

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2016

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 000-55136

Nemus Bioscience, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Nevada

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

45-0692882

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

650 Town Center Drive, Suite 1770, Costa Mesa, CA 92626

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(949) 396-0330

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

(Former name or former address, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the issuer (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of August 12, 2016, there were 19,913,163 shares of the issuer's \$0.001 par value common stock issued and outstanding.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1.	Financial Statements:	4
	Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2016 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2015	4
	Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 (Unaudited)	5
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 (Unaudited)	6
	Notes to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements	7
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	23
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	27
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	27

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	28
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	28
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	28
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	28
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	28
Item 5.	Other Information	28
Item 6.	Exhibits	29

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q that are not descriptions of historical facts are forward-looking statements that are based on management's current expectations and assumptions and are subject to risks and uncertainties. If such risks or uncertainties materialize or such assumptions prove incorrect, our business, operating results, financial condition and stock price could be materially negatively affected. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology including "anticipates," "believes," "can," "continue," "could," "estimates," "expects," "intends," "may," "plans," "potential," "predicts," "should," "will," "would" or the negative of these terms or other comparable terminology. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those currently anticipated include those set forth in the section titled "Risk Factors" including, without limitation, risks relating to:

- the results of our research and development activities, including uncertainties relating to the discovery of potential product candidates and the preclinical and clinical testing of our product candidates;
- the early stage of our product candidates presently under development;
- our need for substantial additional funds in order to continue our operations, and the uncertainty of whether we will be able to obtain the funding we need;
- our ability to obtain and, if obtained, maintain regulatory approval of our current product candidates, and any of our other future product candidates, and any related restrictions, limitations, and/or warnings in the label of any approved product candidate;
- our ability to retain or hire key scientific or management personnel;
- our ability to protect our intellectual property rights that are valuable to our business, including patent and other intellectual property rights;
- our dependence on the University of Mississippi, third-party manufacturers, suppliers, research organizations, testing laboratories and other potential collaborators;
- our ability to develop successful sales and marketing capabilities in the future as needed;
- the size and growth of the potential markets for any of our approved product candidates, and the rate and degree of market acceptance of any of our approved product candidates;
- competition in our industry; and
- regulatory developments in the United States and foreign countries.

We operate in a rapidly-changing environment and new risks emerge from time to time. As a result, it is not possible for our management to predict all risks, nor can we assess the impact of all factors on our business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements we may make. In light of these risks, uncertainties and assumptions, the forward-looking events and circumstances discussed in this report may not occur and actual results could differ materially and adversely from those anticipated or implied in the forward-looking statements. You should not rely upon forward-looking statements as predictions of future events. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee that the future results, levels of activity, performance or events and circumstances reflected in the forward-looking statements will be achieved or occur. Moreover, neither we nor any other person assumes responsibility for the accuracy and completeness of the forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements included in this report speak only as of the date hereof, and except as required by law, we undertake no obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statements for any reason after the date of this report to conform these statements to actual results or to changes in our expectations.

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial StatementsNEMUS BIOSCIENCE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

ASSETS	(unaudited) June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 831,658	\$ 3,221,209
Restricted cash	37,500	37,500
Prepaid expenses	122,543	158,946
Other current assets	28,626	36,126
Total current assets	<u>1,020,327</u>	<u>3,453,781</u>
Property and equipment, net	<u>16,896</u>	<u>13,383</u>
Other assets		
Deposits and other assets	43,884	43,884
Total other assets	<u>43,884</u>	<u>43,884</u>
Total assets	<u>\$ 1,081,107</u>	<u>\$ 3,511,048</u>
LIABILITIES, REDEEMABLE CONVERTIBLE PREFERRED STOCK AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT		
	(unaudited) June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 183,216	\$ 125,357
Accrued payroll and related expenses	34,584	46,268
Accrued license and patent reimbursement fees	-	97,500
Accrued expenses	166,740	228,645
Provision for conversion of Series B preferred stock	105,660	84,090
Income taxes payable	800	-
Total current liabilities	<u>491,000</u>	<u>581,860</u>
Noncurrent liabilities		
Deferred rent	3,256	3,233
Series B warrants	842,325	2,454,959
Total noncurrent liabilities	<u>845,581</u>	<u>2,458,192</u>
Total liabilities	<u>1,336,581</u>	<u>3,040,052</u>
Commitments and contingencies		
(Note 3)		
Redeemable Convertible Series B Preferred Stock, \$0.001 par value, 20 million shares authorized; 4,492 issued and outstanding as of June 30, 2016 and 4,500 issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2015, net of \$493,770 of issuance costs; \$4.5 million liquidation preference as of June 30, 2016	1,359,899	1,363,200
Stockholders' deficit		
Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 236 million shares authorized; 19,913,163 issued and outstanding as of June 30, 2016 and 19,903,163 issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2015	19,913	19,903
Additional paid-in-capital	6,453,737	6,086,987
Warrants	781,811	759,386
Accumulated deficit	<u>(8,870,834)</u>	<u>(7,758,480)</u>
Total stockholders' deficit	<u>(1,615,373)</u>	<u>(892,204)</u>
Total liabilities and stockholders' deficit	<u>\$ 1,081,107</u>	<u>\$ 3,511,048</u>

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

NEMUS BIOSCIENCE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2016	Three Months Ended June 30, 2015	Six Months Ended June 30, 2016	Six Months Ended June 30, 2015
Operating expenses				
Research and development	\$ 459,957	\$ 43,374	\$ 595,316	\$ 80,574
General and administrative	<u>1,022,078</u>	<u>1,313,170</u>	<u>2,107,058</u>	<u>2,185,795</u>
Total operating expenses	<u>1,482,035</u>	<u>1,356,544</u>	<u>2,702,374</u>	<u>2,266,369</u>
Operating loss	<u>(1,482,035)</u>	<u>(1,356,544)</u>	<u>(2,702,374)</u>	<u>(2,266,369)</u>
Other expense (income)				
Change in fair value of warrant liability	(2,024,114)	-	(1,612,634)	-
Change in fair value of conversion rights of Series A preferred stock	-	700,000	-	700,000
Change in fair value of conversion rights of Series B preferred stock	<u>(31,414)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>21,814</u>	<u>-</u>
Income (Loss) before income taxes	<u>573,493</u>	<u>(2,056,544)</u>	<u>(1,111,554)</u>	<u>(2,966,369)</u>
Provision for income taxes	<u>400</u>	<u>416</u>	<u>800</u>	<u>816</u>
Net income (loss)	<u>\$ 573,093</u>	<u>\$ (2,056,960)</u>	<u>\$ (1,112,354)</u>	<u>\$ (2,967,185)</u>
Basic earnings per common share	<u>\$ 0.03</u>	<u>\$ (0.13)</u>	<u>\$ (0.06)</u>	<u>\$ (0.18)</u>
Diluted earnings per common share	<u>\$ 0.03</u>	<u>\$ (0.13)</u>	<u>\$ (0.06)</u>	<u>\$ (0.18)</u>
Weighted average shares of common stock outstanding				
Basic	<u>19,913,163</u>	<u>16,265,663</u>	<u>19,909,042</u>	<u>16,248,597</u>
Diluted	<u>20,135,645</u>	<u>16,265,663</u>	<u>19,909,042</u>	<u>16,248,597</u>

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

NEMUS BIOSCIENCE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(unaudited)

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2016	Six Months Ended June 30, 2015
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (1,112,354)	\$ (2,967,185)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation	7,603	4,626
Stock-based compensation expense	363,216	259,699
Amortization of warrants and stock issued for services (1) (2)	51,539	459,316
Change in fair value of conversion rights of Series A preferred stock	-	700,000
Change in fair value of conversion rights of Series B preferred stock	21,814	-
Change in fair value of warrant liabilities	(1,612,634)	-
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Prepaid expenses (1)	7,289	29,728
Other current assets	7,500	4,470
Accounts payable (2)	57,859	(113,601)
Accrued payroll and related expenses	(11,684)	82,393
Accrued license and patent reimbursement fees	(97,500)	-
Stock subscription liability	-	288,000
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	(61,083)	(66,879)
Net cash used in operating activities	<u>(2,378,435)</u>	<u>(1,319,433)</u>
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchases of property and equipment	(11,116)	-
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(11,116)</u>	<u>-</u>
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from common stock issuance, net of \$3,920 issuance costs	-	713,369
Proceeds from Series A preferred stock issuance	-	1,000,000
Net cash provided by financing activities	<u>-</u>	<u>1,713,369</u>
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(2,389,551)	393,936
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	3,221,209	207,330
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	<u>\$ 831,658</u>	<u>\$ 601,266</u>
<i>Supplemental disclosures of cash-flow information:</i>		
Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>
Income taxes	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 816</u>
<i>Supplemental disclosures of non-cash financing and investing activities:</i>		

- (1) During the six months ended June 30, 2015, the Company issued warrants of our common stock for consulting services. The warrants were valued at \$328,650 and were recorded as a Prepaid expense and are being amortized over the service period

During the six months ended June 30, 2015, the Company also issued shares of common stock for consulting services valued at \$168,000. Such amounts were recorded as a Prepaid expense and are being amortized over the service period.

During the six months ended June 30, 2016, warrants issued to service providers for consulting services were valued at \$22,425 and recorded as a Prepaid expense and are being amortized over the service period.

- (2) During the six months ended June 30, 2015, the Company issued warrants of our common stock to a service provider in exchange for extinguishment of \$10,000 of trade accounts payable owed to this vendor.

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

1. Nature of Operations, Business Activities and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Nature of Operations and Basis of Presentation

Nemus Bioscience, Inc. is a biopharmaceutical company that plans to develop and commercialize therapeutics from cannabinoids through a partnership with the University of Mississippi. The University of Mississippi ("UM") is federally permitted and licensed to cultivate cannabis for research and commercial purposes. Unless otherwise specified, references in these Notes to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements to the "Company," "we" or "our" refer to Nemus Bioscience, Inc., a Nevada corporation formerly known as Load Guard Logistics, Inc. ("LGL"), together with its wholly-owned subsidiary, Nemus, a California corporation ("Nemus"). Nemus became the wholly owned subsidiary of Nemus Bioscience, Inc. through the Merger (as defined below).

Nemus Bioscience, Inc. (formerly LGL) was incorporated in Nevada on March 16, 2011. Nemus was incorporated in California on July 17, 2012. Our headquarters are located in Costa Mesa, California.

As of June 30, 2016, the Company has devoted substantially all of its efforts to securing product licenses, raising capital, and building infrastructure, and has not realized revenue from its planned principal operations.

Business Activities

On October 31, 2014, pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated October 17, 2014 (the "Merger Agreement"), LGL, Nemus Acquisition Corp. ("Acquisition Sub"), Nemus Bioscience, Inc. ("Name Change Merger Sub"), and Nemus Acquisition Sub merged with and into Nemus and Nemus survived as a wholly-owned subsidiary of LGL (the "Merger"). Immediately after the Merger, LGL changed its name to "Nemus Bioscience, Inc." by merging with Name Change Merger Sub. At the closing of the Merger and pursuant to the Merger Agreement, Nemus issued an aggregate of 3,120,000 shares of its common stock to the former stockholders of LGL in exchange for all of the outstanding shares of LGL's capital stock, which when combined with the 12,880,000 shares of Nemus common stock outstanding, amounted to 16,000,000 total shares outstanding upon completion of the merger.

The Merger is being accounted for as a reverse-merger and recapitalization. Nemus is the acquirer for financial reporting purposes and LGL is the acquired company. Consequently, the assets and liabilities and the operations that will be reflected in the historical consolidated financial statements prior to the Merger will be those of Nemus and will be recorded at the historical cost basis of Nemus, and the consolidated financial statements after completion of the Merger will include the assets and liabilities of LGL and Nemus, the historical operations of Nemus and the operations of the Nemus from and after the closing date of the Merger.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("U.S. GAAP") requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expense during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The most significant accounting estimates inherent in the preparation of our financial statements include estimates as to the appropriate carrying value of certain assets and liabilities which are not readily apparent from other sources.

Liquidity and Going Concern

The Company has incurred operating losses and negative cash flows from operations since our inception. As of June 30, 2016, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$831,658. The Company anticipates that it will continue to incur net losses into the foreseeable future as it continues to advance and develop a number of potential drug candidates into preclinical development activities and expands its corporate infrastructure which includes the costs associated with being a public company. Without additional funding, management believes that the Company will not have sufficient funds to meet its obligations within one year after the date the consolidated financial statements are issued. These conditions give rise to substantial doubt as to the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. The accompanying consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

The Company plans to continue to fund its losses from operations and capital funding needs through public or private equity or debt financings, strategic collaborations, licensing arrangements, asset sales, government grants or other arrangements. However, the Company cannot be sure that such additional funds will be available on reasonable terms, or at all. If the Company raises additional funds by issuing equity securities, substantial dilution to existing stockholders would result. If the Company is unable to secure adequate additional funding, the Company may be forced to make a reduction in spending, extend payment terms with suppliers, liquidate assets where possible, and/or suspend or curtail planned programs.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. The carrying value of those investments approximates their fair market value due to their short maturity and liquidity. Cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand and amounts on deposit with financial institutions, which amounts may at times exceed federally insured limits. The Company has not experienced any losses on such accounts and does not believe it is exposed to any significant credit risk.

Restricted Cash

A deposit of \$37,500 as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 was restricted from withdrawal and held by a bank in the form of a certificate of deposit. This certificate serves as collateral for payment of the Company's credit cards.

Fair Value Measurements

Certain assets and liabilities are carried at fair value under U.S. GAAP. Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. Valuation techniques used to measure fair value must maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. A fair value hierarchy based on three levels of inputs, of which the first two are considered observable and the last is considered unobservable, is used to measure fair value:

- Level 1: Valuations for assets and liabilities traded in active markets from readily available pricing sources such as quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
- Level 2: Observable inputs (other than Level 1 quoted prices) such as quoted prices in active markets for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices in markets that are not active for identical or similar assets or
- Level 3: Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to determining the fair value of the assets or liabilities, including pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies and similar techniques.

The carrying values of our financial instruments, including, cash and cash equivalents, prepaid expenses, accounts payable, and accrued

expenses approximate their fair value due to the short maturities of these financial instruments. The Series B warrant liability and the conversion liability for the Series B preferred stock were valued utilizing Level 3 inputs primarily from a third party independent appraisal conducted as of June 30, 2016.

As of June 30, 2016, property and equipment, net, was \$16,896, consisting primarily of computers and equipment. The Company had \$13,383 of property and equipment, net, as of December 31, 2015. Expenditures for additions, renewals and improvements will be capitalized at cost. Depreciation will generally be computed on a straight-line method based on the estimated useful life of the related assets currently ranging from two to three years. Maintenance and repairs that do not extend the life of assets are charged to expense when incurred. When properties are disposed of, the related costs and accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and any gain or loss is reported in the period the transaction takes place.

Property and equipment are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to estimated undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If the carrying amount exceeds its estimated future undiscounted cash flows, an impairment charge is recognized by the amount by which the carrying amount exceeds the fair value of the asset.

The costs incurred for the rights to use licensed technologies in the research and development process, including licensing fees and milestone payments, will be charged to research and development expense as incurred in situations where the Company has not identified an alternative future use for the acquired rights, and are capitalized in situations where there is an identified alternative future use. No cost associated with the use of licensed technologies has been capitalized to date.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for our deferred income tax assets and liabilities based on differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities, and net operating loss carry forwards (the "NOLs") and other tax credit carry forwards. These items are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. The effect of a change in tax rates on deferred tax assets and liabilities is recognized in the period that includes the enactment date. Any interest or penalties would be recorded in the Company's statement of operations in the period incurred.

The Company records a valuation allowance to reduce the deferred income tax assets to the amount that is more likely than not to be realized. In making such determinations, management considers all available positive and negative evidence, including scheduled reversals of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, tax planning strategies and recent financial operations. As a result there are no income tax benefits reflected in the statement of operations to offset pre-tax losses.

The Company recognizes a tax benefit from uncertain tax positions when it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained upon examination, including resolutions of any related appeals or litigation processes, based on the technical merits of the position.

Revenue Recognition

The Company has not begun planned principal operations and has not generated any revenue since inception.

Research and Development Expenses

Research and development ("R&D") costs are expensed when incurred. These costs may consist of external research and development expenses incurred under agreements with third-party contract research organizations and investigative sites, third-party manufacturing organizations and consultants; license fees; employee-related expenses, which include salaries, benefits and stock-based compensation for the personnel involved in our preclinical and clinical drug development activities; and facilities expense, depreciation and other allocated expenses; and equipment and laboratory supplies.

Stock-Based Compensation Expenses

Stock-based compensation cost is estimated at the grant date based on the fair value of the award, and the cost is recognized as expense ratably over the vesting period. We use the Black-Scholes option pricing model for estimating the grant date fair value of stock options and warrants using the following assumptions:

- Exercise price - We determined the exercise price based on valuations using the best information available to management at the time of the valuations.
- Volatility - We estimate the stock price volatility based on industry peers who are also in the early development stage given the limited market data available in the public arena.
- Expected term - The expected term is based on a simplified method which defines the life as the average of the contractual term of the options and warrants and the weighted-average vesting period for all open awards.
- Risk-free rate - The risk-free interest rate for the expected term of the option or warrant is based on the average market rate on U.S. treasury securities in effect during the period in which the awards were granted.
- Dividends - The dividend yield assumption is based on our history and expectation of paying no dividends.

Stock-Based Compensation for Non-Employees

The Company accounts for warrants and options issued to non-employees under ASC 505-50, *Equity - Equity Based Payments to Non-Employees*, using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The value of such non-employee awards are periodically re-measured over the vesting terms and at each quarter end.

Segment Information

The Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") No. 280, "Segment Reporting" establishes standards for reporting information about reportable segments. Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise about which separate financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker, or decision-making group ("CODM"), in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. The CODM evaluates revenues and gross profits based on product lines and routes to market. Based on the early development stage of our operation, we operate in a single reportable segment.

Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Comprehensive income (loss) is defined as the change in equity during a period from transactions and other events and circumstances from non-owner sources. The Company is required to record all components of comprehensive loss in the consolidated financial statements in the period in which they are recognized. Net income (loss) and other comprehensive income (loss), net of their related tax effect, arrived at a comprehensive income (loss). For the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, the comprehensive income (loss) was equal to the net income (loss).

Earnings per share

The Company applies FASB ASC 260, "Earnings per Share." Basic earnings (loss) per share is computed by dividing earnings (loss) available to common stockholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted earnings or loss per share would include the dilutive effect of awards granted to employees under stock-based compensation plans, if any. No dilutive effect was calculated for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 as the effect would be anti-dilutive. For the three months ended June 30, 2016 the dilutive effects of common stock options and restricted stock awards were included in the calculation of the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding by applying the treasury stock method to the assumed exercise of outstanding stock options. For the six months ended June 30, 2016, no dilutive effect was calculated as the effect would be anti-dilutive.

We computed earnings per common share for each period as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2016	Three Months Ended June 30, 2015	Six Months Ended June 30, 2016	Six Months Ended June 30, 2015
Net income (loss)	\$ 573,093	\$ (2,056,960)	\$ (1,112,354)	\$ (2,967,185)
Weighted average shares of common stock outstanding - basic	19,913,163	16,265,663	19,909,042	16,248,597
Dilutive effect of common stock options and restricted stock awards	222,482	-	-	-
Weighted average shares of common stock outstanding - diluted	20,135,645	16,265,663	19,909,042	16,248,597
Basic earnings per share	<u>\$ 0.03</u>	<u>\$ (0.13)</u>	<u>\$ (0.06)</u>	<u>\$ (0.18)</u>
Diluted earnings per share	<u>\$ 0.03</u>	<u>\$ (0.13)</u>	<u>\$ (0.06)</u>	<u>\$ (0.18)</u>

Recent accounting pronouncements

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-02 "Leases" (Topic 842) intended to improve financial reporting around leasing transactions. The ASU affects all companies and other organizations that lease assets such as real estate, airplanes, and manufacturing equipment. The ASU will require organizations that lease assets - referred to as "lessees"- to recognize on the balance sheet the assets and liabilities for the rights and obligations created by those leases. For public companies, the standard is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018 and interim periods therein. Earlier adoption is permitted for any annual or interim period for which consolidated financial statements have not yet been issued. The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact that adoption may have on its consolidated financial statements.

2. University of Mississippi ("UM") Agreements

In July 2013, the Company entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with UM to engage in joint research of extracting, manipulating, and studying cannabis in certain forms to develop intellectual property (IP) with the intention to create and commercialize therapeutic medicines. Nemus will own all IP developed solely by its employees and will jointly own all IP developed jointly between Nemus and UM employees. The term of the MOU agreement is five years and the parties agree to negotiate separate research agreements upon the identification of patentable technologies as well as any deemed to be a trade secret. The agreement may be terminated by either party with three months written notice to the other party.

UM 5050 pro-drug agreements:

On September 29, 2014, the Company executed three license agreements with UM pursuant to which UM granted us exclusive, perpetual, worldwide licenses, including the right to sublicense, to intellectual property related to UM 5050, a pro-drug formulation of tetrahydrocannabinol, or THC for products administered through each of ocular, oral or rectal delivery. The license agreement for the field of oral delivery also includes rights to UM 1250, a bio-adhesive hot melt extruded film for topical and mucosal adhesion application and drug delivery. The license agreements contain certain milestone and royalty payments, as defined therein. The aggregate milestone payments under the license agreements if the milestones are achieved is \$2.1 million. These licenses also require the Company to reimburse UM for patent costs incurred related to these products under license. The agreements will terminate upon expiration of the patents, breach or default of the license agreements, or upon 60 days written notice by the Company to UM.

On October 15, 2014, we signed a renewable option agreement for the rights to explore other routes of delivery of UM5050 not yet agreed upon and/or in combination with other cannabinoids or other compatible compounds. There was a one-time up-front option payment of \$10,000 for a six-month option period that has subsequently been renewed under the same financial terms and conditions. The most recent renewal occurred for the six month period beginning April 1, 2016 under the same financial terms and conditions.

UM 8930 pro-drug agreements:

On December 14, 2015, the Company executed two license agreements with UM pursuant to which UM granted us exclusive, perpetual, worldwide licenses, including the right to sublicense, to intellectual property related to UM 8930, a pro-drug formulation of cannabidiol ("CBD") for products administered through each of ocular or rectal delivery. The license agreements contain certain milestone and royalty payments, as defined therein. There is a one-time upfront payment of \$65,000 per license agreement, payable in four equal monthly installments that started on December 15, 2015. There is an annual fee of \$25,000 per license agreement, payable on the anniversary of each effective date. The aggregate milestone payments under the license agreements if the milestones are achieved is \$1.4 million. These licenses also require the Company to reimburse UM for patent costs incurred related to these products under license. These license agreements will terminate upon expiration of the patents, breach or default of the license agreements, or upon 60 days written notice by the Company to UM.

On December 14, 2015, we signed a renewable option agreement for the rights to explore other routes of delivery of UM8930 not yet agreed upon and/or in combination with other cannabinoids or other compatible compounds. There was a one-time up-front option payment of \$10,000 for an option period ending March 31, 2016. This option was subsequently renewed for a six month period ending September 30, 2016, under the same financial terms and conditions.

Other pro-drugs and potential formulations:

On December 19, 2015, the Company entered into a research agreement with UM to advance specialized cannabinoid derivatives of cannabidiol for the treatment of chemo-therapy induced peripheral neuropathy (CIPN). The fee payable to UM is based on the achievement of certain milestones in the project. The Company recognized \$27,804 and \$46,340 as research and development expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 for work completed under this contract. The agreement also grants an exclusive option to license the technology from the University within 180 days from the commencement of the agreement. However, the studies have been extended through the end of the year to better understand the pharmacokinetics of the CBD derivative relative to different routes of administration. Either party may terminate the agreement with 30 days' written notice.

On April 11, 2016, the Company entered into a research agreement with UM that examines the efficacy of cannabinoids at the genetic level of pathological organisms such as MRSA. The fee payable is based on the achievement of certain milestones in the project. The Company recognized \$52,380 of research and development expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 for work completed under this contract. Testing is scheduled for completion in the third quarter of 2016.

3. Commitments and Contingencies

Lease Commitments

On September 1, 2014, the Company signed an operating lease for laboratory and office space at the Innovation Hub, Insight Park located on the University of Mississippi campus. The lease term commenced on October 1, 2014 and expires on December 31, 2017. There are annual escalating rent provisions and two months of free rent in the agreement. The total cash payments over the life of the lease are divided by the total number of months in the lease period and the average rent will be charged to expense each month during the lease period. The monthly amount charged to rent expense is \$9,267.

In October 2014, we signed a lease agreement for our corporate office headquarters that consists of approximately 4,087 square feet located at 650 Town Center Drive, Suite 1770, Costa Mesa, CA 92626. The lease expires on October 31, 2016 and our monthly rent is \$5,373, payable in equal monthly installments with annual escalations.

In November 2015, the Company entered into an operating lease for its office and lab furnishings both in Costa Mesa and the Innovation Hub laboratory. The lease expires on November 3, 2017 and the monthly lease payments are \$7,559.

Total net rent expense related to our operating leases for the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, was \$81,203 and \$56,692, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, total net rent expense from operating leases was \$160,974 and \$114,251 respectively.

Future minimum payments under the non-cancelable portion of our operating leases as of June 30, 2016 are as follows:

2016	\$ 123,260
2017	161,962
2018	-
2019	-
2020	-
Thereafter	-
Total	<u>\$ 285,222</u>

Legal Matters

General Litigation and Disputes

On August 3, 2016, John B. Hollister filed to dismiss his complaint against the Company and its Executive Chairman, and the Company and its Executive Chairman filed to dismiss their complaint against Hollister filed on January 25, 2016 containing claims against him for defamation, false light, unfair competition, breach of contract, breach of fiduciary duty and fraud.

Hollister's employment as the Company's Chief Executive Officer was terminated by the Company on August 24, 2015 for good and lawful reasons by the Company's Board of Directors as the Company decided to go in a different direction. As stated in the Company's December 28, 2015 Form 8-K, the Company maintains that Hollister's complaint and subsequent pleadings were grossly inaccurate and his claims were entirely without merit alleging various causes of action arising out of his termination, including a breach of contract claim.

Neither the Company nor its Executive Chairman made any payment to Hollister to resolve the lawsuit or otherwise.

According to the Orange County Superior Court's online case records posted on August 11, 2016, the court entered the order for dismissal of the entire action with prejudice effective as of the August 3, 2016 filing date.

Government Proceedings

Like other companies in the pharmaceutical industry, we are subject to extensive regulation by national, state and local government agencies in the United States. As a result, interaction with government agencies occurs in the normal course of our operations. It is possible that criminal charges and substantial fines and/or civil penalties or damages could result from any government investigation or proceeding. As of June 30, 2016, the Company had no current proceedings or inquiries.

Change in Control Severance Plan

In February 2015, we adopted a change in control severance plan, in which our named executive officers participate, that provides for the payment of severance benefits if the executive's service is terminated within twelve months following a change in control, either due to a termination without cause or upon a resignation for good reason (as each term is defined in the plan).

In either such event, and provided the executive timely executes and does not revoke a general release of claims against the Company, he or she will be entitled to receive: (i) a lump sum cash payment equal to at least six months of the executive's monthly compensation, plus an additional month for each full year of service over six years, (ii) Company-paid premiums for continued health insurance for a period equal to length of the cash severance period or, if earlier, when executive becomes covered under a subsequent employer's healthcare plan, and (iii) full vesting of all then-outstanding unvested stock options and restricted stock awards.

Contract Manufacturing Organization ("CMO") Agreement

On February 5, 2016, the Company entered into a letter agreement ("Agreement") with a third party contract manufacturing organization ("CMO") pursuant to which the CMO is to provide services to Nemus for process development and analytical method development and qualification for Nemus' prodrug of tetrahydrocannabinol, or THC, as well as for sample production and a stability study.

Pursuant to the terms of the Agreement, Nemus will pay an estimated \$154,000 to \$183,000 in fees and expenses for the initial evaluation and development of a process for the production of Nemus' pro-drug of THC to ensure reproducibility, quality and safety and an estimated \$142,900 for analytical method development and qualification. The Company recognized \$36,600 and \$206,348 respectively as research and development expense towards these fees for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016. After the initial evaluation and development, Nemus has agreed to pay additional fees and expenses for sample production of Nemus' pro-drug of THC and a stability study, as well as possible extensions to or modifications of the aforementioned projects.

Nemus may at any time cancel or delay any project under the Agreement prior to the scheduled start date. Nemus must reimburse the CMO for costs incurred prior to and including the date of cancellation plus any reasonable and foreseeable costs associated with stopping work on any project, including the CMO's loss of revenue incurred as the result of reserving production facilities for Nemus' exclusive use. Nemus may terminate the Agreement in whole or in part at any time upon 30 days' written notice.

4. Stockholders' Deficit and Redeemable Convertible Series B Preferred Stock

Common Stock

In June 2014, the Company sold 1,800,000 shares of common stock with no par value and warrants for a purchase price of \$900,000 (the "June 2014 Stock Purchase Agreement") to a group of private investors. See additional discussion on warrants below.

In August 2014, the Company sold 2,200,000 shares of common stock with no par value and warrants for a purchase price of \$1,100,000 to a group of private investors. See additional discussion on warrants below.

In October 2014, the Company issued 1,110,000 shares of common stock with no par value to eighteen individual investors that had participated in a prior entity founded by Nemus' then current president. Such entity has been insolvent and not operating since the inception date of Nemus. The issuance of these shares was in exchange for the signing of a release of claims against the Company, its President, and the former entity. The Company recorded a general and administrative expense of \$466,200 in the fourth quarter of 2014 to reflect the fair market value of the common stock issued in exchange for the release of claims. The fair market value of the common stock issued was determined via an independent third-party valuation conducted as of October 31, 2014.

In January 2015, the Company sold 241,663 shares of common stock with par value of \$0.001 for a purchase price of \$724,989 to a group of private investors.

In March 2015, the Company issued 24,000 shares of common stock with par value of \$0.001 to a third party in exchange for services to be performed related to raising additional capital. The Company recorded a prepaid expense of \$168,000 in the first quarter of 2015 to reflect the fair market value of the common stock issued and is amortizing this expense over the contract service period which is one year. The fair market value was determined utilizing the Company's closing stock price as of the commencement date of the contract service period. For three months ended March 31, 2016, the Company amortized \$12,194 to general and administrative expense which represented the completion of this agreement.

In August 2015, in conjunction with the Series B Preferred Stock sale (discussed below), the Company raised \$5.0 million at \$1,000 per share resulting in the automatic conversion of the Series A Preferred Stock to common stock. This resulted in the conversion of 580,000 shares of Series A Preferred Stock at \$2.50 per share to the equivalent of 1,812,500 shares of common stock.

In December 2015, a Series B Preferred Stockholder converted 500 shares of their preferred stock to common stock as allowed under the Series B Stock Agreement (discussed below), resulting in the issuance of 625,000 shares of common stock at an effective price of \$0.80 per share.

In March 2016, another Series B Preferred Stockholder converted 8 shares of their preferred stock to common stock, resulting in the issuance of 10,000 shares of common stock at an effective price of \$0.80 per share.

Preferred Stock

The Company has authorized 20,000,000 shares of preferred stock with a par value of \$0.001 per share.

Series A Preferred Stock: In April 2015, the Company sold 250,000 shares of Series A preferred stock with par value of \$0.001 and 50,000 warrants to purchase the Company's common stock for an aggregate purchase price of \$625,000, or \$2.50 per share to a group of private investors. The shares of preferred stock automatically convert to shares of common stock either at (i) a subsequent equity financing of at least \$1,000,000 or (ii) October 1, 2015, whichever is earlier. The warrants are exercisable at a price of \$5.00 per share and expire five years from the issuance date. In May 2015, the Company sold 150,000 shares and 30,000 warrants and in July 2015, the Company sold 180,000 shares and 36,000 warrants under the same terms and conditions.

The Series A preferred stock issued also has a "down-round" protection feature provided to the investors if the Company subsequently issues or sells any shares in a round of equity financing of at least \$1,000,000 prior to October 1, 2015 in which the shares of common stock to be acquired are at a price less than \$2.50 per share. The Company is required to issue additional shares of common stock to the investors in an amount such that the subscription price paid, when divided by the total number of shares issued will result in an actual price paid per share of common stock equal to such lower price. This conversion occurred as discussed above in conjunction with the Series B Preferred Stock financing totaling \$5.0 million and resulted in the conversion of 580,000 shares of Series A Preferred Stock at to 1,812,500 shares of common stock.

Redeemable Convertible Series B Preferred Stock: In August 2015, the Company sold 5,000 shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock and warrants to purchase 6,250,000 shares of the Company's common stock for an aggregate purchase price of \$1,000 per share resulting in gross proceeds of \$5.0 million. Each share of preferred stock is convertible into 1,250 shares of common stock which results in an effective conversion price of \$0.80 per common share and can be converted by the holder at any time. The Series B preferred stock issued also has a "down-round" protection feature provided to the investors if the Company subsequently issues or sell any shares of common stock, stock options, or convertible securities at a price less than the conversion price of \$0.80 per common share. The conversion price is automatically adjusted down to the price of the instrument being issued. See additional discussion in Note 5 below. The Series B shares have liquidation preference over other preferred shares and common stock and have voting rights equal to the number of common shares into which each holder's preferred stock is convertible as of the record date. The preferred stock has no dividend rights. If dividends are declared on the common stock, the holders of the preferred stock shall be entitled to participate in such dividends on an as-if converted basis. The warrants are exercisable at a price of \$1.15 per share and expire five years from the issuance date. See additional discussion regarding these warrants in Note 6 below.

In the event of any liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the Company, either voluntary or involuntary, Series B preferred stockholders receive an amount per share equal to the conversion price of \$0.80, subject to down-round adjustment, multiplied by the as-if converted share amount of 5,615,000 common shares, totaling \$4.5 million. If upon the liquidation, the assets are insufficient to permit payments to the Series B holders, all assets legally available will be distributed in a pro rata basis among the Series B holders in proportion to the full amounts they would otherwise be entitled to receive. Any remaining assets are distributed pro rata among the common stockholders.

Subject to certain trigger events occurring, the Series B preferred stock holders have the right to force the Company to redeem the shares of preferred stock at a price per preferred share equal to the greater of (A) 115% of the conversion amount and (B) the product of (1) the conversion rate in effect at such time and (2) the greatest closing sale price of the Common Stock during the period beginning on the date immediately preceding such triggering event and ending on the date such holder delivers the notice of redemption. Such triggering events include:

- Failure of the Series B Registration Statement to be declared effective by the SEC on or prior to the date that is ninety days after the Effectiveness Deadline;
- Suspension of the Company's common stock from trading for a period of (2) consecutive trading days;
- Failure of the Company to deliver all the shares of the common stock or make the appropriate cash payments in a timely manner upon conversion of the Series B Preferred;
- Any default of indebtedness;
- Any filing of voluntary or involuntary bankruptcy by the Company;
- A final judgment in excess of \$100,000 rendered against the Company;
- Breach of representations and warranties in the Stock Purchase Agreement;
- Failure to comply with the Series B Certificate of Designation or Rule 144 requirements.

As certain of these triggering events are considered to be outside the control of the Company, the Series B preferred stock is considered to be contingently redeemable convertible and as a result, has been classified as mezzanine equity in the Company's balance sheet.

In December 2015, a Series B Preferred Stock holder converted 500 shares of their preferred stock to common stock at the conversion rate of 1,250:1 resulting in the issuance of 625,000 shares of common stock. In March 2016, another Series B Preferred Stockholder converted 8 shares of their preferred stock to common stock at the same ratio resulting in the issuance of 10,000 shares of common stock. As a result of these conversions, the liquidation preference for the Series B Preferred Stock has been reduced to \$4.5 million at both June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015.

Warrants

On July 17, 2012, the Company issued warrants to purchase up to 3,000,000 shares of our common stock to its founders and two advisors in consideration for services provided in the start-up of operations. The warrants are exercisable at a price of \$1.00 per share and expire on June 20, 2023. The Company valued these warrants utilizing the Black-Scholes valuation model and they were determined to be of nominal value given the start-up nature of the Company's operations at the time of grant.

In conjunction with the June 2014 Stock Purchase Agreement, the Company issued warrants to purchase up to 450,000 shares of common stock to a group of private investors. The warrants are exercisable at a price of \$1.00 per share and expire on June 12, 2020. The Company valued these warrants at \$85,500. This amount was recorded as warrants and was reclassified from the total consideration received for both the common stock and warrants purchased.

In August 2014 as part of the June 2014 Stock Purchase Agreement, the Company issued warrants to purchase up to 550,000 shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$1.00 per share that expire in August 2020. The Company valued these warrants at \$104,500. This amount was recorded as warrants and was reclassified from the total consideration received for both the common stock and warrants purchased.

In March 2015, the Company entered into an agreement with a financial advisory and public relations consulting firm which included the issuance of warrants to purchase up to 90,000 shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$2.50 per share with a term of five years and vest quarterly over one year. These warrants were in exchange for services performed beginning in the first quarter and were subsequently issued in April 2015. The Company estimated the vested warrant value to be \$85,950 utilizing the Black Scholes option pricing model and amortized \$5,145 for services provided for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and \$46,810 for the six months ended June 30, 2015.

In April 2015, the Company entered into an agreement with one of its investors to provide advisory services on all matters including financing. In conjunction with this agreement, the Company issued warrants that vest immediately to purchase 100,000 shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$5.00 per share with a term of ten years. The Company estimated the warrant value to be \$326,000 utilizing the Black Scholes option pricing model and recorded this amount to general and administrative expense for the quarter due to the immediate vesting.

In April 2015, the Company issued to a former service provider in exchange for payment of its outstanding invoice warrants that vest immediately to purchase 6,000 shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$2.50 per share. The Company estimated the warrant value to be \$10,000 which represented the value of the trade debt extinguished.

In April 2015, the Company issued 50,000 warrants to purchase the Company's common stock in conjunction with its Series A Preferred Stock financing. The warrants are exercisable at a price of \$5.00 per share and expire five years from the issuance date. In May 2015, the Company issued 30,000 warrants and in July 2015, 36,000 warrants under the same terms and conditions.

In June 2015, the Company issued to a service provider in exchange for consulting services warrants that vest immediately to purchase 10,000 shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$5.00 per share with a term of five years. The Company estimated the warrant value to be \$14,700 utilizing the Black Scholes option pricing model.

In August 2015, the Company issued 6,250,000 warrants to purchase common stock in conjunction with its Series B Preferred Stock financing. See further discussion in Note 6 below.

In August 2015, the Company issued 187,500 warrants to purchase common stock to its investment banker in exchange for services rendered in conjunction with the Series B Preferred Stock financing. The warrants vest immediately and have an exercise price of \$1.15 per share. The Company estimated the value of the warrants to be \$86,250 utilizing the Black Scholes option pricing model and recorded this amount to offering costs.

In November 2015, the Company entered into an agreement with a financial advisory and public relations consulting firm which included the issuance of warrants to purchase up to 120,000 shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$1.15 per share with a term of five years. These warrants are in exchange for services to be performed from November 25, 2015 to May 25, 2016 and 60,000 shares vest immediately with the remainder in one quarter. The Company estimated the warrant value of vested warrants to be \$42,000 utilizing the Black Scholes option pricing model and amortized \$7,800 during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015 and \$34,200 in the six months ended June 30, 2016.

The Company's board of directors considered various objective and subjective factors, along with input from management, to determine the fair value of the warrants, including:

- Contemporaneous valuation prepared by an independent third-party valuation specialist effective as of April 1, 2015, August 20, 2015, December 31, 2015, March 31, 2016, and June 30, 2016,
- Its results of operations, financial position and the status of research and development efforts and achievement of enterprise milestones,
- The composition of, and changes to, the Company's management team and board of directors,
- The lack of liquidity of its common stock as a newly public company,
- The Company's stage of development, business strategy and the material risks related to its business and industry,
- The valuation of publicly-traded companies in the biotechnology sectors,
- External market conditions affecting the biotechnology industry sectors,
- The likelihood of achieving a liquidity event for the holders of its common stock, such as an initial public offering, or IPO, or a sale of the Company, given prevailing market conditions, and
- The state of the IPO market for similarly situated biotechnology companies,
- Discussions held with bankers, potential investors, and preliminary term sheets received as part of management's capital raise efforts.

There are significant judgments and estimates inherent in the determination of the fair value of the Company's warrants. These judgments and estimates included the assumptions regarding its future operating performance, the time to completing an IPO or other liquidity event and the determination of the appropriate valuation methods. If the Company had made different assumptions, its warrant valuation could have been significantly different.

Stock Option Plans: 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan

The 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan (the "2014 Plan") was adopted to provide a means by which officers, non-employee directors, and employees of and consultants to the Company and its affiliates could be given an opportunity to acquire an equity interest in the Company. All officers, non-employee directors, and employees of and consultants to the Company are eligible to participate in the 2014 Plan.

On October 31, 2014, after the closing of the Merger, our Board of Directors approved the 2014 Plan. The 2014 Plan reserved 3,200,000 shares for future grants. As of June 30, 2016, options (net of canceled or expired options) covering an aggregate of 1,180,000 shares of the Company's common stock had been granted under the 2014 Plan, and the Company had 1,180,000 options outstanding and 820,000 shares available for future grants under the 2014 Plan.

Options granted under the 2014 Plan expire no later than 10 years from the date of grant. Options granted under the 2014 Plan may be either incentive or non-qualified stock options. For incentive and non-qualified stock option grants, the option price shall be at least 100% of the fair value on the date of grants, as determined by the Company's Board of Directors. If at any time the Company grants an option, and the optionee directly or by attribution owns stock possessing more than 10% of the total combined voting power of all classes of stock of the Company, the option price shall be at least 110% of the fair value and shall not be exercisable more than five years after the date of grant.

Options granted under the 2014 Plan may be immediately exercisable if permitted in the specific grant approved by the Board of Directors and, if exercised early may be subject to repurchase provisions. The shares acquired generally vest over a period of five years from the date of grant. The Company granted options to purchase 1,180,000 shares net of cancellations through June 30, 2016, under the 2014 Plan.

The following is a summary of activity under the 2014 Plan as of June 30, 2016:

	Shares Available for Grant of Options & Shares	Options Outstanding		Weighted Average Exercise Price
		Number of Shares	Price per Share	
Balance at December 31, 2015	2,020,000	1,180,000	\$ 0.42-\$3.00	\$ 0.63
Options granted	-	-	\$ -	\$ -
Options exercised	-	-	\$ -	\$ -
Options cancelled	-	-	\$ -	\$ -
Subtotal	2,020,000	1,180,000	\$ 0.42-\$3.00	\$ 0.63
Shares used for restricted stock awards (see discussion below)	(1,200,000)			
Balance at June 30, 2016	<u>820,000</u>			

The weighted average remaining contractual life in years of the options outstanding as of June 30, 2016 was 8.43 years.

Aggregate intrinsic value is the sum of the amounts by which the quoted market price of the Company's stock exceeded the exercise price of the stock options at June 30, 2016 for those stock options for which the quoted market price was in excess of the exercise price ("in-the-money options"). As of June 30, 2016, the aggregate intrinsic value of options outstanding was \$73,500. As of June 30, 2016, 226,000 options to purchase shares of common stock were exercisable.

Restricted Stock Awards

Restricted stock awards ("RSAs") are granted to our board of directors and members of senior management and are issued pursuant to the Company's 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan. On October 20, 2015, a total of 1,200,000 RSAs were granted to members of the Company's senior management and board of directors with a fair market value of approximately \$900,000. These RSAs vest from one to three years from the grant date as services are rendered to the Company. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, the Company recorded \$93,750 and \$187,500 respectively in stock-based compensation expense related to these awards. (See discussion below).

Stock Based Compensation Expense

The Company recognizes stock-based compensation expense based on the fair value of that portion of stock options that are ultimately expected to vest during the period. Stock-based compensation expense recognized in the consolidated statements of operations includes compensation expense for stock-based awards based on the estimated grant date fair value over the requisite service period. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, the Company recognized stock-based compensation expense of \$181,608 and \$363,216 (including compensation expense for RSAs discussed above) which was recorded as a general and administrative expense in the consolidated statements of operations. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, stock-based compensation expense was \$123,351 and \$259,699, respectively.

The total amount of unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock options was \$1,213,571 as of June 30, 2016. This amount will be recognized over a weighted average period of 3.39 years.

Valuation Assumptions

The fair value of options was estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. Expected volatility is based on the historical volatility of the Company's common stock for similar terms. The expected term was estimated using the simplified method as permitted under SAB No. 110, since the Company has no recent exercise or forfeiture history that is representative of options granted during the year. The expected term represents the estimated period of time that stock options are expected to be outstanding, which is less than the contractual term which is generally ten years. The risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield. The expected dividend yield is zero, as the Company does not anticipate paying dividends in the near future. There were no options granted in the six months ended June 30, 2016; the assumptions for options granted in the six months ended June 30, 2015 are as follows:

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2016	2015
Dividend yield	NA	0.00%
Volatility factor	NA	75.00%
Risk-free interest rate	NA	1.85%
Expected term (years)	NA	6.5
Weighted-average fair value of options granted during the periods	NA	\$ 2.13

5. Provision for Conversion of Preferred Stock

Series A Preferred Stock Conversion Liability

In connection with the Series A preferred stock financing, the Company recorded a liability related to down-round protection provided to the stockholders in the event that the Company does another offering of common stock greater than \$1,000,000 at a price below \$2.50 per share. The down-round provision expires at the closing of a subsequent financing round or October 1, 2015 whichever is earlier. With the assistance of a third-party valuation specialist, the Company valued the conversion liability pursuant to the accounting guidance of ASC 820-10, *Fair Value Measurements*, as of the closing date of the first round of financing which was April 1, 2015.

As of June 30, 2015, the Company re-evaluated the likelihood and valuation of a potential down-round given that management has been actively pursuing capital raising efforts. In the absence of any definitive agreement, the Company calculated the fair value of the conversion feature to be \$700,000 by determining the highest probability of a per share price in the next anticipated round of financing, after considering all discussions with bankers, potential investors, and preliminary term sheets. This amount was booked as a current liability as of June 30, 2015 and was charged as a non-operating expense for the period.

In July 2015, the Company increased its down-round provision by \$286,000 based on the closing of an additional round of 180,000 Series A shares by determining the highest probability of a per share price in the next anticipated round of financing, after considering all discussions with bankers, potential investors, and preliminary term sheets. This amount was charged to non-operating expense for the three months ended September 30, 2015.

As of August 21, 2015, upon the closing of the Series B Preferred Stock sale with proceeds totaling \$5.0 million, the Company issued 1,232,000 additional shares of common stock at \$0.80 per share thereby eliminating this liability of \$986,000 and offsetting it to Additional Paid-in-Capital. This amount was calculated by determining the difference in per share pricing between the Series A Preferred Stock financing of \$2.50 per share and the Series B Preferred Stock Financing of \$0.80 per share multiplied by the 580,000 total shares included in the Series A offering.

Series B Preferred Stock Conversion Liability

As of August 20, 2015, in connection with the Series B preferred stock financing, the Company recorded a liability related to down-round protection provided to the stockholders in the event that the Company does another sale or issuance of common stock, stock options or convertible securities where the share price is below \$0.80 per share. With the assistance of a third-party valuation specialist, the Company valued the conversion liability pursuant to the accounting guidance of ASC 820-10, *Fair Value Measurements*, as of the closing date of the financing. The Company also performed a review of the conversion liability in conjunction with ASC 815, *Derivatives and Hedging/Contracts in Entity's Own Equity*, and determined that the liability requires bifurcation and re-measurement to fair market value at the end of each reporting period. The value of this embedded derivative was determined utilizing a with and without method by valuing the preferred stock with and without the down round protection.

As of June 30, 2016, the Company engaged a third-party valuation specialist to re-measure the conversion liability to fair market value as of that date utilizing the same methodology previously performed. The derivative which was classified as a current liability was adjusted to \$105,660 as of June 30, 2016. The change in fair market value was recorded as non-operating income of \$31,414 for the three months ended June 30, 2016 and \$21,814 as non-operating expense for the six months ended June 30, 2016.

6. Series B Warrants

In conjunction with the Series B Preferred Stock financing, the Company issued 6,437,500 common stock warrants that are exercisable at a price of \$1.15 per share and expire five years from the issuance date. The warrants were initially valued at \$2,935,800 utilizing the Black-Scholes pricing model. The warrants are exercisable in cash or through a cashless exercise provision. The Series B warrants also have a "down-round" protection feature provided to the investors if the Company subsequently issues or sells any shares of common stock, stock options, or convertible securities at a price less than the exercise price of \$1.15 per each warrant. The conversion price is automatically adjusted down to the price of the instrument being issued. The Company reviewed the classification of the warrants as liabilities or equity under the guidance of ASC 480-10, *Distinguishing Liabilities from Equity*, and concluded that the Series B warrants should be classified as a liability. The Company then applied the fair value allocation methodology for allocating the proceeds of \$5.0 million received from the Series B financing between the conversion liability and the warrants with the residual amount being allocated to the Preferred Stock. The Company performed the same valuation as of March 31, 2016 utilizing an expected term of 4.39 years as a result of the passage of time, which resulted in the warrant value of \$2,866,439. The change in fair market value at the re-measurement date of March 31, 2016 was recorded as non-operating expense totaling \$411,480. The Company also performed the same valuation as of June 30, 2016 utilizing the Black-Scholes pricing model and the following assumptions:

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2016	2015
Dividend yield	0.00%	NA
Volatility factor	70.00%	NA
Risk-free interest rate	1.11 - 1.29%	NA
Expected term (years)	4.14 - 4.15	NA
Weighted-average fair value of warrants	\$ 0.13	NA

This resulted in a warrant value of \$842,325 as of June 30, 2016. The change in fair market value at the re-measurement date was recorded as non-operating income totaling \$2,024,114 for the three months ended June 30, 2016, and non-operating income totaling \$1,612,634 for the six months ended June 30, 2016.

7. Income Taxes

The Company records a valuation allowance against deferred tax assets to the extent that it is more likely than not that some portion, or all of, the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Due to the substantial doubt related to the Company's ability to utilize its deferred tax assets, a valuation allowance for the full amount of the deferred tax assets has been established at June 30, 2016. As a result of this valuation allowance there are no income tax benefits reflected in the accompanying statement of operations to offset pre-tax losses.

The Company has no uncertain tax positions as of June 30, 2016.

8. Subsequent Events

Legal Settlement

On August 3, 2016, John B. Hollister filed to dismiss his complaint against the Company and its Executive Chairman, and the Company and its Executive Chairman filed to dismiss their complaint against Hollister filed on January 25, 2016 containing claims against him for defamation, false light, unfair competition, breach of contract, breach of fiduciary duty and fraud.

Hollister's employment as the Company's Chief Executive Officer was terminated by the Company on August 24, 2015 for good and lawful reasons by the Company's Board of Directors as the Company decided to go in a different direction. As stated in the Company's December 28, 2015 Form 8-K, the Company maintains that Hollister's complaint and subsequent pleadings were grossly inaccurate and his claims were entirely without merit.

alleging various causes of action arising out of his termination, including a breach of contract claim.

Neither the Company nor its Executive Chairman made any payment to Hollister to resolve the lawsuit or otherwise.

According to the Orange County Superior Court's online case records posted on August 11, 2016, the court entered the order for dismissal of the entire action with prejudice effective as of the August 3, 2016 filing date.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our financial statements for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, the year ended December 31, 2015, and the year ended December 31, 2014 together with notes thereto. In addition to historical information, this discussion and analysis contains forward-looking statements that involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Our actual results may differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of certain factors, including, but not limited, to those set forth under "Risk Factors" and elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Unless otherwise provided in this Quarterly Report, references to "we," "us," "our" and "Nemus" in this discussion and analysis refer to Nemus Bioscience, Inc., a Nevada corporation formerly known as Load Guard Logistics, Inc. ("LGL"), together with its wholly-owned subsidiary, Nemus, a California corporation ("Nemus"). Nemus became the wholly owned subsidiary of Nemus Bioscience, Inc. through the closing of a reverse merger transaction (the "Merger") pursuant to which a wholly owned subsidiary of LGL formed solely for the purpose of the Merger merged with and into Nemus and LGL changed its name to Nemus Bioscience, Inc.

The Merger is accounted for as a reverse merger and recapitalization, with Nemus as the acquirer and LGL as the acquired company for financial reporting purposes. As a result, the assets and liabilities and the operations that will be reflected in the historical financial statements prior to the Merger will be those of Nemus and will be recorded at the historical cost basis of Nemus, and the consolidated financial statements after completion of the Merger will include the assets and liabilities of LGL and Nemus, the historical operations of Nemus and the operations of the combined enterprise of LGL and Nemus from and after the closing date of the Merger.

Overview

We are a biopharmaceutical company focused on the discovery, development, and the commercialization of cannabis-based therapeutics, or cannabinoids, through our partnership with the University of Mississippi, or UM. UM has held the only contract to cultivate cannabis for research purposes on behalf of the Federal Government since 1968, and it has significant expertise in cannabis cultivation and the extraction, separation, process and manufacture of cannabis extracts. We are currently UM's sole partner for the development and commercialization of drugs derived from cannabis extracts, or cannabinoids, and the realization of this partnership will depend on the successful navigation of the complex regulatory framework for the cultivation and handling of cannabis in the United States.

Recent Events

UM 5050 pro-drug agreements:

On September 29, 2014, the Company executed three license agreements with UM pursuant to which UM granted us exclusive, perpetual, worldwide licenses, including the right to sublicense, to intellectual property related to UM 5050, a pro-drug formulation of tetrahydrocannabinol, or THC for products administered through each of ocular, oral or rectal delivery. The license agreement for the field of oral delivery also includes rights to UM 1250, a bio-adhesive hot melt extruded film for topical and mucosal adhesion application and drug delivery. The license agreements contain certain milestone and royalty payments, as defined therein. The aggregate milestone payments under the license agreements if the milestones are achieved is \$2.1 million. These licenses also require the Company to reimburse UM for patent costs incurred related to these products under license. The agreements will terminate upon expiration of the patents, breach or default of the license agreements, or upon 60 days written notice by the Company to UM.

On October 15, 2014, we signed a renewable option agreement for the rights to explore other routes of delivery of UM5050 not yet agreed upon and/or in combination with other cannabinoids or other compatible compounds. There was a one-time up-front option payment of \$10,000 for a six month option period that has subsequently been renewed under the same financial terms and conditions. The most recent renewal occurred effective April 1, 2016 under the same financial terms.

UM 8930 pro-drug agreements:

On December 14, 2015, the Company executed two license agreements with UM pursuant to which UM granted us exclusive, perpetual, worldwide licenses, including the right to sublicense, to intellectual property related to UM 8930, a pro-drug formulation of cannabidiol, or CBD for products administered through each of ocular or rectal delivery. The license agreements contain certain milestone and royalty payments, as defined therein. There is a one-time upfront payment of \$65,000 per license agreement, payable in four equal monthly installments that started on December 15, 2015. There is an annual fee of \$25,000 per license agreement, payable on the anniversary of each effective date. The aggregate milestone payments under the license agreements if the milestones are achieved is \$1.4 million. These licenses also require the Company to reimburse UM for patent costs incurred related to these products under license. These license agreements will terminate upon expiration of the patents, breach or default of the license agreements, or upon 60 days written notice by the Company to UM.

On December 14, 2015, we signed a renewable option agreement for the rights to explore other routes of delivery of UM8930 not yet agreed upon and/or in combination with other cannabinoids or other compatible compounds. There was a one-time up-front option payment of \$10,000 for an option period ending March 31, 2016; this option was subsequently renewed for a six month period ending September 30, 2016, under the same financial terms and conditions.

Other pro-drugs and potential formulations:

On December 19, 2015, the Company entered into a research agreement with UM to advance specialized cannabinoid derivatives of cannabidiol for the treatment of chemo-therapy induced peripheral neuropathy (CIPN). The fee payable to UM is based on the achievement of certain milestones in the project. The Company recognized \$27,804 and \$46,340 as research and development expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively, for work completed under this contract. The agreement also grants an exclusive option to license the technology from the University within 180 days from the commencement of the agreement. However, the studies have been extended through the end of the year to better understand the pharmacokinetics of the CBD derivative relative to different routes of administration. Either party may terminate the agreement with 30 days' written notice.

On April 11, 2016, the Company entered into a research agreement with UM that examines the efficacy of cannabinoids at the genetic level of pathological organisms such as MRSA. The fee payable is based on the achievement of certain milestones in the project. The Company recognized \$52,380 as research and development expense for the three months ended June 30, 2016 for work completed under this contract. Testing is scheduled for completion in the third quarter of 2016.

Critical Accounting Policy and Estimates

Our Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations section discusses our financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. On an on-going basis, management evaluates its estimates and judgments, including those related to revenue recognition, accrued expenses, financing operations, and contingencies and litigation. Management bases its

estimates and judgments on historical experience and on various other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. The most significant accounting estimates inherent in the preparation of our financial statements include estimates as to the appropriate carrying value of certain assets and liabilities which are not readily apparent from other sources.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2016, there were no significant changes to the items that were disclosed as our critical accounting policies and estimates in Note 1 to our financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2015 contained in our Form 10-K as filed with the SEC on March 18, 2016.

Results of Operations

For the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015

Revenues. To date, we have not generated any revenues, and do not expect to generate any revenue from the sale of products in the near future.

Operating expenses. For the three months ended June 30, 2016, our total operating expenses were \$1,482,035 as compared to \$1,356,544 for the three months ended June 30, 2015. The increase in operating expenses was due primarily to an increase in research and development costs as the company advances its drug development programs offset somewhat by a decline in general and administrative expenses, as discussed below.

Research and development. Research and development expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2016 were \$459,957 which consisted of contract R&D fees incurred by the University of Mississippi for the CIPN research project, process development fees incurred by the Company's contract manufacturer that were not present in 2015, and consulting and professional services fees.

Research and development expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2015, were \$43,374 which consisted of option fees and contract research and development fees incurred by UM to begin studies concerning the medical utility of cannabinoids as anti-infective therapeutics for MRSA.

General and administrative. General and administrative expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2016 were \$1,022,078 which primarily consisted of salaries, stock compensation expense, consulting fees and professional fees. By comparison, general and administrative expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2015 were \$1,313,170 which primarily consisted of consulting fees and professional fees associated with our costs of becoming a public company.

Other income and expenses. For the three months ended June 30, 2016, the Company had non-operating income of \$2,055,528 which consisted of the following components:

- \$2,024,114 represented a change in the fair value of the Series B warrant liability as determined by a third party independent valuation conducted as of June 30, 2016; the decrease in the warrant value was primarily attributable to the decline in the fair value of the company's common stock
- \$31,414 represented a change in the fair value of the conversion right related to the Series B preferred stock issuance as estimated from the same valuation referenced above.

For the three months ended June 30, 2015, the Company had non-operating expenses of \$700,000 which represented a change in the fair value of the conversion right related to the Series A preferred stock issuance. This loss was estimated by determining the highest probability of a per share price in the next anticipated round of financing after considering all discussions with bankers, potential investors and preliminary term sheets.

Net Income (Loss). For the three months ended June 30, 2016, we had net income of \$573,093 as compared to a net loss of \$2,056,960 for the three months ended June 30, 2015. We expect to incur net losses for the foreseeable future.

For the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015

Revenues. To date, we have not generated any revenues, and do not expect to generate any revenue from the sale of products in the near future.

Operating expenses. For the six months ended June 30, 2016, our total operating expenses were \$2,702,374 as compared to \$2,266,369 for the six months ended June 30, 2015. The increase in operating expenses was due primarily to an increase in research and development costs as the company advances its drug development programs offset somewhat by a decline in general and administrative expenses, as discussed below.

Research and development. Research and development expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2016 were \$595,316 which consisted of contract R&D fees incurred by the University of Mississippi for the CIPN research project, process development fees incurred by the Company's contract manufacturer that were not present in 2015, and consulting and professional services fees.

Research and development expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2015, were \$80,574 which consisted of option fees and contract research and development fees incurred by UM to begin studies concerning the medical utility of cannabinoids as anti-infective therapeutics for MRSA.

General and administrative. General and administrative expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2016 were \$2,107,058 which primarily consisted of salaries, stock compensation expense, consulting fees and professional fees. By comparison, general and administrative expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2015 were \$2,185,795 which primarily consisted of consulting fees and professional fees associated with our costs of becoming a public company.

Other income and expenses. For the six months ended June 30, 2016, the Company had non-operating income of \$1,590,820 which consisted of the following components:

- \$1,612,634 of income from the cumulative change in the fair value of the Series B warrant liability as determined by third party independent appraisal conducted as of March 31, 2016 and June 30, 2016; the decrease in the warrant value was primarily attributable to the decline in the fair value of the company's common stock
- \$21,814 of expense from the cumulative change in the fair value of the conversion right related to the Series B preferred stock issuance as estimated from the same valuation referenced above.

For the six months ended June 30, 2015, the Company had non-operating expenses of \$700,000 which represented a change in the fair value of the conversion right related to the Series A preferred stock issuance. This loss was estimated by determining the highest probability of a per share price in the next anticipated round of financing after considering all discussions with bankers, potential investors and preliminary term sheets.

Net Income (Loss). For the six months ended June 30, 2016, we had a net loss of \$1,112,354 as compared to a net loss of \$2,967,185 for the six months ended June 30, 2015. We expect to incur net losses for the foreseeable future.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We had cash and cash equivalents of \$831,658 as of June 30, 2016, as compared to \$3,221,209 as of December 31, 2015. This decrease is primarily attributable to the funding of operating expenses as previously discussed. We anticipate that we will continue to incur net losses into the foreseeable future as we continue to advance and develop a number of potential drug candidates into preclinical development activities and expand our corporate infrastructure which includes the costs associated with being a public company. Without additional funding, management believes that we will not have sufficient funds to meet its obligations beyond one year after the date the consolidated financial statements are issued. These conditions give rise to substantial doubt as to our ability to continue as a going concern.

We have been, and intend to continue, working toward identifying and obtaining new sources of financing. No assurances can be given that we will be successful in obtaining additional financing in the future. Any future financing that we may obtain may cause significant dilution to existing stockholders. Any debt financing or other financing of securities senior to common stock that we are able to obtain will likely include financial and other covenants that will restrict our flexibility. Any failure to comply with these covenants would have a negative impact on our business, prospects, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

If adequate funds are not available, we may be required to delay, scale back or eliminate portions of our operations or obtain funds through arrangements with strategic partners or others that may require us to relinquish rights to certain of our assets. Accordingly, the inability to obtain such financing could result in a significant loss of ownership and/or control of our assets and could also adversely affect our ability to fund our continued operations and our expansion efforts.

During the next twelve months, we expect to incur significant research and development expenses with respect to our products. The majority of our research and development activity is focused on development of potential drug candidates and preclinical trials.

We also expect to incur significant legal and accounting costs in connection with being a public company. We expect those fees will be significant and will continue to impact our liquidity. Those fees will be higher as our business volume and activity increases.

We anticipate that we will need to hire additional employees or independent contractors for our new laboratory at UM. We also anticipate that we will need to purchase or lease additional equipment for the Company's headquarters and laboratory facilities.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

There are no off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources that is material to investors.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.

Not applicable.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures. We maintain controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management including our principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any control and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can only provide reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and in reaching a reasonable level of assurance, management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

We conducted an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our principal executive and financial officers, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon their evaluation and subject to the foregoing, the principal executive and financial officers have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, the disclosure controls and procedures were effective at a reasonable assurance level.

Changes in internal controls. Management determined there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the fiscal quarter covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceeding

On August 3, 2016, John B. Hollister filed to dismiss his complaint against the Company and its Executive Chairman, and the Company and its Executive Chairman filed to dismiss their complaint against Hollister filed on January 25, 2016 containing claims against him for defamation, false light, unfair competition, breach of contract, breach of fiduciary duty and fraud.

Hollister's employment as the Company's Chief Executive Officer was terminated by the Company on August 24, 2015 for good and lawful reasons by the Company's Board of Directors as the Company decided to go in a different direction. As stated in the Company's December 28, 2015 Form 8-K, the Company maintains that Hollister's complaint and subsequent pleadings were grossly inaccurate and his claims were entirely without merit alleging various causes of action arising out of his termination, including a breach of contract claim.

Neither the Company nor its Executive Chairman made any payment to Hollister to resolve the lawsuit or otherwise.

According to the Orange County Superior Court's online case records posted on August 11, 2016, the court entered the order for dismissal of the entire action with prejudice effective as of the August 3, 2016 filing date.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Investing in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. Our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 includes a detailed discussion of our risk factors under the heading "Part I, Item 1A-Risk Factors." There are no changes from the risk factors previously disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K. You should carefully consider the risk factors discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K as well as the other information in this report before deciding whether to invest in shares of our common stock. The occurrence of any of the risks discussed in the Annual Report on Form 10-K could harm our business, financial condition, results of operations or growth prospects. In that case, the trading price of our common stock could decline, and you may lose all or part of your investment.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

None

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Not applicable.

Item 5. Other Information.

None.

Item 6. Exhibits.

31.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer, pursuant to Rule 13a-14 and 15d-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
31.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer, pursuant to Rule 13a-14 and 15d-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
32.1+	Certification of Principal Executive Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2+	Certification of Principal Financial Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101.ins	Instance Document
101.sch	XBRL Taxonomy Schema Document
101.cal	XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document
101.def	XBRL Taxonomy Definition Linkbase Document
101.lab	XBRL Taxonomy Label Linkbase Document
101.pre	XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document

+ Furnished herewith and not "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

**Nemus Bioscience, Inc.,
a Nevada corporation**

August 12, 2016

By: /s/ Brian Murphy
Its: Brian Murphy
Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

August 12, 2016

By: /s/ Elizabeth Berecz
Its: Elizabeth Berecz
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

**Certification of Principal Executive Officer,
Required By Rule 13a-14(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, As Amended,
As Adopted Pursuant To Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002**

I, Brian Murphy, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Nemus Bioscience, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting, which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 12, 2016

/s/ Brian Murphy
Brian Murphy
Chief Executive Officer

**Certification of Principal Financial Officer,
Required By Rule 13a-14(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, As Amended,
As Adopted Pursuant To Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002**

I, Elizabeth Berez, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Nemus Bioscience, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 12, 2016

/s/ Elizabeth Berez
Elizabeth Berez
Chief Financial Officer

**Certification of Principal Executive Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350,
as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002**

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Nemus Bioscience, Inc. a Nevada corporation (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ending March 31, 2016, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), Brian Murphy, Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certifies to the best of his knowledge, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and result of operations of the Company.

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to the Company and will be retained by the Company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

/s/ Brian Murphy

Brian Murphy
Chief Executive Officer
August 12, 2016

**Certification of Principal Financial Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350,
as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002**

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Nemus Bioscience, Inc. a Nevada corporation (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ending March 31, 2016, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), Elizabeth Berecz, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certifies to the best of his knowledge, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and result of operations of the Company.

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to the Company and will be retained by the Company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

/s/ Elizabeth Berecz

Elizabeth Berecz
Chief Financial Officer
August 12, 2016