, the Company had no current proceedings or inquiries.

4. Equity

Common Stock

On July 17, 2012, the Company issued 7,770,000 shares of common stock with no par value and warrants (see first paragraph under warrants below) to its founders and one board member in exchange for the services provided to establish Nemus, valued at approximately \$1,000.

In June of 2014, the Company sold 1,800,000 shares of common stock with no par value and warrants for a purchase price of \$900,000 (the "June 2014 Stock Purchase Agreement") to a group of private investors. See additional discussion on warrants below.

In August of 2014, the Company sold 2,200,000 shares of common stock with no par value and warrants for a purchase price of \$1,100,000 to a group of private investors. See additional discussion on warrants below.

In October of 2014, the Company issued 1,110,000 shares of common stock with no par value to eighteen individual investors that had participated in a prior entity founded by Nemus' then current president. Such entity has been insolvent and not operating since the inception date of Nemus. The issuance of these shares was in exchange for the signing of a release of claims against the Company, its President, and the former entity. The Company recorded a general and administrative expense of \$466,200 in the fourth quarter of 2014 to reflect the fair market value of the common stock issued in exchange for the release of claims. The fair market value of the common stock issued was determined via an independent third-party valuation conducted as of October 31, 2014.

Preferred Stock

The Company has authorized 20,000,000 shares of preferred stock with a par value of \$0.001 per share; there were no shares issued or outstanding as of December 31, 2014 and 2013.

Warrants

On July 17, 2012, the Company issued warrants to purchase up to 3,000,000 shares of our common stock to its founders and two advisors in consideration for services provided in the start-up of operations. The warrants are exercisable at a price of \$1.00 per share and expire on June 20, 2023. The Company valued these warrants utilizing the Black-Scholes valuation model and they were determined to be of nominal value given the start-up nature of the Company's operations at the time of grant.

In conjunction with the June 2014 Stock Purchase Agreement, the Company issued warrants to purchase up to 450,000 shares of common stock to a group of private investors. The warrants are exercisable at a price of \$1.00 per share and expire on June 12, 2020. The Company valued these warrants at \$85,500. This amount was recorded as warrants and was reclassified from the total consideration received for both the common stock and warrants purchased.

NEMUS BIOSCIENCE, INC. and SUBSIDIARY
NOTES TO THE AUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

In August of 2014 as part of the June 2014 Stock Purchase Agreement, the Company issued warrants to purchase up to 550,000 shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$1.00 per share that expire in August 2020. The Company valued these warrants at \$104,500. This amount was recorded as warrants and was reclassified from the total consideration received for both the common stock and warrants purchased.

The Company's board of directors considered various objective and subjective factors, along with input from management, to determine the fair value of the warrants, including:

- Contemporaneous valuation prepared by an independent third-party valuation specialist effective as of June 30, 2014 and October 31, 2014,
- Its results of operations, financial position and the status of research and development efforts and achievement of enterprise milestones,
- The composition of, and changes to, the Company's management team and board of directors,
- The lack of liquidity of its common stock as a private company,
- The Company's stage of development, business strategy and the material risks related to its business and industry,
- The valuation of publicly-traded companies in the biotechnology sectors,
- External market conditions affecting the biotechnology industry sectors,
- The likelihood of achieving a liquidity event for the holders of its common stock, such as an initial public offering, or IPO, or a sale of the Company, given prevailing market conditions, and
- The state of the IPO market for similarly situated privately held biotechnology companies.

There are significant judgments and estimates inherent in the determination of the fair value of the Company's warrants. These judgments and estimates include the assumptions regarding its future operating performance, the time to completing an IPO or other liquidity event and the determination of the appropriate valuation methods. If the Company had made different assumptions, its warrant valuation could have been significantly different.

Stock Option Plans: 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan

The 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan (the "2014 Plan") was adopted to provide a means by which officers, non-employee directors, and employees of and consultants to the Company and its affiliates could be given an opportunity to acquire an equity interest in the Company. All officers, non-employee directors, and employees of and consultants to the Company are eligible to participate in the 2014 Plan.

On October 31, 2014, after the closing of the Merger, our Board of Directors approved the 2014 Plan. The 2014 Plan reserved 3,200,000 shares for future grants. As of December 31, 2014, options (net of canceled or expired options) covering an aggregate of 1,730,000 shares of the Company's common stock had been granted under the 2014 Plan, and the Company had 1,730,000 options outstanding and 1,470,000 shares available for future grants under the 2014 Plan.

Options granted under the 2014 Plan expire no later than 10 years from the date of grant. Options granted under the 2014 Plan may be either incentive or non-qualified stock options. For incentive and non-qualified stock option grants, the option price shall be at least 100% of the fair value on the date of grants, as determined by the Company's Board of Directors. If at any time the Company grants an option, and the optionee directly or by attribution owns stock possessing more than 10% of the total combined voting power of all classes of stock of the Company, the option price shall be at least 110% of the fair value and shall not be exercisable more than five years after the date of grant.

NEMUS BIOSCIENCE, INC. and SUBSIDIARY
NOTES TO THE AUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Options granted under the 2014 Plan may be immediately exercisable if permitted in the specific grant approved by the Board of Directors and, if exercised early may be subject to repurchase provisions. The shares acquired generally vest over a period of five years from the date of grant. The Company granted options to purchase 1,730,000 shares during the year ended December 31, 2014, under the 2014 Plan.

The following is a summary of activity under the 2014 Plan as of December 31, 2014:

	Options Outstanding			Weighted Average Exercise Price
	Shares Available for Grant of Options	Number of Shares	Price per Share	
Balance at December 31, 2013	0	0	NA	NA
Approval of authorized shares	3,200,000			
Options granted	(1,730,000)	1,730,000	\$ 0.42	\$ 0.42
Options exercised	0	0		
Options cancelled	0	0		
Balance at December 31, 2014	<u>1,470,000</u>	<u>1,730,000</u>	\$ 0.42	\$ 0.42

The weighted average remaining contractual life in years of the options outstanding as of December 31, 2014 was 9.86 years.

Aggregate intrinsic value is the sum of the amounts by which the quoted market price of the Company's stock exceeded the exercise price of the stock options at December 31, 2014 for those stock options for which the quoted market price was in excess of the exercise price ("in-the-money options"). As of December 31, 2014, the aggregate intrinsic value of options outstanding was \$12,680,900. As of December 31, 2014, no options to purchase shares of common stock were exercisable.

Stock Based Compensation Expense

The Company recognizes stock-based compensation expense based on the fair value of that portion of stock options that are ultimately expected to vest during the period. Stock-based compensation expense recognized in the consolidated statement of operations includes compensation expense for stock-based awards based on the estimated grant date fair value over the requisite service period. For the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company recognized stock-based compensation expense of \$10,772 which was recorded as a general and administrative expense in the consolidated statement of operations.

The total amount of unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock options was \$2,076,928 as of December 31, 2014. This amount will be recognized over a weighted average period of 4.86 years.

Valuation Assumptions

The fair value of options was estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. Expected volatility is based on the historical volatility of the Company's common stock for similar terms. The expected term was estimated using the simplified method as permitted under SAB No. 110, since the Company has no recent exercise or forfeiture history that is representative of options granted during the year. The expected term represents the estimated period of time that stock options are expected to be outstanding, which is less than the contractual term which is generally ten years. The risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield. The expected dividend yield is zero, as the Company does not anticipate paying dividends in the near future. The weighted average assumptions for employee options are as follows:

NEMUS BIOSCIENCE, INC. and SUBSIDIARY
NOTES TO THE AUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Years Ended December 31	
	2014	2013
Dividend yield	0.00%	NA
Volatility factor	75.00%	NA
Risk-free interest rate	1.93%	NA
Expected term (years)	6.5	NA
Weighted-average fair value of options granted during the periods	\$1.21	NA

5. Income Taxes

At December 31, 2014, the Company had net operating loss carry forwards ("NOLs") aggregating approximately \$2,434,000 which, if not used, expire in 2034. The utilization of these NOLs may become subject to limitations based on past and future changes in ownership of the Company pursuant to Internal Revenue Code Section 382.

The tax effects of temporary differences and carryforwards that give rise to significant portions of the deferred income tax assets are as follows:

	As of December 31,	
	2014	2013
Current deferred tax assets/(liabilities):		
Capitalized research and development costs	\$ 25,265	\$ -
Other	10,314	-
Net operating loss	989,544	-
Gross deferred tax assets	1,025,123	-
Valuation allowance	(1,025,123)	-
Total deferred tax assets	\$ -	\$ -

The Company records a valuation allowance against deferred tax assets to the extent that it is more likely than not that some portion, or all of, the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Due to the substantial doubt related to the Company's ability to continue as a going concern and utilize its deferred tax assets, a valuation allowance for the full amount of the deferred tax assets has been established at December 31, 2014. As a result of this valuation allowance there are no income tax benefits reflected in the accompanying statement of operations to offset pre-tax losses.

The Company has no uncertain tax positions as of December 31, 2014 due to limited nature of its operations.

6. Subsequent Events

Common Stock Issuance

In January of 2015, the Company sold 241,663 shares of common stock with par value of \$.001 for a purchase price of \$724,989 to a group of private investors.

UM agreements

In March of 2015, the Company entered into a research agreement with UM to begin studies concerning the medical utility of cannabinoids as anti-infective therapeutics for MRSA. The fee payable to UM under the agreement is \$67,000 and is payable in four equal installments based on the achievement of certain milestones in the project. The agreement also grants an exclusive option to license the technology from the University within one hundred and eighty days from the commencement of the agreement. Either party may terminate the agreement with thirty (30) days written notice.

Change in Control Severance Plan

In February 2015, we adopted a change in control severance plan, in which our named executive officers participate, that provides for the payment of severance benefits if the executive's service is terminated within twelve months following a change in control, either due to a termination without cause or upon a resignation for good reason (as each term is defined in the plan).

In either such event, and provided the executive timely executes and does not revoke a general release of claims against the Company, he or she will be entitled to receive: (i) a lump sum cash payment equal to at least six months' of the executive's monthly compensation, plus an additional month for each full year of service over six years, (ii) Company-paid premiums for continued health insurance for a period equal to length of the cash severance period or, if earlier, when executive becomes covered under a subsequent employer's healthcare plan, and (iii) full vesting of all then-outstanding unvested stock options and restricted stock awards.

(b) Exhibits required by Item 601.

The following exhibits are filed with this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit
3.1	Articles of Incorporation of Registrant (2)
3.2	Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant (2)
3.3	Bylaws of Registrant (2)
3.4	Certificate of Change of Registrant(3)
3.5	Articles of Merger of Registrant and Nemus Bioscience, Inc.(4)
4.1	Form of Warrants issued by Nemus to certain security holders to purchase an aggregate of 3,000,000 shares of commons stock(4)
4.2	Form of Warrants issued by Nemus to certain security holders to purchase an aggregate of 1,000,000 shares of commons stock(4)
10.1	Nemus Bioscience Inc. 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan(4)
10.2	Form of Stock Option Agreement under 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan(4)
10.3	Memorandum of Understanding, dated July 31, 2013, between Nemus and University of Mississippi, National Center for Natural Products Research(4)
10.4	Option Agreement dated May 15, 2014, between Nemus and University of Mississippi, National Center for Natural Products Research(4)
10.5	Amendment dated June 26, 2014, to the Option Agreement dated May 15, 2014, between Nemus and University of Mississippi, National Center for Natural Products Research(4)
10.6	Option Agreement dated July 1, 2014, between Nemus and University of Mississippi, National Center for Natural Products Research(4)
10.7	Option Agreement dated July 1, 2014, between Nemus and University of Mississippi, National Center for Natural Products Research(4)
10.8	Option Agreement dated July 1, 2014, between Nemus and University of Mississippi, National Center for Natural Products Research(4)
10.9	License Agreement, dated September 29, 2014, between Nemus and the University of Mississippi, School of Pharmacy(4)
10.10	License Agreement, dated September 29, 2014, between Nemus and the University of Mississippi, School of Pharmacy(4)
10.11	License Agreement, dated September 29, 2014, between Nemus and the University of Mississippi, School of Pharmacy(4)
10.12	Lease Agreement dated September 1, 2014 between University of Mississippi Research Foundation, Inc. and Nemus(4)
10.13	Center Tower Lease dated October 13, 2014, by and between Nemus and Center Tower Associates LLC.(4)
10.14	Amendment dated August 15, 2014, to the Option Agreement dated July 1, 2014, between Nemus and University of Mississippi, National Center for Natural Products Research(4)
10.15	Option Agreement dated October 15, 2014, between Nemus and University of Mississippi, National Center for Natural Products Research(4)
10.16	Second Amendment dated October 15, 2014, to the Option Agreement dated July 1, 2014, between Nemus and University of Mississippi, National Center for Natural Products Research(4)
10.17	Common Stock Purchase Agreement, dated January 7, 2015, by and between Nemus Bioscience, Inc. and certain investors(5)
10.18	Registration Rights Agreement, dated January 7, 2015, by and between Nemus Bioscience, Inc. and certain investors(5)
10.19	Form of Indemnification Agreement (6)
10.20	Nemus Bioscience, Inc. Officer Change in Control Severance Plan(7)
16.1	Letter on Change in Certifying Accountant(4)
21.1	Subsidiaries of the Registrant(4)
31.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer, pursuant to Rule 13a-14 and 15d-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934*
31.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer, pursuant to Rule 13a-14 and 15d-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934*
32.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002*
32.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002*
101.ins	Instance Document†
101.sch	XBRL Taxonomy Schema Document†
101.cal	XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document†
101.def	XBRL Taxonomy Definition Linkbase Document†
101.lab	XBRL Taxonomy Label Linkbase Document†
101.pre	XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document†

- (1) Included as exhibit to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 17, 2014.
- (2) Included as exhibit to our Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on January 30, 2013
- (3) Included as exhibit to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 30, 2014.
- (4) Included as exhibit to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 3, 2014.
- (5) Included as exhibit to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 9, 2015.
- (6) Included as exhibit to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 12, 2015.
- (7) Included as exhibit to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 27, 2015.

* Filed Herewith

† In accordance with Regulation S-T, XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language) information is furnished and not filed or a part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and is deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and is not otherwise subject to liability under these sections.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

**Nemus Bioscience, Inc.
a Nevada corporation**

March 27, 2015

By: /s/ John B. Hollister
Its: John B. Hollister
Chief Executive Officer, Director
(Principal Executive Officer)

March 27, 2015

By: /s/ Elizabeth M. Berez
Its: Elizabeth M. Berez
Chief Financial Officer, Secretary
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By: /s/ John B. Hollister March 27, 2015
John B. Hollister
Its: Chief Executive Officer, Director
(Principal Executive Officer)

By: /s/ Cosmas N. Lykos March 27, 2015
Cosmas N. Lykos
Its: Chairman of the Board, Director

By: /s/ Gerald W. McLaughlin March 27, 2015
Gerald W. McLaughlin
Its: Director

By: /s/ Thomas A. George March 27, 2015
Thomas A. George
Its: Director